

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2017

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

(Unaudited)

Notice of No Auditor Review of Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

In accordance with National Instrument 51-102 Part 4, subsection 4.3(3)(a), if an auditor has not performed a review of these condensed consolidated interim financial statements they must be accompanied by a notice indicating that the condensed consolidated interim financial statements have not been reviewed by an auditor.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of the Company have been prepared by and are the responsibility of the Company's management.

Condensed Consolidated Interim Statements of Financial Position

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

		March 31	D	ecember 31
	Notes	2017		2016
ASSETS				
Non-current assets				
Mineral property, plant and equipment	3	\$ 141,073	\$	142,472
Total non-current assets		141,073		142,472
Current assets				
Held-to-maturity investments	4	14,993		-
Amounts receivable and prepaid expenses	5	535		679
Cash and cash equivalents	6	39,715		7,196
Total current assets		55,243		7,875
Total Assets		\$ 196,316	\$	150,347
EQUITY				
Capital and reserves				
Share capital	7	\$ 505,690	\$	452,132
Reserves		100,014		102,821
Deficit		(411,517)		(406,106)
Total Equity		194,187		148,847
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities				
Payables to related parties	8	475		240
Trade and other payables	9	1,654		1,260
Total current liabilities		2,129		1,500
Total Liabilities		2,129		1,500
Total Equity and Liabilities		\$ 196,316	\$	150,347

Commitments (note 12)

Events after the reporting date (note 14)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

These condensed consolidated interim financial statements are signed on the Company's behalf by:

/s/ Ronald W. Thiessen /s/ Christian Milau

Ronald W. Thiessen Christian Milau Director Director

Condensed Consolidated Interim Statements of Comprehensive Loss

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, except for share information)

		Three	e months ei	nded M	Iarch 31
	Notes		2017		2016
Expenses					
Exploration and evaluation expenses	3, 11	\$	1,780	\$	2,025
General and administrative expenses	11	•	2,548	,	2,130
Legal, accounting and audit			1,305		3,854
Share-based compensation	7(d)		507		156
Loss from operating activities			6,140		8,165
Foreign exchange (gain) loss			(647)		150
Interest income			(82)		(5)
Gain on sale of available-for-sale financial assets			-		(70)
Loss on sale of plant and equipment			_		23
Loss before tax			5,411		8,263
Deferred Income tax (recovery) expense			_		_
Net loss		\$	5,411	\$	8,263
Other comprehensive loss (income)					
Items that may be subsequently reclassified to loss					
Foreign exchange translation difference	3,7(e)		1,345		8,875
Derecognition of available-for-sale financial assets	3, 7 (3)		_		(105)
Other comprehensive loss		\$	1,345	\$	8,770
Total comprehensive loss		\$	6,756	\$	17,033
Basic and diluted loss per common share	10	\$	0.02	\$	0.04
Basic and diluted loss per common share	10	\$	0.02	\$	0.0

 $The\ accompanying\ notes\ are\ an\ integral\ part\ of\ these\ condensed\ consolidated\ interim\ financial\ statements.$

Condensed Consolidated Interim Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

		Three months e	nded N	March 31
	Notes	2017		2016
Operating activities				
Net loss		\$ (5,411)	\$	(8,263)
Non-cash or non operating items		. (-, ,	•	(-,,
Depreciation		42		56
Gain on disposal of available-for-sale financial assets		_		(70)
Loss on sale of plant and equipment		_		23
Interest received on cash and held-to-maturity investments		(82)		(5)
Share-based compensation		507		156
Unrealized exchange (gain) loss		(237)		145
Changes in working capital items		(-)		
Restricted cash		_		453
Amounts receivable and prepaid expenses		144		503
Trade and other payables		406		2,188
Payables to related parties		235		(184)
Net cash used in operating activities		(4,396)		(4,998)
Investing activities				
Purchase of held-to-maturity investments	4	(14,873)		_
Proceeds from disposal of available-for-sale financial assets	1	(11,073)		1,754
Interest received on cash and cash equivalents		28		5
Net cash (used in) from investing activities		(14,845)		1,759
Financing activities	= (1)	45 000		
Net proceeds from bought deal financing	7(b)	45,898		-
Proceeds from the exercise of share purchase options and warrants	7(c)-(d)			66
Net cash from financing activities		51,589		66
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		32,348		(3,173)
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash and cash equivalents		171		(145)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning balance		7,196		7,509
Cash and cash equivalents - ending balance	6	\$ 39,715	\$	4,191

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Condensed Consolidated Interim Statements of Changes in Equity

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, except for share information)

	Notes	Share o	capit	tal				Rese	rve	3				
								Foreign						
					_	ity settled		currency	_		Share			
		Nb C				are-based	tr	anslation		vestment	Purchas			
		Number of		A	com	pensation		reserve	re	evaluation	Warrant		D.C.L T	
		shares		Amount		reserve	(.	note 7(e))		reserve	(note 7(c)))	Deficit To	otal equity
Balance at January 1, 2016		221,939,376	\$	435,069	\$	56,197	\$	40,479	\$	(107)	\$ 2,46	66	\$ (379,124) \$	154,980
Common shares issued on exercise of options per option plan	7(d)	211,500		66		_		_		_		_	_	66
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per option plan		_		37				_		_	(3	37)	_	_
Share-based compensation		_		-		156		_		-		_	_	156
Net loss		_		-		-		-		-		_	(8,263)	(8,263)
Other comprehensive (loss) income net of tax		_		-		-		(8,875)		105		_	_	(8,770)
Total comprehensive loss														(17,033)
Balance at March 31, 2016		222,150,876	\$	435,172	\$	56,353	\$	31,604	\$	(2)	\$ 2,42	9	\$ (387,387) \$	138,169
Balance at January 1, 2017		270,869,561	\$	452,132	\$	58,926	\$	36,233	\$	(2)	\$ 7,66	54	\$ (406,106) \$	148,847
Common shares issued on exercise of options per option plan	7(d)	986,200		1,603		-		-		-		_	-	1,603
Common shares issued on exercise of options not under option plan	7(c)	100,000		40		-		-		-		_	-	40
Common shares issued upon exercise of warrants	7(c)	6,591,812		4,048		_		-		-		_	_	4,048
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised per plan		-		697		(697)		-		-		_	-	-
Fair value allocated to shares issued on options exercised not under option plan		-		38		-		-		-	(3	88)	-	-
Fair value and costs allocated to share capital on exercise of warrants		-		1,234		-		-		-	(1,23	84)	-	-
Common shares issued pursuant to bought deal financing, net of transaction costs	7(b)	20,240,000		45,898		-		-		-		_	-	45,898
Share-based compensation		_		-		507		-		-		_	-	507
Net loss		-		-		-		-		-		_	(5,411)	(5,411)
Other comprehensive loss net of tax		_		-		-		(1,345)		-		_	_	(1,345)
Total comprehensive loss														(6,756)
Balance at March 31, 2017		298,787,573	\$	505,690	\$	58,736	\$	34,888	\$	(2)	\$ 6,39	2	\$ (411,517) \$	194,187

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated interim financial statements.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

1. NATURE AND CONTINUANCE OF OPERATIONS

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. (the "Company") is incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada, and its principal business activity is the exploration of mineral properties. The Company is listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") under the symbol "NDM" and on the New York Stock Exchange-MKT ("NYSE-MKT") under the symbol "NAK". The Company's corporate office is located at 1040 West Georgia Street, 15th floor, Vancouver, British Columbia.

The condensed consolidated interim financial statements ("Financial Statements") of the Company as at and for the three months ended March 31, 2017, include financial information for the Company and its subsidiaries (together referred to as the "Group" and individually as "Group entities"). The Company is the ultimate parent. The Group's core mineral property interest is the Pebble Copper-Gold-Molybdenum Project (the "Pebble Project") located in Alaska, United States of America ("USA" or "US").

The Group is in the process of exploring and developing the Pebble Project and has not yet determined whether the Pebble Project contains mineral reserves that are economically recoverable. The Group's continuing operations and the underlying value and recoverability of the amounts shown for the Group's mineral property interests, is entirely dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves; the ability of the Group to obtain financing to complete the exploration and development of the Pebble Project; the Group obtaining the necessary permits to mine; and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition of the Pebble Project.

During the period ended March 31, 2017, the company raised gross proceeds of US\$37,444 (\$49,067) through a bought deal financing (note 7(b)) and \$5,691 from the exercise of share purchase options and warrants.

As at March 31, 2017, the Group has \$39,715 in cash and cash equivalents for its operating requirements and a further \$14,993 in held-to-maturity investments which mature and become available to the Group in August and November this year. The Group incurred a net loss of \$5,411 and \$8,263 during the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively and had a deficit \$411,517 as at March 31, 2017. The Group has prioritized the allocation of available financial resources in order to meet key corporate and Pebble Project expenditure requirements to at least the end of the Group's fiscal year. Additional financing will be required in order to progress any material expenditures at the Pebble Project beyond 2017. Additional financing may include any of or a combination of debt equity and/or contributions from possible new Pebble Project participants. There can be no assurances that the Group will be successful in obtaining additional financing. If the Group is unable to raise the necessary capital resources and generate sufficient cash flows to meet obligations as they come due, the Group may, at some point, consider reducing or curtailing its operations. As such there is material uncertainty that raises substantial doubt about the Group's ability to continue as a going concern.

In July 2014, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") announced a proposal under Section 404(c) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA") to restrict and impose limitations on all discharges of dredged or fill material ("EPA Action") associated with mining the Pebble deposit. The Company believes that the EPA does not have the statutory authority to impose conditions on the development at Pebble prior to the submission of a detailed development plan and its thorough review by federal and state agencies, including review under the National Environmental Protection Act ("NEPA"). The Pebble Limited Partnership (the "Pebble Partnership"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, along with the State of Alaska and the Alaska Peninsula Corporation, an Alaska Native village corporation with extensive land holdings in the Pebble Project area, filed for an injunction to stop the EPA Action with the US Federal Court in Alaska (the "Court"). However, the Court has deferred judgment thereon until the EPA has issued a final determination. The Company appealed the Court's decision to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. The appeal was denied in May 2015. The Pebble Partnership still holds the option to pursue its statutory authority case in the instance that EPA finalizes a pre-emptive regulatory action under the Clean Water Act 404(c). In September 2014, the Pebble Partnership initiated a second action against the EPA in federal district court in Alaska charging that the EPA violated the Federal Advisory Committee Act ("FACA"). In

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

November 2014, the U.S. federal court judge in Alaska granted, in relation to the FACA case, the Pebble Partnership's request for a preliminary injunction, which, although considered by the Company as a significant procedural milestone in the litigation, does not resolve the Pebble Partnership's claims that the EPA Actions with respect to the Bristol Bay Assessment and subsequent 404(c) regulatory process, violated FACA. In June 2015, the EPA's motion to dismiss the FACA case was rejected and as a result the FACA case is moving forward. The Company expects its legal rights will be upheld by the Court and that the Company will ultimately be able to apply for the necessary permits under NEPA. On October 14, 2014, the Pebble Partnership filed suit in the federal district court in Alaska charging that the EPA has violated the *Freedom of Information Act* ("FOIA") by improperly withholding documents related to the Pebble Project, the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment and consideration of a pre-emptive 404(c) veto under the Clean Water Act.

The EPA has moved for summary judgment claiming that its search for and disclosure of documents was adequate. The Pebble Partnership has opposed the motion pointing out several deficiencies in the EPA's search parameters and pointing out the agency's overly broad assertion of the deliberative process privilege to withhold documents. On August 24, 2015, the U.S. federal court judge granted in part and deferred in part the EPA's motion for summary judgement on the FOIA litigation. The court accepted the EPA's position that it had made an adequate search for documents but left the matter open should the EPA not meet its obligations in the FACA litigation or if additional documents surface. Additionally, the judge ordered the EPA to produce a sample of 183 partially or fully withheld documents so that it could conduct an in camera review of the sample and test the merits of the EPA's withholdings under the deliberative process privilege. Before producing this sample to the Court, the EPA chose to voluntarily release 115 documents (or 63% of the sample ordered by the Court), relinquishing its claim of privilege as to these documents.

In briefings before the Court, the Pebble Partnership argued that the voluntary release of 63% of the agency's same documents conclusively demonstrated that the EPA had been over broad in its assertion of the deliberative process privilege, particularly because the content of the voluntarily released documents was not in fact deliberative. The Court agreed, finding that the EPA "improperly withheld documents in full," and that "many of the documents that the defendant released should have been released to begin with because the portions that the defendant released were not deliberative." It then ordered the EPA to review an additional 65 documents. Of these 65 documents, the EPA voluntarily released 55 documents in whole or in part (or 85% of the documents). Given the EPA's high rate of release, the Pebble Partnership submitted a brief to the Court arguing that the EPA should be forced to review the remaining documents being withheld and arguing that judgment should not be granted to the agency at this time. A decision has not yet been issued. The Court agreed, concluding that it had "no confidence that [the EPA] has properly withheld documents, either in full or in part, pursuant to the deliberative process privilege." The Court reiterated its earlier finding that EPA had been withholding documents that "should never have been withheld to begin with." As a result, the Court ordered the Agency to re-evaluate all remaining documents the EPA is withholding in response to the Pebble Partnership's January 2014 FOIA request and to submit these documents for in camera review. After this review, the Court issued an order resolving Pebble's challenges to the remaining withholdings and forcing EPA, yet again, to produce additional documents that the agency had been improperly withholding for over two years.

On October 27, 2016, the Pebble Partnership and the EPA filed a joint Notice in federal court stating their intent to enter into mediation in an effort to resolve ongoing litigation under FACA.

On December 30, 2016, the Pebble Partnership and the EPA filed a joint Notice in federal court staying the ongoing FACA litigation until March 20, 2017; the stay was extended by the parties to May 4, 2017 and then to May 11, 2017 in the interest of resolving the matter. The Court's November 2014 Preliminary Injunction remained in effect for the duration of the stay.

On May 12, 2017, the Company announced a settlement agreement between the Pebble Partnership and the EPA (note 14).

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Statement of Compliance

These Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with IAS 34, *Interim Financial Reporting*, as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC"s). They do not include all of the information required by International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") for complete annual financial statements, and should be read in conjunction with the Group's consolidated financial statements as at and for the year ended December 31, 2016, which were filed under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com. Accounting policies applied herein are the same as those applied in the Group's annual financial statements other than those as discussed in, (c), (d) and (f) below. These Financial Statements were authorized for issue by the Audit and Risk Committee on May 12, 2017.

(b) Basis of Preparation and Consolidation

These Financial Statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis using the accrual basis of accounting, except for cash flow information and for financial instruments classified as available-for-sale, which are stated at their fair value.

Intercompany balances and transactions, including any unrealized income and expenses arising from intercompany transactions, are eliminated in full on consolidation.

There was no change in the composition of the Group during the reporting period.

(c) Amendments, Interpretations, Revised and New Standards Adopted by the Group

The Group adopted the following amendments and annual improvements that became effective January 1, 2017:

- Amendments to IAS 7, Statement of Cash Flows Disclosure Initiative
- Amendments to IAS 12, Income Taxes Recognition of Deferred Tax Assets for Unrealised Losses
- Annual improvements to IFRS 2014 2016 Cycle Amendments to IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

The amendments and annual improvements had no material effect on the Financial Statements.

(d) Accounting Standards, Amendments and Revised Standards Not Yet Effective

Effective for annual periods commencing on or after January 1, 2018

- IFRS 9, Financial Instruments ("IFRS 9")
- IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers ("IFRS 15")

The Group anticipates that the adoption of IFRS 15 will have no material impact on its financial statements due to the current stage of development in the Group's operations. In terms of IFRS 9, the Group is currently evaluating the impact of the standard on the financial statements given that the Company's current use of financial instruments in the ordinary course of business.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Effective for annual periods commencing on or after January 1, 2019

• IFRS 16, Leases ("IFRS 16") and revised IAS 17, Leases ("IAS 17").

The Group anticipates that the adoption of IFRS 16 will not have a significant impact other than the accounting for office, accommodation and storage leases the Group may have entered into where the minimum lease term is more than 12 months. The Group has currently a 5 year office lease (refer note 12(a)).

(e) Financial Instruments

Non-derivative financial assets:

Held-to-maturity investments are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturity dates that the Group has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. Subsequent to initial recognition, held-to-maturity investments are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method less any impairment. The Group invested in fixed maturity guaranteed investment certificates during the current quarter (note 4).

(f) Significant Accounting Estimates and Judgments

There was no change in the use of estimates and judgments during the current period as compared to those described in Note 2 in the Group's Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2016.

3. MINERAL PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The Group's exploration and evaluation assets are comprised of the following:

Three months ended March 31, 2017	Miner	al Property interest ¹		Plant and guipment		Total
Cost		IIIterest	equ	іршеш		Total
Beginning and ending balance	\$	112,541	\$	881	\$	113,422
Accumulated depreciation						
Beginning balance	\$	_	\$	(558)	\$	(558)
Charge ²		-		(42)		(42)
Ending balance	\$	-	\$	(600)	\$	(600)
Foreign currency translation difference		28,029		222		28,251
Net carrying value - Ending balance	\$	140,570	\$	503	\$	141,073

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Year ended December 31, 2016	Miner	Mineral Property interest ¹		ant and iipment	Total
Cost					
Beginning balance	\$	112,541	\$	1,032	\$ 113,573
Dispositions		_		(151)	(151)
Ending balance	\$	112,541	\$	881	\$ 113,422
Accumulated depreciation Beginning balance Charge ² Eliminated on disposal	\$	- - -	\$	(481) (205) 128	\$ (481) (205) 128
Ending balance	\$	-	\$	(558)	\$ (558)
Foreign currency translation difference		29,381		227	29,608
Net carrying value - Ending balance	\$	141,922	\$	550	\$ 142,472

Notes

- 1. Comprises the Pebble Project, a contiguous block of 2,402 mineral claims covering approximately 417 square miles located in southwest Alaska, 19 miles (30 kilometers) from the villages of Iliamna and Newhalen, and approximately 200 miles (320 kilometers) southwest of the city of Anchorage.
- 2. Depreciation is included in exploration and evaluation expenses.

4. HELD-TO-MATURITY INVESTMENTS

	March 31	December 31
	2017	2016
Total - Guaranteed Investment Certificates	\$ 14,993	\$ -

The investments comprise US and Canadian dollars, earn interest between 1.34% and 1.46% per annum and mature in August and November of this year.

Interest earned for the three months ended March 31, 2017 of \$54 has been included in interest income in the statement of loss.

5. AMOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND PREPAID EXPENSES

	Ma	rch 31	Decer	nber 31
		2017		2016
Sales tax receivable	\$	90	\$	50
Amounts receivable		108		138
Prepaid expenses		337		491
Total	\$	535	\$	679

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

6. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

	March 31	Dece	mber 31
	2017		2016
Business and savings accounts	\$ 19,730	\$	7,196
Guaranteed Investment Certificates	19,985		
Total	\$ 39,715	\$	7,196

7. CAPITAL AND RESERVES

(a) Authorized Share Capital

At March 31, 2017, the authorized share capital comprised an unlimited (2016 – unlimited) number of common shares with no par value. All issued shares are fully paid.

(b) Financing

The Group completed a bought deal offering (the "Offering") of 20,240,000 common shares at US\$1.85 per common share for gross proceeds of US\$37,444 (\$49,067). The Offering was completed by way of a prospectus filed in all of the provinces of Canada, other than Québec, and was offered in the United States pursuant to a prospectus filed as part of an effective registration statement on Form F-10 filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission under the Canada/U.S. multi-jurisdictional disclosure system. After transactions costs of \$3,169, including a 5% commission paid to the underwriters, the Group raised net proceeds of \$45,898.

(c) Share Purchase Warrants and Options not Issued under the Group's Incentive Plan

The following reconciles warrants and non-employee options (options which were not issued under the Group's incentive plan (note 7(d)), each exercisable to acquire one common share of the Company, at the beginning and end of the period:

	_	Three months ended March 31, 2017							
Exercise price (\$)	Expiry date	Beginning Balance	Issued	Exercised	Expired	Ending Balance			
Options issu	ed pursuant to the acqu	isition of Cannon Po	oint Resources ¹						
0.37	July 23, 2017	18,800	-	-	-	18,800			
0.37	June 30, 2019	56,400	-	-	-	56,400			
0.40	June 30, 2019	91,650	-	-	-	91,650			
0.37	March 10, 2021	9,400	-	-	-	9,400			
0.40	March 10, 2021	138,650	-	(100,000)	-	38,650			
0.37	December 15, 2021	37,600	-	-	-	37,600			
0.40	December 12, 2022	56,400	-	-	-	56,400			
0.29	December 8, 2024	37,600	_	_	_	37,600			
Total		446,500	_	(100,000)	-	346,500			

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd.Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

			Three month	s ended March 3	1, 2017	
Exercise price (\$)	Expiry date	Beginning Balance	Issued	Exercised	Expired	Ending Balance
Warrants is	sued pursuant to the acc	juisition of Mission	Gold ¹			
0.55	July 9, 2020	11,288,698	-	(2,575,360)	-	8,713,338
3.00	September 14, 2017	2,871,676	-	(8,952)	-	2,862,724
Total		14,160,374		(2,584,312)	-	11,576,062
Warrants is	sued pursuant to financi	ngs ²				
0.65	June 10, 2021	39,396,410	-	(4,007,500)	-	35,388,910
Total		39,396,410	-	(4,007,500)	-	35,388,910
Grand Total		54,003,284	-	(6,691,812)	_	47,311,472

	_	Three months ended March 31, 2016							
Exercise price per common share (\$)	Expiry date	Beginning Balance	Issued	Exercised	Expired	Ending Balance			
Options issue	ed pursuant to the acqui	isition of Cannon F	Point ¹						
0.29	January 29, 2016	150,400	_	(150,400)	_	-			
0.37	January 29, 2016	220,900	_	(61,100)	(159,800)	-			
0.40	January 29, 2016	150,400	_	_	(150,400)	-			
0.43	January 29, 2016	37,600	_	_	(37,600)	-			
0.37	July 23, 2017	18,800	_	_	_	18,800			
0.37	June 30, 2019	56,400	_	_	_	56,400			
0.40	June 30, 2019	225,600	_	_	_	225,600			
0.37	March 10, 2021	9,400	_	_	_	9,400			
0.40	March 10, 2021	150,400	-	-	-	150,400			
0.37	December 15, 2021	37,600	-	_	-	37,600			
0.40	December 12, 2022	75,200	-	_	-	75,200			
0.29	December 8, 2024	37,600	-		-	37,600			
Total		1,170,300	_	(211,500)	(347,800)	611,000			

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

	_		Three months ended March 31, 2016					
Exercise price per common share (\$)	Expiry date	Beginning Balance	Issued	Exercised	Expired	Ending Balance		
Warrants is	ssued pursuant to the acq	uisition of Mission	Gold ¹					
0.55	July 9, 2020	13,801,672	_	_	_	13,801,672		
3.00	September 14, 2017	2,871,676	_	-	_	2,871,676		
Total		16,673,348	-	-	-	16,673,348		
Grand Tota	l	17,843,648	_	(211,500)	(347,800)	17,284,348		

Notes to previous tables:

- 1. The Group exchanged options and warrants to purchase shares in Cannon Point Resources Ltd. ("Cannon Point") and warrants to purchase shares in Mission Gold Ltd. ("Mission Gold") for options and warrants to purchase shares in the Company pursuant to the acquisition of Cannon Point in October 2015 and Mission Gold in December 2015 respectively.
- 2. The Group issued warrants pursuant to the June 2016 prospectus and July 2016 private placement financings.

At March 31, 2017, warrants and non-employee options had a weighted average exercise price of \$0.77 (December 31, 2016 - \$0.75) and weighted average remaining life of 3.80 years (December 31, 2016 - 4.05 years).

(d) Share Purchase Option Compensation Plan

The following reconciles the Group's share purchase options ("options") issued pursuant to the Group's incentive plan outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016:

	2017		2016	
		Weighted		Weighted
		average		average
		exercise		exercise
	Number of	price	Number of	price
Continuity of options	options	(\$/option)	options	(\$/option)
Beginning Balance	15,861,131	\$ 0.92	9,755,600	1.27
Granted	_	-	600,000	0.48
Expired	(7,600)	1.77	(27,000)	15.44
Exercised	(986,200)	1.63	-	_
Forfeited	_	-	(6,000)	0.50
Cancelled	_	-	(39,600)	1.42
Ending Balance	14,867,331	\$ 0.87	10,283,000	1.18

Details of options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2017 (2016 - nil) were as follows:

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

		Weighted average	Weighted average market share price
	Number	exercise price	on exercise
Period	of options	(\$/option)	(\$/option)
January 2017	502,200	1.60	3.70
February 2017	484,000	1.65	3.15
	986,200	1.63	3.43

The following table summarizes information about the Group's options exercisable as at the following reporting dates:

	March 3	31, 2017	December 31, 2016		
	Weighted average		Weighted aver		
		remaining		remaining	
	Number of	contractual	Number of	contractual	
	options	life	options	life	
Exercise prices (\$)	exercisable	(years)	exercisable	(years)	
0.48	400,000	3.96	200,000	4.21	
0.49	1,992,670	4.05	2,009,670	4.28	
0.50	1,979,676	3.08	2,074,676	3.27	
0.72	200,000	2.46	200,000	2.71	
0.89	1,138,498	1.98	1,113,498	2.22	
1.77	3,110,000	1.91	3,991,800	1.71	
3.00	475,000	0.25	475,000	0.49	
	9,295,844	2.64	10,064,644	2.61	

The weighted average exercise price for exercisable options as at March 31, 2017 was \$1.10 (December 31, 2016 - \$1.17) per option.

(e) Foreign Currency Translation Reserve

The foreign currency translation reserve represents accumulated exchange differences arising on the translation, into the Group's presentation currency (the Canadian dollar), of the results of operations and net assets of the Group's subsidiaries with a US dollar functional currency.

8. RELATED PARTY BALANCES AND TRANSACTIONS

The components of payables to related parties is as follows:

	M	arch 31	Decen	nber 31
		2017		2016
Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. (b)	\$	357	\$	240
Key management personnel (a)		118		_
	\$	475	\$	240

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Balances and transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries, which are related parties of the Company, have been eliminated on consolidation. Details between the Group and other related parties are disclosed below:

(a) Transactions and Balances with Key Management Personnel

The aggregate value of transactions with key management personnel ("KMP"), being the Group's directors, Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") and senior management including the Senior Vice President ("VP"), Corporate Development, VP, Corporate Communications, VP, Engineering, VP, Public Affairs, Chief Executive Officer of the Pebble Partnership ("PLP CEO"), Chair of Pebble Mines Corp ("PMC Chair"), Senior VP, Corporate Affairs of the Pebble Partnership ("PLP Senior VP") and Company Secretary, was as follows:

Three months ended Mar				arch 31
Transaction		2017		2016
Compensation				
Amounts paid to HDSI for services of KMP employed by HDSI $^{ m 1}$	\$	861	\$	665
Amounts paid and payable to KMP ²		1,037		562
		1,898		1,227
Share-based compensation		469		77
Total compensation	\$	2,367	\$	1,304

Notes:

- 1. The Group's CEO, CFO, Board Chair and senior management, other than disclosed in note 2 below, are employed by the Group through Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. (refer (b)).
- 2. The Group directly employs its independent directors, the PLP CEO, PMC Chair and PLP Senior VP. Amounts paid and payable represent short term employee benefits incurred, including salaries and directors fees. Included in balances payable to KMP are \$77 in directors' fees owing for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

(b) Transactions and Balances with other Related Parties

Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. ("HDSI") is a private company that provides geological, engineering, environmental, corporate development, financial administrative and management services to the Group and its subsidiaries at annually set rates pursuant to a management services agreement. The annually set rates also include a component of overhead costs such as office rent, information technology services and general administrative support services. HDSI also incurs third party costs on behalf of the Group which are reimbursed by the Group at cost. Several directors and other key management personnel of HDSI, who are close business associates, are also key management personnel of the Group.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

The aggregate value of transactions and outstanding balances with HDSI were as follows:

	Three months ended March 32				
Transactions		2017		2016	
Services rendered by HDSI:	\$	1,172	\$	1,060	
Technical		418		288	
Engineering		79		37	
Environmental		125		94	
Socioeconomic		187		152	
Other technical services		27		5	
General and administrative		754		772	
Management, corporate communications, secretarial, financial and					
administration		545		612	
Shareholder communication		209		160	
Reimbursement of third party expenses		155		150	
Conferences and travel		87		44	
Insurance		-		42	
Office supplies and information technology		68		64	
Total value of transactions	\$	1,327	\$	1,210	

9. TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES

	M	arch 31	Dec	ember 31
Falling due within the year		2017		2016
Trade	\$	1,654	\$	1,260
Total	\$	1,654	\$	1,260

10. BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE

The calculation of basic and diluted loss per share was based on the following:

	Three	e months	ended I	March 31
		2017		2016
Loss attributable to common shareholders	\$	5,411	\$	8,263
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (000s)	290,650 22		222,106	

For the three months ended March 31, 2017, the diluted loss per share does not include the effect of 14,867,331 (2016 – 10,283,000) employee options outstanding and 47,311,472 (2016 – 17,284,348) non-employee options and warrants as they are anti-dilutive.

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

11. EMPLOYMENT COSTS

During the three months ended March 31, 2017, the Group recorded \$2,900 (2016 – \$2,213) in salaries and benefits, including share-based payments and amounts paid to HDSI (note 8(b)) for services provided to the Group by HDSI personnel.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

(a) Leases

The Group has the following commitments as of March 31, 2017:

	2017	Fiscal	Fiscal	
	(After March 31)	2018	2019	Total
	('000s)	('000s)	('000s)	('000s)
Anchorage office lease ¹	US\$ 66	US\$ 91	US\$ 94	US\$ 251
Pebble Project site leases ²	122	59	_	181
Total	US\$ 188	US\$ 150	US\$ 94	US\$ 432
Total in Canadian dollars ³	\$ 250	\$ 199	\$ 125	\$ 574

Notes:

- 1. The initial 5 year lease term expires on October 31, 2021.
- 2. The Group has leases for a hangar at site and core storage. The hanger lease expires May 1, 2018 and the core yard lease expires June 1, 2018.
- 3. Converted at the Bank of Canada closing rate of \$1.3299 per US\$ on March 31, 2017.

(b) Legal

The Group, through the Pebble Partnership, is advancing its multi-dimensional strategy to address the EPA's preemptive regulatory action under Section 404(c) of the CWA, including through litigation against the EPA, contesting the EPA's statutory authority to act pre-emptively under the CWA, and alleging violation of FACA and the unlawful withholding of documentation under the Freedom of Information Act. The Group has a contingent liability for additional legal fees and costs that may be due to the Group's counsel should there be a successful outcome. However, the Group is unable to estimate or determine the length of time that each of the legal initiatives mentioned above will take to advance to specific milestone events or final conclusion. As of the reporting date, if there was a favourable outcome or settlement, the Group estimates there would potentially be additional legal fees of \$20.8 million (US\$15.6 million at the closing Bank of Canada rate on March 31, 2017 of \$1.3299 per US\$) payable by the Company. Refer further to events after the reporting date (note 14).

On February 14, 2017, short seller investment firm Kerrisdale Capital Management LLC published a negative piece (the "Kerrisdale Report") regarding the Pebble Project. Three putative shareholder class actions were filed against the Company and certain of its current officers and directors in US federal courts, specifically the Central District of California (Los Angeles) and the Southern District of New York (New York City). The Company has not yet been served with these complaints, but has seen them and assessed their substance. The Company believes that the allegations in these complaints are without merit, and it intends to defend itself vigorously against these actions. The cases are captioned: Diaz v. Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. et al, Case No. 17-cv-01241 (C.D. Cal.); Kirwin v. Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. et al, Case No. 1:17-cV-02437 (S.D.N.Y.). The complaints appear to rely on the claims made in the

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Kerrisdale Report and allege damages to a class of investors who purchased shares of the Company prior to the publication of the Kerrisdale Report and allege liability for losses pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 thereunder, as well as control person liability against the individual defendants pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In the last few weeks, the named plaintiffs in both *Kirwin* and *Schubert* voluntarily dismissed their claims without prejudice.

13. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Foreign Exchange Risk

There has been no change in the Group's objectives and policies managing both the currency transaction and currency translation risk. The operating results and financial position of the Group are reported in Canadian dollars and the fluctuation of the US dollar in relation to the Canadian dollar will consequently have an impact upon the losses incurred by the Group as well as the value of the Group's assets and the amount of shareholders' equity. The bought deal financing was completed in US dollars (note 7(b)) and as a result the Group's exposure to foreign exchange risk has increased as follows:

	March 31	December 31
US dollar denominated financial assets and liabilities	2017	2016
Financial assets:		
Held-to-maturity investments	\$ 12,671	\$ -
Amounts receivable	233	326
Cash and cash equivalents	33,416	2,232
	46,320	2,558
Financial liabilities:		
Payables to related parties	(41)	-
Trade and other payables	(1,422)	(652)
	(1,463)	(652)
Net financial assets exposed to foreign currency risk	\$ 44,857	\$ 1,906

Based on the above net exposures and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 10% change in the value of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar would result in a gain or loss of \$4,486 (December 31, 2016 – \$191) in the period. This sensitivity analysis includes only outstanding foreign currency denominated monetary items.

14. EVENTS AFTER THE REPORTING DATE

The Company announced on May 12, 2017, that the Pebble Partnership and the EPA (the "parties") have reached a settlement agreement (the "joint settlement agreement") with respect to the parties longstanding legal dispute over the pre-emptive regulatory action under the CWA. Under the terms of the joint settlement agreement:

• the EPA agreed the Pebble Project can proceed into normal course permitting under the CWA and National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"), and that it cannot seek to utilize its authority under CWA 404(c) until such time as a final Environmental Impact Statement ("EIS") has been completed by the US Army Corps of Engineers, so long as that occurs within a period of four years following the settlement agreement, and that PLP files permit applications within 30 months of the date of the settlement agreement.. EPA further agreed to initiate a process to consider withdrawing the Proposed Determination it issued under CWA 404(c) in July 2014; and

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016

(Unaudited - Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

• the Pebble Partnership agreed to terminate each of the legal actions it brought against EPA since 2014, including the action under the *Federal Advisory Committee Act* ("FACA"); an action challenging EPA's statutory authority under the Clean Water Act; and an action under the *Freedom of Information Act* ("FOIA").

As a result of the settlement, the Group will be required to recognise the additional legal fees payable to the Group's counsel (note 12(b)), which pursuant to the terms of the fee arrangement, is payable over three equal tranches.



MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2017

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. Management's Discussion And Analysis Three months ended March 31, 2017

Table of Contents

1.1	DATE		3
1.2	OVERVI	IEW	5
	1.2.1	Pebble Project	8
		1.2.1.1 TECHNICAL INFORMATION	
		1.2.1.2 LEGAL MATTERS	
	1.2.3	FINANCING	
	1.2.4	MARKET TRENDS	17
1.3	SELECTE	ED ANNUAL INFORMATION	18
1.4	SUMMA	ARY AND DISCUSSION OF QUARTERLY RESULTS	18
1.5	RESULT	S OF OPERATIONS	19
1.6	Liquidi	тү	20
1.7	Сарітаі	L RESOURCES	21
1.8	OFF-BA	ALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS	21
1.9	TRANSA	ACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES	22
1.10	FOURTH	H QUARTER	23
1.11	PROPOS	SED TRANSACTIONS	23
1.12	CRITICA	AL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES	23
1.13	CHANG	ES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES INCLUDING INITIAL ADOPTION	23
1.14	FINANC	CIAL INSTRUMENTS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS	23
1.15	OTHER	MD&A REQUIREMENTS	25
	1.15.1	DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA	25
	1.15.2	Pisclosure Controls and Procedures	25
	1.15.3	MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING	25
		CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING	
		LIMITATIONS OF CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	
	1.15.6	RISK FACTORS	26

Three months ended March 31, 2017

1.1 Date

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements the ("Interim Financial Statements") for the three months ended March 31, 2017 of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. ("Northern Dynasty" or the "Company") and the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016 2016 (the "Financial Statements") and the annual MD&A for the same period, as publicly filed under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

The Company reports in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the IFRS Interpretations Committee (together, "IFRS"). The following disclosure and associated Interim Financial Statements are presented in accordance with IFRS. This MD&A is prepared as of May 12, 2017. All dollar amounts herein are expressed in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise specified.

This MD&A contains certain forward-looking information and forward-looking statements within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities laws and forward-looking statements within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements describe our future plans, strategies, expectations and objectives, and are generally, but not always, identifiable by use of the words "may", "will", "should", "continue", "expect", "anticipate", "estimate", "believe", "intend", "plan" or "project" or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology.

Forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference into this MD&A include, without limitation, statements regarding:

- our ability to proceed with applications for federal and state permitting under the CWA and the NEPA;
- our expectations regarding the potential for securing the necessary permitting of a mine at the Pebble Project;
- the outcome of any legal proceedings in which we are engaged;
- our ability to raise capital for the exploration and development activities;
- our expected financial performance in future periods; our plan of operations; and
- factors relating to our investment decisions.

Forward-looking information is based on the reasonable assumptions, estimates, analysis and opinions of management made in light of its experience and its perception of trends, current conditions and expected developments, as well as other factors that management believes to be relevant and reasonable in the circumstances at the date that such statements are made, but which may prove to be incorrect. We believe that the assumptions and expectations reflected in such forward-looking information are reasonable.

Key assumptions upon which the Company's forward-looking information are based include:

- that we will be able to secure sufficient capital necessary for continued environmental assessment and
 permitting activities and engineering work which must be completed prior to any potential development
 of the Pebble Project which would then require engineering and financing in order to advance to
 ultimate construction;
- that we will ultimately be able to demonstrate that a mine at the Pebble Project can be developed and
 operated in an environmentally sound and socially responsible manner, meeting all relevant federal,
 state and local regulatory requirements so that we will be ultimately able to obtain permits authorizing
 construction of a mine at the Pebble Project;
- that the market prices of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver will not significantly decline or stay depressed for a lengthy period of time;
- that our key personnel will continue their employment with us; and

Three months ended March 31, 2017

that we will continue to be able to secure minimal adequate financing on acceptable terms.

Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list is not exhaustive of all factors and assumptions which may have been used. Forward looking statements are also subject to risks and uncertainties facing our business, any of which could have a material impact on our outlook.

Some of the risks we face and the uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements include:

- an inability to ultimately obtain permitting for a mine at the Pebble Project;
- an inability to continue to fund exploration and development activities and other operating costs;
- the highly cyclical and speculative nature of the mineral resource exploration business;
- the pre-development stage economic viability and technical uncertainties of the Pebble Project and the lack of known reserves on the Pebble Project;
- an inability to recover even the financial statement carrying values of the Pebble Project if we cease to continue on a going concern basis;
- the potential for loss of the services of key executive officers;
- a history of, and expectation of further, financial losses from operations impacting our ability to continue on a going concern basis;
- the volatility of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver prices and mining share prices;
- the inherent risk involved in the exploration, development and production of minerals, and the presence of unknown geological and other physical and environmental hazards at the Pebble Project;
- the potential for changes in, or the introduction of new, government regulations relating to mining, including laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment and project legal titles;
- potential claims by third parties to titles or rights involving the Pebble Project;
- the possible inability to insure our operations against all risks;
- uncertainty related to litigation;
- the highly competitive nature of the mining business;
- the potential equity dilution to current shareholders from future equity financings; and
- that we have never paid dividends and will not do so in the foreseeable future.

While the effort was made to list the primary risk factors, this list should not be considered exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of our forward-looking statements or information. Forward-looking statements or information are statements about the future and are inherently uncertain, and actual achievements of the Company or other future events or conditions may differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements or information due to a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, without limitation, the risks and uncertainties described above and otherwise contained herein.

Our forward-looking statements and risk factors are based on the reasonable beliefs, expectations and opinions of management on the date of this MD&A. Although we have attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There is no assurance that such information will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such information. Accordingly, readers should appreciate the inherent uncertainty of, and not place undue reliance on forward-looking information. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking information, except as, and to the extent required by, applicable securities laws.

During the period 2007 to 2013, a major part of the project expenditures were on exploration programs, resource estimates, environmental data collection and technical studies, with a significant portion spent on engineering of various possible mine development models, as well as related infrastructure, power and transportation systems. These costs are not reflected in the Company's asset accounts as they were largely incurred by third parties or are required to be expensed. The technical and engineering studies that were

Three months ended March 31, 2017

completed relating to mine-site and infrastructure development are not considered to be current or necessarily representative of management's current understanding of the most likely development scenario for the Project. Accordingly, the Company is uncertain whether it can realize significant value from this prior work. Environmental baseline studies and data, as well as geological information from exploration, remain important information available to the Company from this period in continuing its advancement of the Project.

For more information on the Company, investors should review the Company's annual information form and home jurisdiction filings that are available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

The Company reviews its forward looking statements on an ongoing basis and updates this information when circumstances require it.

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Measured and Indicated Resources

The following section uses the terms "measured resources" and "indicated resources". The Company advises investors that although those terms are recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize them. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into reserves.

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Inferred Resources

The following section uses the term "inferred resources". The Company advises investors that although this term is recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize it. "Inferred resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of a mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of economic studies, except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

1.2 Overview

Northern Dynasty is a mineral exploration company which, via its subsidiaries, holds a 100% interest in mining claims on State of Alaska land in southwest Alaska, USA ("US"). The claims are part of or in the vicinity of the Pebble Copper-Gold-Molybdenum-Silver Project (the "Pebble Project" or "Pebble"). The Company's Alaska mineral resource exploration business is operated through an Alaskan registered limited partnership, the Pebble Limited Partnership (the "Pebble Partnership"), in which the Company owns a 100% interest.

The Pebble Project is an initiative to develop one of the world's most important mineral resources, as measured by aggregate contained metals. The current estimate of these mineral resources at a 0.30% copper equivalent (CuEQ)¹ cut-off grade comprises:

• 6.44 billion tonnes in the combined Measured and Indicated categories at a grade of 0.40% copper, 0.34 g/t gold, 240 ppm molybdenum and 1.66 g/t silver, containing 57 billion pounds of copper, 70 million ounces of gold, 3.4 billion pounds of molybdenum and 344 million ounces of silver; and

¹ For additional details, see section 1.2.1 below.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

• 4.46 billion tonnes in the Inferred category at a grade of 0.25% copper, 0.26 g/t gold, 222 ppm molybdenum and 1.19 g/t silver, containing 24.5 billion pounds of copper, 37 million ounces of gold, 2.2 billion pounds of molybdenum and 170 million ounces of silver.

Mineralization indicating the presence of the Pebble deposit was discovered in 1987 by a prior operator which, by 1997 had developed an initial outline of the deposit. Northern Dynasty acquired the right to earn an interest in the Pebble property in 2001. Exploration since that time has led to significant expansion of the Pebble deposit, including the discovery of a substantial volume of higher grade mineralization in its eastern part. Comprehensive deposit delineation, environmental, socioeconomic and engineering studies of the Pebble deposit began in 2004.

A number of other occurrences of copper, gold and molybdenum have also been identified along the extensive northeast-trending mineralized system that underlies the property. The potential of these earlier-stage prospects has not yet been fully explored.

From 2001 when Northern Dynasty's involvement began to March 31, 2017, a total of \$816 million (US\$759 million) has been invested to advance the Pebble Project.²

In February 2014, the US Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") announced the initiation of a preemptive regulatory action under the Clean Water Act ("CWA") to consider restriction or a prohibition of mining activities associated with the Pebble deposit. Since that time, the Company's activities have included legal and other initiatives (see section 1.2.1.1 below) to ward off the EPA's action, and ensure the Pebble Project can proceed into normal course permitting unencumbered by any extraordinary development restrictions.

One aspect of the Multi-dimensional Strategy is a lawsuit initiated by the Pebble Partnership against the EPA in September 2014 under the Federal Advisory Committee Act ("FACA", further described in section 1.2.1.2 below). In November 2014, a US federal court judge in Alaska granted the Pebble Partnership's request for a preliminary injunction in relation to the FACA case. The preliminary injunction is still in place.

In 2017, the Company has continued to:

advance the Multi-dimensional Strategy to address the EPA's pre-emptive CWA regulatory action with
the goal that the Pebble Project will be able to initiate federal and state permitting under the National
Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") unencumbered by any extraordinary development restrictions
imposed by the EPA;

² Of this, approximately \$595 million (US\$573 million) was provided by a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anglo American plc ("Anglo American") which participated in the Pebble Partnership from 2007 to 2013, and the remainder was financed by Northern Dynasty. During the period 2007 to 2013, a major part of the expenditures were on exploration programs, resource estimates, environmental data collection and technical studies, with a significant portion spent on engineering of various possible mine development models, as well as related infrastructure, power and transportation systems. The technical and engineering studies that were completed relating to mine-site and infrastructure development are not considered to be current or necessarily representative of management's current understanding of the most likely development scenario for the Project. Accordingly, the Company is uncertain whether it can realize significant value from this prior work. Environmental baseline studies and data, as well as geological information from exploration, remain important information available to the Company from this period in continuing its advancement of the Project.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

- maintain an active corporate presence in Alaska to advance relationships with political and regulatory
 offices of government (both in Alaska and Washington, D.C.), Alaska Native partners and broader
 stakeholder relationships;
- maintain the Pebble Project and Pebble claims in good standing; and
- seek potential partner(s) to further advance the Pebble Project.

These Multi-dimensional Strategy efforts have been successful. On May 12, 2017, Northern Dynasty announced that the Pebble Partnership and the EPA (the "parties") have reached a settlement agreement (the "joint settlement agreement") with respect to the parties longstanding legal dispute over the preemptive regulatory action under the CWA. Under the terms of the joint settlement agreement:

- the EPA agreed the Pebble Project can proceed into normal course permitting under the CWA and National Environmental Policy Act ("EPA"), and that it cannot seek to utilize its authority under CWA 404(c) until such time as a final Environmental Impact Statement ("EIS") has been completed by the US Army Corps of Engineers, so long as that occurs within a period of four years following the settlement agreement, and that PLP files permit applications within 30 months of the date of the settlement agreement. EPA further agreed to initiate a process to consider withdrawing the Proposed Determination it issued under CWA 404(c) in July 2014; and
- the Pebble Partnership agreed to terminate each of the legal actions it brought against EPA since 2014, including the action under the *Federal Advisory Committee Act* ("FACA"); an action challenging EPA's statutory authority under the *Clean Water Act*; and an action under the *Freedom of Information Act* ("FOIA").

A copy of the joint settlement agreement is posted under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and EDGAR at www.sec.gov.

Northern Dynasty's work is now directed toward a program of engineering and environmental studies, field investigations and related technical studies, with the objective of finalizing a proposed development plan and preparing documentation to initiate federal and state permitting in addition to the other activities set out above.

Corporate

In January 2017, the Company completed a financing for an aggregate gross of US\$37.4 million.

As at March 31, 2017, the Company has \$39.7 million in cash and cash equivalents for its operating requirements and a further \$15.0 million in held-to maturity investments that will mature and become available to the Company in tranches in August and November of this year.

The Company has prioritized the allocation of available financial resources in order to meet key corporate and Pebble Project expenditure requirements for at least the remainder of the fiscal year. Although the Company will seek financing as necessary to advance its programs, there can be no assurances that it will be successful in obtaining additional financing. If the Company is unable to raise the necessary capital resources to meet obligations as they come due, the Company will at some point have to reduce or curtail its operations.

1.2.1 Pebble Project

1.2.1.1 Technical Information

The Pebble property ("Pebble") is located in southwest Alaska, approximately 17 miles (27 kilometers) from the villages of Iliamna and Newhalen, and approximately 200 miles (320 kilometers) southwest of the city of Anchorage. The property consists of 2,402 mineral claims. Situated approximately 1,000 feet above sea-level and 65 miles (100 kilometers) from tidewater on Cook Inlet, the site conditions are favorable for sound mine site and infrastructure development.

Mineral Resources

The current estimate of the mineral resources in the Pebble deposit, effective date December 2014, is based on drilling to the end of 2013 and includes approximately 59,000 assays obtained from 699 drill holes. The resource was estimated using ordinary kriging by David Gaunt, PGeo., a qualified person who is not independent of Northern Dynasty. A base case cut-off of 0.3% CuEq is highlighted.

Pebble Project Mineral Resources

Cut-off CuEq%	CuEq %	Tonnes	Cu (%)	Au (g/t)	Mo (ppm)	Ag (g/t)	Cu Blbs	Au Moz	Mo Blbs	Ag Moz		
Measured												
0.3	0.65	527,000,000	0.33	0.35	178	1.66	3.83	5.93	0.21	28.13		
0.4	0.66	508,000,000	0.34	0.36	180	1.68	3.80	5.88	0.20	27.42		
0.6	0.77	279,000,000	0.40	0.42	203	1.84	2.46	3.77	0.12	16.51		
1.0	1.16	28,000,000	0.62	0.62	302	2.27	0.38	0.56	0.02	2.04		
Indicated	ndicated											
0.3	0.77	5,912,000,000	0.41	0.34	245	1.66	53.42	64.62	3.20	315.50		
0.4	0.82	5,173,000,000	0.45	0.35	260	1.75	51.31	58.21	2.97	291.05		
0.6	0.99	3,450,000,000	0.55	0.41	299	1.99	41.82	45.47	2.27	220.71		
1.0	1.29	1,411,000,000	0.77	0.51	343	2.42	23.95	23.14	1.07	109.79		
Measured	Measured + Indicated											
0.3	0.76	6,439,000,000	0.40	0.34	240	1.66	56.76	70.38	3.40	343.63		
0.4	0.81	5,681,000,000	0.44	0.35	253	1.75	55.09	63.92	3.17	319.62		
0.6	0.97	3,729,000,000	0.54	0.41	291	1.98	44.38	49.15	2.39	237.37		
1.0	1.29	1,439,000,000	0.76	0.51	342	2.42	24.11	23.60	1.08	111.97		
Inferred	Inferred											
0.3	0.54	4,460,000,000	0.25	0.26	222	1.19	24.55	37.25	2.18	170.49		
0.4	0.68	2,630,000,000	0.33	0.30	266	1.39	19.14	25.38	1.55	117.58		
0.6	0.89	1,290,000,000	0.48	0.37	291	1.79	13.66	15.35	0.83	74.28		
1.0	1.20	360,000,000	0.69	0.45	377	2.27	5.41	5.14	0.30	25.94		

Notes to the above table:

These resource estimates have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered to be too speculative to allow the application of technical and economic parameters to support mine planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the project. Under Canadian rules, estimates of Inferred Mineral Resources may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies, or economic studies except for

Three months ended March 31, 2017

Preliminary Economic Assessments as defined under 43-101. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of the Inferred Resources will ever be upgraded to a higher category.

The mineral resource tabulation uses copper equivalency that incorporates the contribution of copper, gold and molybdenum. Although the estimate includes silver, it was not used as part of the copper equivalency calculation in order to facilitate comparison with previous estimates which did not consider the silver content or its potential economic contribution.

Copper equivalent calculations use metal prices of US\$1.85/lb for copper, US\$902/oz for gold and US\$12.50/lb for molybdenum, and recoveries of 85% for copper 69.6% for gold, and 77.8% for molybdenum in the Pebble West zone and 89.3% for copper, 76.8% for gold, 83.7% for molybdenum in the Pebble East zone.

Contained metal calculations are based on 100% recoveries.

A 0.30% CuEQ cut-off is considered to be appropriate for porphyry deposit open pit mining operations in the Americas.

All mineral resource estimates, cut-offs and metallurgical recoveries are subject to change as a consequence of more detailed economic analyses that would be required in pre-feasibility and feasibility studies.

The resource estimate is constrained by a conceptual pit that was developed using a Lerchs-Grossman algorithm and is based on the parameters set out below:

	Parameter	Units	Cost (\$)	Value				
Metal Price	Gold	\$/oz	-	1,540.00				
	Copper	\$/lb	-	3.63				
	Molybdenum	\$/lb	-	12.36				
Metal Recovery	Copper	%	-	89				
	Gold	%	-	72				
	Molybdenum	%	-	82				
Operating Cost	Mining (mineralized material or waste)	\$/ton mined	1.01	_				
	Added haul lift from depth	\$/ton/bench	0.03	-				
	Process							
	– Process cost adjusted by total crushing energy	\$/ton milled	4.40	_				
	- Transportation	\$/ton milled	0.46	-				
	– Environmental	\$/ton milled	0.70	-				
	– G&A	\$/ton milled	1.18	_				
Block Model	Current block model	ft	-	75 x 75 x 50				
Density	Mineralized material and waste rock	_	-	Block model				
Pit Slope Angles	-	degrees	_	42				

Additional details can be found in the technical report, entitled "2014 Technical Report on the Pebble Project, Southwest Alaska, USA," authored by J. David Gaunt, PGeo., James Lang, PGeo., Eric Titley, PGeo., and Ting Lu, PEng., effective date December 31, 2014, which is filed under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

Environmental and Socioeconomic

Extensive environmental baseline data has been collected since 2004. The goal is to design and plan a project that protects clean water, healthy fish and wildlife populations, and other natural resources in the region.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

In January 2012, the Pebble Partnership publicly released the 27,000-page Environmental Baseline Document ("EBD") for the Pebble Project, which characterizes a broad range of environmental and social conditions in southwest Alaska - including climate, water quality, wetlands, fish and aquatic habitat, wildlife, land and water use, socioeconomics and subsistence activities. The purpose of the EBD is to provide the public, regulatory agencies and the Pebble Partnership with a detailed compendium of predevelopment environmental and socioeconomic conditions in the project area. Research for the Pebble EBD was conducted by more than 40 respected independent research firms, utilizing over 100 scientific experts and engineering groups, laboratories and support services. Researchers were selected for their specific areas of expertise and Alaskan experience, with cooperating government agencies participating in several studies. Information for the EBD was gathered through field studies, laboratory tests, review of government records and other third-party sources, and interviews with Alaska residents. The EBD study is available at http://pebbleresearch.com/. The Pebble Partnership also facilitated a four-day workshop with federal and state regulatory agencies in January 2012 to present the EBD findings. The workshop was broadcast publicly via the Internet. In addition, a series of public presentations of the EBD findings was coordinated in more than 20 communities throughout southwest Alaska and elsewhere around the State. Public and expert review of the EBD was facilitated under the Keystone initiative³.

The EBD encompasses data from the range of environmental and social conditions described above during the period 2004-2008 and from some disciplines in 2009. Environmental baseline data reports through 2014 are being integrated with the database from the EBD so that this information can be shared with state/federal agencies and the public as part of the future permitting process under NEPA. Environmental monitoring of the site has continued at reduced levels over the past two years.

In March 2017, the Company announced that the Pebble Partnership had selected HDR Alaska, Inc. to serve as lead regulatory and permitting consultant for the Pebble Project.

Environmental studies and other activites to support the preparation of documentation for federal and state permitting under the CWA and NEPA, along with requisite environmental monitoring, are planned to begin shortly at the site.

Community Engagement

An active program of stakeholder outreach has been undertaken at Pebble, and has included community meetings, stakeholder visits, presentations and event appearances as well as stakeholder tours to the Pebble Project site and to operating mines in the United States and Canada. The focus of these outreach activities is to update stakeholders on the Pebble Project, to receive feedback on stakeholder priorities and concerns and to advise participants about modern mining practices.

Stakeholder outreach and community engagement is ongoing, although at a reduced scale commensurate with other project activities. As the Pebble Project advances toward the completion of a Project Description and preparation for project permitting under NEPA, it is expected that the Pebble Partnership will initiate further stakeholder engagement programs to involve stakeholders in the planning process.

³ An independent stakeholder dialogue process concerning the Pebble Project initiated in late 2010 by the Keystone Center – a non-profit organization specializing in facilitating stakeholder-driven consultation processes concerning contentious, science-based issues.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

1.2.1.2 Legal Matters

The following is a chronology of the events and the Company's actions that ultimately led to the joint settlement agreement announced on May 12, 2017.

Environmental Protection Agency and Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment

In February 2011, the EPA announced it would undertake a Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment study focusing on the potential effects of large-scale mine development in Bristol Bay and, specifically the Nushagak and Kvichak area drainages. This process was ostensibly initiated in response to calls from persons and groups opposing the Pebble Project for the EPA to pre-emptively use its asserted authority under Section 404(c) of the CWA to prohibit discharges of dredged or fill material in waters of the US within these drainages. However, evidence exists that the EPA may have been considering a Section 404(c) veto of the Pebble Project at least as far back as 2008 – two years before it received a petition from several Alaska Native tribes.

The EPA's first draft Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment ("BBWA") report was released on May 18, 2012. In the Company's opinion after review with its consultants, the draft report is a fundamentally flawed document. By the EPA's own admission, it evaluated the effects of a "hypothetical project" that has neither been defined nor proposed by the Pebble Partnership, and for which key environmental mitigation strategies have not yet been developed and, hence, would not yet be known. It is believed by the Company that the assessment was rushed – because it was based on studies conducted over only one year in an area of 20,000 square miles. In comparison, the Pebble Project has studied the ecological and social environment surrounding Pebble for over a decade. The EPA also failed to adequately consider the comprehensive and detailed data that the Pebble Partnership provided as part of its 27,000-page Environmental Baseline Document (further described under Environmental Baseline Studies above).

The EPA called for public comment on the quality and sufficiency of scientific information presented in the draft BBWA report. In response, the Pebble Partnership and Northern Dynasty made submissions on the draft report. Northern Dynasty made a presentation highlighting these shortcomings at public hearings held in Seattle, Washington, on May 31, 2012 and in Anchorage, Alaska, on August 7, 2012. In July 2012, the Company also submitted a 635-page critique of the draft report in response to the EPA's call for public comment, and called upon the EPA to cease such unwarranted actions until such time as a definitive proposal for the development of the Pebble deposit is submitted into the rigorous NEPA permitting process. All submissions prepared by Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership with respect to the EPA's BBWA and CWA 404(c) regulatory action can be found on Northern Dynasty's website.

Concerns about the reasonableness of the basis of risk assessment in the draft EPA report were stated by many of the independent experts on the peer review panel assembled to review the BBWA, as summarized, in a report entitled *External Peer Review of EPA's Draft Document: An Assessment of Potential Mining Impacts on Salmon Ecosystems of Bristol Bay, Alaska* released in November 2012. In a wide-ranging critique of the draft report's methodology and findings, many peer review panellists called the EPA's effort to evaluate the effects of a "hypothetical mining scenario" on the water, fish, wildlife and cultural resources of Southwest Alaska "inadequate", "premature", "unreasonable", "suspect" and "misleading". A list of these peer review documents can be found on the Company's website.

On April 26, 2013, the EPA released a revised draft of the BBWA report and announced another public comment and Peer Review period. The Pebble Partnership and Northern Dynasty made submissions on the revised draft. In late May 2013, Northern Dynasty filed a 205-page submission which describes the same major shortcomings as the original report published in May 2012.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

In mid-January 2014, the EPA released the final version of its BBWA. The report still reflects many of the same fundamental shortcomings as previous drafts.

On February 28, 2014, the EPA announced the initiation of a pre-emptive regulatory action under Section 404(c) of the CWA to consider restriction or a prohibition on mining activities associated with the Pebble deposit in order to protect aquatic resources in southwest Alaska. In late April 2014, the Pebble Partnership submitted a comprehensive response to the EPA's February 28, 2014 notification letter.

Preliminary Injunction

In late May 2014, the Pebble Partnership filed suit in the US District Court for Alaska and sought an injunction to halt the pre-emptive regulatory action initiated by the EPA under the CWA, asserting that, in the absence of a permit application, the action exceeds the federal agency's statutory authority and violates the Alaska Statehood Act among other federal laws. The State of Alaska and Alaska Peninsula Corporation, an Alaska Native village corporation with extensive land holdings in the Pebble Project area, later joined in the Pebble Partnership's lawsuit against the EPA as co-plaintiffs. On September 26, 2014, a US federal court in Alaska granted the EPA's motion to dismiss the case. This ruling did not judge the merits of the statutory authority case, it only deferred that hearing and judgment until after a final Section 404(c) determination has been made by the EPA. If or when the EPA action is deemed "final", the Pebble Partnership will pursue the underlying case. The Company also appealed the decision to grant the motion to dismiss to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. This appeal was denied in May 2015. The Pebble Partnership retained the option to pursue its statutory authority case in the instance that EPA finalized a pre-emptive regulatory action under the CWA.

Proposed Determination

On July 18, 2014, EPA Region 10 announced a "Proposed Determination" to restrict the discharge of dredged or fill material associated with mining the Pebble deposit in a 268 square mile area should that disposal result in any of the following: loss of five or more miles of streams with documented salmon occurrence; loss of 19 or more miles of streams where salmon are not documented but that are tributaries of streams with documented salmon occurrence; the loss of 1,100 or more acres of wetlands, lakes, and ponds that connect with streams with documented salmon occurrence or tributaries of those streams; and stream flow alterations greater than 20 percent of daily flow in nine or more linear miles of streams with documented salmon occurrence. Northern Dynasty management did not accept that the EPA has the statutory authority to impose conditions on development at Pebble, or any development project anywhere in Alaska or the US, prior to the formal submission of a development plan and its thorough review by federal and state agencies including development of an Environmental Impact Statement ("EIS") and review under NEPA.

On September 19, 2014, the Pebble Partnership submitted a comprehensive legal and technical response to EPA Region 10's Proposed Determination. Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership maintained that the Proposed Determination is flawed and unsupported by the administrative record as established by the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment, and was therefore arbitrary and capricious.

Federal Advisory Committee Act ("FACA") action

On September 3, 2014, the Pebble Partnership initiated a second action against EPA in federal district court in Alaska charging that EPA violated the FACA due to its close interactions with, and the undue influence of Environmental Non-Governmental Organizations and anti-mining activists in developing the BBWA, and with respect to its unprecedented pre-emptive regulatory action under the CWA. On September 24, 2014, the US federal court judge in Alaska released an order recognizing that the EPA

Three months ended March 31, 2017

agreed not to take the next step to advance its 404(c) regulatory action with respect to southwest Alaska's Pebble Project until at least January 2, 2015.

On November 24, 2014, a US federal court judge in Alaska granted the Pebble Partnership's request for a preliminary injunction in relation to the FACA case. While the preliminary injunction did not resolve the Pebble Partnership's claims that the EPA actions with respect to the BBWA and subsequent 404(c) regulatory action violated FACA, the decision permitted the further discovery process of the underlying facts to enable the court to issue a final decision on the merits of the FACA case. Granting of a preliminary injunction also reflected the court's view that PLP had a likelihood of prevailing on the merits of its case. On June 4, 2015, the federal court in Alaska issued an order denying the EPA's motion to dismiss this case.

The Pebble Partnership filed numerous requests for production of documents and received tens of thousands of documents produced by the EPA. The Pebble Partnership also served a number of notices of depositions for current and former EPA employees, EPA contractors and relevant third parties. More than a dozen depositions of EPA witnesses were completed. Additionally, the Pebble Partnership had asked the Court to compel the Agency and certain third parties to produce documents that are relevant to its FACA claims and that are being improperly withheld. Should the Pebble Partnership have prevailed in its FACA litigation against the EPA, the federal agency may have been unable to rely upon the BBWA as part of the administrative record for any regulatory action at the Pebble Project.

Mediation

On October 27, 2016, the Pebble Partnership and the EPA filed a joint Notice in federal court stating their intent to enter into mediation in an effort to resolve ongoing litigation under FACA.

On December 30, 2016, the Pebble Partnership and the EPA filed a joint Notice in federal court staying the ongoing FACA litigation until March 20, 2017; the stay was extended by the parties to May 4, 2017 and then to May 11, 2017 in the interest of resolving the matter. The Court's November 2014 Preliminary Injunction remained in effect for the duration of the stay.

No mediator was appointed as the Pebble Partnership and the EPA engaged in direct discussions which led to the joint settlement agreement announced on May 12, 2017.

Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") action

On October 14, 2014, the Pebble Partnership filed suit in federal district court in Alaska charging that EPA has violated the FOIA by improperly withholding documents related to the Pebble Project, the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment and consideration of a pre-emptive 404(c) veto under the CWA. The EPA moved for summary judgment claiming that its search for and disclosure of documents was adequate. The Pebble Partnership opposed the government's motion, pointing out several deficiencies in the EPA's search parameters and the agency's overly broad assertion of the deliberative process privilege to withhold documents. On August 24, 2015, the US federal court judge granted in part and deferred in part the EPA's motion for summary judgement on the FOIA litigation. The court accepted the EPA's position that it had made an adequate search for documents but left the matter open should the EPA not meet its obligations in the FACA litigation or if additional documents surface. Additionally, the judge ordered EPA to produce a sample of 183 partially or fully withheld documents so that it could conduct an in-camera review of the sample and test the merits of EPA's withholdings under the deliberative process privilege. Before producing this sample to the Court, EPA chose to voluntarily release 115 documents (or 63% of the sample ordered by the Court), relinquishing its claim of privilege as to these documents.

In briefings before the Court, the Pebble Partnership argued that the voluntary release of 63% of the agency's same documents conclusively demonstrated that the EPA had been over broad in its assertion of

Three months ended March 31, 2017

the deliberative process privilege, particularly because the content of the voluntarily released documents was not in fact deliberative. The Court agreed, finding that EPA "improperly withheld documents in full," and that "many of the documents that defendant released should have been released to begin with because the portions that defendant released were not deliberative." It then ordered the EPA to review an additional 65 documents. Of these 65 documents, the EPA voluntarily released 55 documents in whole or in part (or 85% of the documents). Given the EPA's high rate of release, the Pebble Partnership submitted a brief to the Court arguing that the EPA should be forced to review the remaining documents being withheld and arguing that judgment should not be granted to the agency at this time. The Court agreed, concluding that it had "no confidence that [EPA] has properly withheld documents, either in full or in part, pursuant to the deliberative process privilege." The Court reiterated its earlier finding that EPA had been withholding documents that "should never have been withheld to begin with." As a result, the Court ordered the Agency to re-evaluate all remaining documents EPA is withholding in response to the Pebble Partnership's January 2014 FOIA request and to submit these documents for in-camera review. After this review, the Court issued an order resolving Pebble's challenges to the remaining withholdings and forcing EPA, yet again, to produce additional documents that the agency had been improperly withholding for over two years.

Office of the EPA Inspector General ("OIG") review

Counsel for Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership submitted numerous letters to the independent OIG since January 2014, raising concerns of apprehension of bias, process irregularities and undue influence by environmental organizations in the EPA's preparation of the Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment. In response to Congressional and other requests, on May 2, 2014, the OIG announced that it would investigate the EPA's conduct in preparing *An Assessment of Potential Mining Impacts on Salmon Ecosystems of Bristol Bay, Alaska*, "to determine whether the EPA adhered to laws, regulations, policies and procedures in developing its assessment of potential mining impacts in Bristol Bay, Alaska." On January 13, 2016, the OIG published its report (the "OIG Report"). While acknowledging significant "scope limitations" in its review and subsequent OIG Report, the OIG concluded that: "we found no evidence of bias in how the EPA conducted its assessment of the Bristol Bay watershed, or that the EPA predetermined the assessment outcome," but that an EPA Region 10 employee may have been guilty of "a possible misuse of position."

Several other investigations of EPA conduct at Pebble contradict the OIG Report. The US Congress' House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform found "that EPA employees had inappropriate contact with outside groups and failed to conduct an impartial, fact-based review of the proposed Pebble mine." In addition, a report by former United States Senator and Defense Secretary William S. Cohen and his firm (further described below), said their investigation "raise(s) serious concerns as to whether EPA orchestrated the process to reach a pre-determined outcome; had inappropriately close relationships with anti-mine advocates; and was candid about its decision-making process."

The findings of the OIG Report did not materially affect the Pebble Partnership's strategy for addressing the EPA's CWA Section 404(c) regulatory action. The Company remained confident that the Pebble Project would ultimately enter federal and state permitting unencumbered by any extraordinary development restrictions.

Cohen report

In March 2015, William Cohen and his firm, The Cohen Group, assisted by the law firm DLA Piper, was retained by the Pebble Partnership to conduct an independent review of whether the EPA acted fairly in connection with its evaluation of potential mining in the Bristol Bay watershed. Secretary Cohen was requested to evaluate the fairness of EPA's actions and decisions in this matter based upon a thorough

Three months ended March 31, 2017

assessment of the facts and relying on his experience as a senior government official, as well as his 24 years as a member of the US Senate and House of Representatives.

A team of independent investigators employed by The Cohen Group and DLA Piper reviewed thousands of documents secured through FOIA requests and interviewed approximately 60 individuals involved with the EPA or its review of the Pebble Project. On October 6, 2015, Mr. Cohen released his report entitled Report of an Independent Review of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Actions in Connection with its Evaluation of Potential Mining in Alaska's Bristol Bay Watershed. The report stated the conclusion of Mr. Cohen that he did not believe the EPA used the "fairest and most appropriate process" in its proposed pre-emptive regulatory action under the CWA.

Mr. Cohen urged policymakers to require that the permitting process under NEPA and the regulations developed by the Council on Environmental Quality (the "Permit/NEPA Process") be followed. Mr. Cohen commented that the Permit/NEPA Process is more comprehensive than the pre-emptive Section 404(c) action employed by the EPA and he could find no valid reason why that process was not used.

The Cohen report also raised a number of concerns about the EPA's Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment study and the CWA Section 404(c) regulatory action, including possible prejudice and pre-determination of outcomes by the EPA, inappropriately close relationships between certain EPA officials and anti-mine advocates, EPA's candor with respect to certain actions it took, lack of consistency between the BBWA and the proposed determination, and lack of cooperation by EPA personnel with respect to Congressional queries and FOIA requests.

Northern Dynasty does not consider the Cohen report to constitute an "expert's" report but rather considers it to constitute an informed view of the Company's treatment by the EPA expressed by a person familiar with governmental due process goals. Mr. Cohen also appeared before a Congressional committee (House Committee on Science, Space and Technology) with respect to the findings in his report.

US House Committee on Science, Space and Technology

On February 22, 2017, the US House Committee on Science, Space and Technology Chairman, Lamar Smith, sent a letter to the EPA Administrator Scott Pruit recommending that "the incoming Administration rescind the EPA's proposed determination to use Section 404(c) in a pre-emptive fashion for the Pebble Mine in Bristol Bay, Alaska. This simple action would allow a return to the long-established CWA permitting process and stop attempts by the EPA to improperly expand its authority. Moreover, it would create regulatory certainty for future development projects that will create jobs and contribute to the American economy."

Multi-dimensional Strategy

Since 2014, the Company, through the Pebble Partnership, advanced a Multi-dimensional Strategy which included three discrete pieces of litigation described in the Proposed Determination, FACA and FOIA sections above, to address the EPA's pre-emptive regulatory action under Section 404(c) of the CWA, with the goal of positioning the Pebble Project to initiate federal and state permitting under NEPA unencumbered by any extraordinary development restrictions imposed by the federal agency. This strategy came to a successful conclusion in May 2017, when the Pebble Partnership and the EPA reached the joint settlement agreement.

A copy of the joint settlement agreement is posted under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and EDGAR at www.sec.gov.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership have been represented by respected international law firm Steptoe & Johnson LLP ("Steptoe"). Effective from August 1, 2016, Steptoe and the Pebble Partnership agreed to cap legal fees paid related to the FACA suit and other ongoing legal matters to the point at which motions for summary judgment in the case have been fully argued to the court and are ripe for adjudication. Steptoe will be due a success fee payment upon prevailing in the FACA litigation or arising from the joint settlement agreement (see section 1.6 for further details).

Other Matters

On February 14, 2017, short seller investment firm Kerrisdale Capital Management LLC published a negative piece (the "Kerrisdale Report") regarding the Pebble Project. Three putative shareholder class actions were filed against the Company and certain of its current officers and directors in US federal courts, specifically the Central District of California (Los Angeles) and the Southern District of New York (New York City). The Company has not yet been served with these complaints, but has seen them and assessed their substance. The Company believes that the allegations in these complaints are without merit, and it intends to defend itself vigorously against these actions. The cases are captioned: *Diaz v. Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. et al, Case No. 17-cv-01241 (C.D. Cal.); Kirwin v. Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. et al, Case No. 17-cv-01238 (S.D.N.Y.);* and *Schubert v. Northern Dynasty Minerals, Ltd., et al., Case No. 1:17-CV-02437 (S.D.N.Y.).* The complaints appear to rely on the claims made in the Kerrisdale Report and allege damages to a class of investors who purchased shares of the Company prior to the publication of the Kerrisdale Report and allege liability for losses pursuant to Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act of 1934 and SEC Rule 10b-5 thereunder, as well as control person liability against the individual defendants pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In the last few weeks, the named plaintiffs in both *Kirwin* and *Schubert* voluntarily dismissed their claims without prejudice.

For further information, refer to the Company's 2016 Annual Information Form which is filed on www.sedar.com.

1.2.3 Financing

US\$37.4 Million Bought Deal

In January 2017, the Company completed a bought deal offering of 20,240,000 common shares at a price of US\$1.85 per unit for gross proceeds of approximately US\$37.4 million. The offering was made through a syndicate of underwriters co-led by Cantor Fitzgerald Canada Corporation, TD Securities Inc. and BMO Capital Markets.

Part of the net proceeds were used to advance the Company's Multi-dimensional Strategy to address the pre-emptive regulatory action of the EPA under Section 404 (c) of the CWA and the balance will be used; (i) to prepare the Pebble Project for the initiation of federal and state permitting under NEPA; (ii) environmental monitoring, engineering and environmental studies, field investigations and related technical studies to finalize a proposed development plan for the Pebble Project, (iii) enhanced outreach and engagement with political and regulatory offices in the Alaska state and U.S. federal government and among Alaska Native partners and broader regional and state-wide stakeholder groups, (iv) Alaskan corporate, tenure and site maintenance, (v) general corporate purposes, and (vi) working capital requirements.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

1.2.4 Market Trends

Copper prices were variable in 2013, 2014 and 2015, and the average annual price decreased each year. Prices were variable to improving for most of 2016, then began an uptrend late in the year that has, largely, been sustained so far in 2017. This recent trend is related to current and expected production disruptions and the potential for new demand, for example, from an anticipated infrastructure development program in the US. The recent closing price is US\$2.62/lb.

In 2013, the gold price halted the uptrend in prices up to that year. Prices were variable in 2014 and 2015, and the average annual prices decreased. Gold prices trended upward for most of 2016, with some variability beginning in 2016 which has continued so far in 2017. The recent closing price is US\$1,223/oz.

Molybdenum prices weakened in 2012 and 2013. Prices increased from January to August 2014, then began a downtrend that continued to the end of 2015. Prices improved in the first half of 2016, then dropped slightly and have, largely, been flat since that time, with a recent price of US\$7.94/lb.

Silver prices were buoyant, ranging between \$26/oz and \$35/oz between October 2011 and the end of 2012, then trended downward in 2013. Prices were variable in 2014 and 2015, with the average annual prices decreasing in both years. Prices were variable to increasing during most of 2016, then variable to decreasing late in the year. In 2017, silver prices have been variable, with a recent price of US\$16.37/oz.

Average annual prices of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver for the past four years as well as the average prices so far in 2017 are shown in the table below:

	Average metal price ¹					
Year	Copper US\$/lb	Gold US\$/oz	Molybdenum US\$/lb	Silver US\$/oz		
2013	3.32	1,410	10.40	23.80		
2014	3.14	1,276	11.91	19.08		
2015	2.49	1,160	6.73	15.68		
2016	2.21	1,251	6.56	17.14		
2017 (to May 11)	2.53	1,230	6.93	17.47		

1. Source: LME Official Cash Price as provided at www.metalprices.com

1.3 Selected Annual Information

Not required for an interim MD&A.

1.4 Summary and Discussion of Quarterly Results

All monetary amounts are expressed in thousands of dollars except per share amounts and where otherwise indicated. Minor differences are due to rounding.

Excerpts from Statements of Comprehensive Loss (Income)	Mar 31 2017	Dec 31 2016	Sep 30 2016	Jun 30 2016	Mar 31 2016	Dec 31 2015	Sep 30 2015	Jun 30 2015
Expenses								
Exploration and evaluation	\$ 1,780	\$ 2,610	\$ 2,006	\$ 1,294	\$ 2,025	\$ 3,374	\$1,786	\$ 1,484
General and administrative ¹	2,548	1,311	1,444	1,844	2,130	1,813	3,076	1,567
Legal, accounting and audit ²	1,305	984	1,286	3,318	3,854	6,379	4,452	2,922
Share-based compensation	507	714	1,939	186	156	469	33	41
Other items ³	(729)	(95)	(68)	(54)	98	373	50	(236)
Loss for the quarter	\$ 5,411	\$ 5,524	\$ 6,607	\$ 6,588	\$ 8,263	\$ 12,408	\$ 9,397	\$ 5,778
Basic and diluted loss per common share Weighted average number	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.04
of common shares (000s)	290,650	266,767	264,622	230,920	222,106	181,339	137,173	130,973

- 1. The Company did not accrue or pay CEO, CFO and directors' fees in Q2 of 2015.
- 2. Primarily legal costs incurred by the Group in response to the EPA's activities surrounding the Pebble Project and from Q1 2017, response to the Kerrisdale Report and securities class actions (discussed in "Other Matters" under <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/j.com/10.1007/j.co
- 3. Other items include interest income and expense, exchange gain or loss, gain or loss on disposal of financial assets and plant and equipment and deferred income tax recovery.

Discussion of Quarterly Trends

Exploration and evaluation expenses ("E&E") have trended down other than in Q4 2016 and Q4 2015 as the Company paid annual claim fees. E&E includes costs for Native community engagement, select environmental monitoring programs, annual fees for claims (paid in Q4 each year), site leases, land access agreements and technical studies undertaken.

General and administrative expenses ("G&A") have fluctuated based on the level of corporate and financing activities undertaken. G&A has averaged approximately \$1.8 million per quarter over the period, with the exception of the current quarter and Q3 2015. In Q1 2017, G&A included the costs of a discretionary bonus relating to 2015 and a performance bonus relating to the completion of the bought deal financing. In Q3 2015, G&A increased mainly due to the recognition of arrear CEO, CFO and directors' fees and a 2014 bonus commitment.

Legal, accounting and audit expenses which are comprised primarily of legal costs incurred by the Group in response to the EPA's activities surrounding the Pebble Project, have fluctuated in line with ongoing activities to advance the Company's Multi-dimensional Strategy to address the EPA's pre-emptive regulatory action as discussed in Section <u>1.2.1.2 Legal Matters</u>. In Q1 2017, the Company also incurred approximately \$522,000 in legal costs relating to the the Kerrisdale Report and securities class actions.

Share-based compensation expense ("SBC") has fluctuated due to the timing and quantum of share purchase option ("option") grants and the vesting periods associated with these grants. Grants of options

Three months ended March 31, 2017

occurred in Q3 2016 (6,206,000 options) and Q4 2015 (3,657,500 options). In Q3 2016 SBC was also impacted by the grant of 639,031 restricted share units ("RSUs") and 458,129 deferred share units ("DSUs").

1.5 Results of Operations

The following financial data has been prepared from the Financial Statements for the three months ended March 31, 2017, and is expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated.

The Company's operations and business are not driven by seasonal trends, but rather are driven towards the achievement of project milestones relating to the Pebble Project such as the achievement of various technical, environmental, socio-economic and legal objectives, including obtaining the necessary permits, the completion of pre-feasibility and final feasibility studies, preparation of engineering designs, as well as receipt of financings to fund these objectives along with mine construction.

1.5.1 Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2017 versus 2016

The Company recorded a decrease in loss of approximately \$2.9 million due primarily to the decrease in legal, accounting and audit expenses of approximately \$2.5 million.

E&E comprised mainly of the following for the period as compared to 2016, expressed in thousands of dollars:

E&E	2017	2016
Engineering	\$ 128	\$ 53
Environmental	265	192
Site activities	226	391
Socio-economic	1,105	1,366
Other activities and travel	56	23
Total	\$ 1,780	\$ 2,025

E&E was approximately \$0.2 million lower than 2016 due largely to the reduction in costs relating to site activities and socio-economic activities.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

The following table provides a breakdown of G&A, and legal, accounting and audit expenses incurred in the period as compared to 2016, expressed in thousands of dollars:

	2017	2016
Conference and travel	\$ 121	\$ 86
Consulting	155	87
Insurance	100	108
Office costs, including information technology	184	274
Management and administration	1,506	1,260
Shareholder communication	249	172
Trust and filing	233	143
Total G&A	2,548	2,130
Legal, accounting and audit	1,305	3,854
	\$ 3,853	\$ 5,984

G&A increased by approximately \$0.4 million due primarily to an increase in management and administration costs as the Company incurred costs for a discretionary bonus relating to 2015 and a performance bonus relating to the completion of the bought deal financing. Legal, accounting and audit costs decreased by \$2.5 million as legal fees incurred were lower in part to the fixed fee arrangement with Steptoe and the fact that the Company and the EPA agreed to stay litigation in the FACA case as they continue with direct discussions to resolve matters (see 1.2.1.2 Legal Matters). However, the Company incurred approximately \$522,000 in legal fees as a result of the Kerrisdale Report and securities class actions (see 1.2.1.2 Legal Matters).

SBC has fluctuated due to the timing and quantum of option grants and the vesting periods associated with these grants. SBC was also impacted by the amortization of SBC on the 639,031 RSUs issued in Q3 2016 to the Chairman, CEO and CFO (Q1 2016 – nil impact as no RSUs issued).

1.5.2 Financial position as at March 31, 2017 versus December 31, 2016

The total assets of the Company increased by \$46.0 million due largely to the increase in cash and equivalents and held-to-maturity investments as a result of the bought deal financing that was completed and proceeds from the exercise of options and warrants during the quarter.

1.6 Liquidity

The Company's major sources of funding has been the issuance of equity securities for cash, primarily through private placements and prospectus offerings to sophisticated investors and institutions, the issue of common shares pursuant to the exercise of options and warrants. The Company's access to financing is always uncertain. There can be no assurance of continued access to significant equity funding.

As at March 31, 2017, the Company's cash and cash equivalents of \$39.7 million is an increase of \$32.5 million from December 31, 2016 as the Company completed a bought deal financing (refer 1.2.3 *Financing*) and received \$5.7 million from the exercise of options and warrants. The Company employed approximately \$4.5 million in its operating activities in the quarter and invested \$15.0 million in guaranteed investment certificates that mature in August and November when the Company estimates it will need the funds. The Company has prioritized the allocation of its available financial resources in order to meet key corporate and Pebble Project expenditure requirements to at least the end of the Company's fiscal year (refer 1.2 *Overview* and the Company's ongoing plans for 2017). Additional

Three months ended March 31, 2017

financing will be required to pursue any material expenditures at the Pebble Project beyond 2017. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in obtaining additional financing at that point. If the Company is unable to raise the necessary capital resources to meet obligations as they come due, the Company will at some point have to reduce or curtail its operations.

At March 31, 2017, the Company had working capital of \$53.1 million as compared to \$6.4 million at December 31, 2016. The Company has no long term debt, capital lease obligations, operating leases or any other long term obligations other than those disclosed below:

The following commitments and payables (expressed in thousands) existed at March 31, 2017:

		Payme	nts due by p	eriod as	of the re	eporting	g date
	 Total		≤1 year	1-	5 years	> 5	years
Trade and other payables	\$ 1,654	\$	1,654	\$	_	\$	_
Payables to related parties	475		475		_		_
Lease commitments	825		336		489		_
Total	\$ 2,954	\$	2,465	\$	489	\$	-

Prior to the joint settlement agreement announced on May 12, 2017, the Company, through the Pebble Partnership, had continued to advance a Multi-dimensional Strategy to address the EPA's pre-emptive regulatory action under Section 404(c) of the CWA, including through litigation against the EPA, contesting the EPA's statutory authority to act pre-emptively under the CWA, and alleging violation of FACA and the unlawful withholding of documentation under FOIA. The Company has a contingent liability for additional legal fees and costs that may be due to the Company's counsel should there be a successful outcome or settlement. At March 31, 2017, if there was a favourable outcome or settlement, the Company estimates there would potentially be additional legal fees of \$20.8 million (US\$15.6 million at the closing Bank of Canada rate on March 31, 2017, of \$1.3299 per US\$1) payable by the Company which would be payable in three equal tranches over three years. With the joint settlement agreement announced, the Company will be required to recognise the fees payable.

The Company has no "Purchase Obligations", defined as any agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable and legally binding on the Company that specifies all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The Company is responsible for maintenance payments on the Pebble Project claims and routine site and office leases (included in table above).

1.7 Capital Resources

The Company's capital resources consist of its cash reserves which include its cash and equivalents and held-to-maturity investments. As of March 31, 2017, the Company had no long term debt or commitments for material capital expenditures.

The Company has no lines of credit or other sources of financing.

1.8 Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2017, the Company had no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

1.9 Transactions with Related Parties

Transactions with Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. ("HDSI")

Hunter Dickinson Inc. ("HDI") and its wholly owned subsidiary, HDSI are private companies established by a group of mining professionals engaged in advancing and developing mineral properties for a number of private and publicly-listed exploration companies, one of which is the Company.

Current directors of the Company namely Robert Dickinson and Ron Thiessen are active members of the HDI Board of Directors. Marchand Snyman, the Company's CFO, is also an active member of the HDI Board of Directors. Other key management personnel of the Company – Doug Allen, Stephen Hodgson, Bruce Jenkins, Sean Magee and Trevor Thomas – are active members of HDI's senior management team.

The business purpose of the related party relationship

HDSI provides technical, geological, corporate communications, regulatory compliance, administrative and management services to the Company, on an as-needed and as-requested basis from the Company.

HDSI also incurs third party costs on behalf of the Company. Such third party costs include, for example, directors and officers insurance, travel, conferences, and technology services.

As a result of this relationship with HDSI, the Company has ready access to a range of diverse and specialized expertise on a regular basis, without having to engage or hire full-time experts. The Company benefits from the economies of scale created by HDSI.

The measurement basis used

The Company procures services from HDSI pursuant to an agreement (the "Services Agreement") dated July 2, 2010 whereby HDSI agreed to provide technical, geological, corporate communications, administrative and management services to the Company. A copy of the Services Agreement is publicly available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

Services from HDSI are provided on a non-exclusive basis as required and as requested by the Company. The Company is not obligated to acquire any minimum amount of services from HDSI. The fees for services is determined based on an agreed upon charge-out rate for each employee performing the service and the time spent by the employee. The charge-out rate also includes overhead costs such as office rent, information technology services and administrative support. Such charge-out rates are agreed and set annually in advance.

Third party expenses are billed at cost, without any markup.

Ongoing contractual or other commitments resulting from the related party relationship

There are no ongoing contractual or other commitments resulting from the Company's transactions with HDSI, other than the payment for services already rendered and billed. The agreement may be terminated upon 60 days' notice from either the Company or HDSI.

Transactions during the Reporting Period and Balances with HDSI at the end of the Reporting Period

Disclosure as to transactions with HDSI and any amounts due to or from HDSI is provided in Note 8(b) in the notes to the Interim Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A and which are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

Key Management Personnel

The required disclosure for the remuneration of the Company's key management personnel is provided in Note 8(a) in the notes to the Interim Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A and which are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

1.10 Fourth Quarter

Not applicable.

1.11 Proposed Transactions

There are no proposed asset or business acquisitions or dispositions, other than those in the ordinary course, before the Board of Directors for consideration.

1.12 Critical Accounting Estimates

The required disclosure is provided in Note 2 in the notes to the Interim Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A and which are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

1.13 Changes in Accounting Policies including Initial Adoption

The required disclosure is provided in Note 2 in the notes to the Interim Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A and which are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

1.14 Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

The Company is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks. The Board approves and monitors the risk management processes, inclusive of documented investment policies, counterparty limits, and controlling and reporting structures. The type of risk exposure and the way in which such exposure is managed is provided as follows:

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Company if a counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its liquid financial assets, including cash and cash equivalents, saving accounts, guaranteed investment certificates and amounts receivable. The Company limits the exposure to credit risk by only investing its cash and cash equivalents with high-credit quality financial institutions in business and saving accounts, guaranteed investment certificates, and in government treasury bills which are available on demand by the Group as and when required or mature in timeframes appropriate to the needs of the Company. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk except for changes in the carrying amounts of financial assets exposed to credit risk, and there was no significant change to the Company's exposure to credit risk during the period ended March 31, 2017. Amounts receivable include receivable balances with government agencies, prepaid expenses and refundable deposits. Management has also concluded that there is no objective evidence of impairment to the Company's amounts receivable.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations when they become due. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk. The Company's liquidity position has been discussed in Section <u>1.6 Liquidity</u>.

Foreign Exchange Risk

The Company is subject to both currency transaction risk and currency translation risk: the Pebble Partnership, Pebble Services Inc. and U5 Resources Inc. have the US dollar as functional currency; and certain of the Company's corporate expenses are incurred in US dollars. The fluctuation of the US dollar in relation to the Canadian dollar has an impact upon the losses incurred by the Company as well as the value of the Company's assets and total shareholders' equity as the Company's functional and presentation currency is the Canadian dollar. The Company has not entered into any agreements or purchased any instruments to hedge possible currency risks at this time.

There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk, except for the changes in the carrying amounts of the financial assets exposed to foreign exchange risk. During the quarter the Company completed a bought deal financing in US dollars (refer 1.2.3 *Financing*) and as a result the Company's exposure to foreign exchange risk has increased as follows:

	March 31	De	cember 31
US dollar denominated financial assets and liabilities	2017		2016
Financial assets:			
Held-to-maturity investments	\$ 12,671	\$	_
Amounts receivable	233		326
Cash and cash equivalents	33,416		2,232
	46,320		2,558
Financial liabilities:			
Payables to related parties	(41)		_
Trade and other payables	(1,422)		(652)
	(1,463)		(652)
Net financial assets exposed to foreign currency risk	\$ 44,857	\$	1,906

Based on the above net exposures and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 10% change in the value of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar would result in a gain or loss of \$4,486 (December 31, 2016 - \$191) in the period. This sensitivity analysis includes only outstanding foreign currency denominated monetary items.

Interest rate risk

The Company is subject to interest rate risk with respect to its investments in cash and cash equivalents. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk and no significant change to the Company's exposure to interest rate risk during the period ended March 31, 2017.

Commodity price risk

While the value of the Company's Pebble Project, held through its 100% interest in the Pebble Partnership, is related to the price of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver and the outlook for these minerals, the Company currently does not have any operating mines and hence does not have any hedging or other commodity based risks in respect of its operational activities.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

Copper, gold, molybdenum and silver prices have fluctuated widely historically and are affected by numerous factors outside of the Company's control, including, but not limited to, industrial and retail demand, central bank lending, forward sales by producers and speculators, levels of worldwide production, short-term changes in supply and demand because of speculative hedging activities, and certain other factors related specifically to gold.

Capital Management

The Company's policy is to maintain a strong capital base so as to maintain investor and creditor confidence and to sustain future development of the business. The capital structure of the Company currently consists of equity, comprising share capital and reserves, net of accumulated deficit.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the period. The Company is not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements.

1.15 Other MD&A Requirements

Additional information relating to the Company, including the Company's 2016 Annual Information Form, is available under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

1.15.1 Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data

The capital structure of the Company as of the date of this MD&A is shown in the following table:

	Number
Common shares issued and outstanding	299,902,033
Share options pursuant to the Company's incentive plan	14,811,831
Deferred share units	458,129
Restricted share units	639,031
Warrants and non-incentive plan options ¹	46,212,012

Notes:

1. Non-incentive plan options were issued on the acquisition of Cannon Point in October 2015. Warrants were issued pursuant to the acquisition of Mission Gold in December 2015, the prospectus financing in June 2016 and the private placement in July 2016.

1.15.2 Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company has disclosure controls and procedures in place to provide reasonable assurance that any information required to be disclosed by the Company under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the applicable time periods and that required information is gathered and communicated to the Company's management so that decisions can be made about timely disclosure of that information.

1.15.3 Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management, including the CEO and the CFO, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting ("ICFR") is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and

Three months ended March 31, 2017

principal financial officers and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. The Company's ICFR includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation
 of financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and that receipts and expenditures of the
 Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of
 the company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

1.15.4 Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company's ICFR that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's ICFR.

1.15.5 Limitations of Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, including its CEO and CFO, believe that any system of disclosure controls and procedures or ICFR, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Furthermore, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override of controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

1.15.6 Risk Factors

The securities of Northern Dynasty are highly speculative and subject to a number of risks. A prospective investor or other person reviewing Northern Dynasty for a prospective investor should not consider an investment in Northern Dynasty unless the investor is capable of sustaining an economic loss of their entire investment. The risks associated with Northern Dynasty's business include:

Inability to Ultimately Achieve Mine Permitting and Build a Mine at the Pebble Project.

The Company may ultimately be unable to secure the necessary permits under United States Federal and Alaskan State laws to build and operate a mine at the Pebble Project. There is no assurance that the EPA will not seek to undertake future regulatory action to impede or restrict the Pebble Project. In addition, there are prominent and well organized opponents of the Pebble Project and the Company may be unable,

Three months ended March 31, 2017

even if we present solid scientific and technical evidence of risk mitigation, to overcome such opposition and convince governmental authorities that a mine should be permitted at the Pebble Project. The Company faces not only the permitting and regulatory issues typical of companies seeking to build a mine, but additional public and regulatory scrutiny due to its location and likely size. Accordingly, there is no assurance that the Company will obtain the required permits. In the ordinary course, the Company's permitting process will involve filing CWA 404 permit applications with the US Army Corps of Engineers, which will trigger an EIS process under NEPA. The EIS process under NEPA, and the requirement for the Company to secure a broad range or other permits and authorizations from multiple federal and state regulatory agencies will take several years. After all permits are in hand, a number of years would be required to finance and build a mine and commence operations. During these periods, the Company would likely have no income and so would require additional financing to continue its operations. Unless and until we build a mine at the Pebble Project we will be unable to achieve revenues from operations and may not be able to sell or otherwise recover our investment in the Pebble Project, which would have a material adverse effect on the Company and an investment in the Company's common shares.

Negative Operating Cash Flow

The Company currently has a negative operating cash flow and will continue to have that for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, the Company will require substantial additional capital in order to fund its future exploration and development activities. The Company does not have any arrangements in place for this funding and there is no assurance that such funding will be achieved when required. Any failure to obtain additional financing or failure to achieve profitability and positive operating cash flows will have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations.

Risk of Secure Title or Property Interest

There can be no certainty that title to any property interest acquired by the Company or any of its subsidiaries is without defects. Although the Company has taken reasonable precautions to ensure that legal title to its properties is properly documented, there can be no assurance that its property interests may not be challenged or impugned. Such property interests may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers or other land claims, and title may be affected by undetected defects and adverse laws and regulations.

The Pebble Partnership's mineral concessions at Pebble are located on State of Alaska lands specifically designated for mineral exploration and development. Alaska is a stable jurisdiction with a well-developed regulatory and legal framework for resource development and public lands management, a strong commitment to the rule of law and lengthy track record for encouraging investment in the development if its land and natural resources.

The Pebble Project is Subject to Political and Environmental Regulatory Opposition

As is typical for a large scale mining project, the Pebble Project faces organized opposition from certain individuals and organizations who are motivated to preclude any possible mining in the Bristol Bay Watershed (the "BBW"). The BBW is an important wildlife and salmon habitat area. Accordingly, one of the greatest risks to the Pebble Project is seen to be political/permitting risk which may ultimately preclude construction of a mine at the Pebble Project. Opposition may include legal challenges to exploration and development permits, which may delay or halt development. Other tactics may also be employed by opposition groups to delay or frustrate development at Pebble, included political and public advocacy, electoral strategies, media and public outreach campaigns and protest activity.

The Pebble Partnership's Mineral Property Interests Do Not Contain Any Ore Reserves or Any Known Body of Economic Mineralization

Three months ended March 31, 2017

Although there are known bodies of mineralization on the Pebble Project, and the Pebble Partnership has completed core drilling programs within, and adjacent to, the deposits to determine measured and indicated resources, there are currently no known reserves or body of commercially viable ore and the Pebble Project must be considered an exploration and feasibility evaluation project only. Extensive additional work is required before Northern Dynasty or the Pebble Partnership can ascertain if any mineralization may be economic and hence constitute "ore".

Mineral Resources Disclosed by Northern Dynasty or the Pebble Partnership for the Pebble Project are Estimates Only

Northern Dynasty has included mineral resource estimates that have been made in accordance with NI 43-101. These resource estimates are classified as "measured resources", "indicated resources" and "inferred resources". Northern Dynasty advises investors that while these terms are mandated by Canadian securities administrators, the SEC does not recognize these terms. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of mineral deposits classified as "measured resources" or "indicated resources" will ever be converted into ore reserves. Further, "inferred resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of feasibility or prefeasibility studies, except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that part or all of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.

All amounts of mineral resources are estimates only, and Northern Dynasty cannot be certain that any specified level of recovery of metals from the mineralized material will in fact be realized or that the Pebble Project or any other identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) ore body that can be economically exploited. Mineralized material which is not mineral reserves does not have demonstrated economic viability. In addition, the quantity of mineral reserves and mineral resources may vary depending on, among other things, metal prices and actual results of mining. There can be no assurance that any future economic or technical assessments undertaken by the Company with respect to the Pebble Project will demonstrate positive economics or feasibility.

Northern Dynasty has no history of earnings and no foreseeable earnings, and may never achieve profitability or pay dividends

Northern Dynasty has only had losses since inception and there can be no assurance that Northern Dynasty will ever be profitable. Northern Dynasty has paid no dividends on its shares since incorporation. Northern Dynasty presently has no ability to generate earnings as its mineral properties are in the predevelopment stage.

Northern Dynasty's consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming Northern Dynasty will continue on a going concern basis

Northern Dynasty's Interim Financial Statements have been prepared on the basis that Northern Dynasty will continue as a going concern. At March 31, 2017, Northern Dynasty had working capital of \$53.1 million. Northern Dynasty has prioritized the allocation of available financial resources in order to meet key corporate and Pebble Project expenditure requirements for the remainder of 2017. Additional financing will be required for continued corporate expenditures and expenditures at the Pebble Project. Northern Dynasty's continuing operations and the underlying value and recoverability of the amounts shown for mineral property interest are entirely dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves at the Pebble Project, the ability of the Company to finance its operating costs, the completion of the exploration and development of the Pebble Project, the Pebble Partnership obtaining the necessary permits to mine, and on future profitable production at the Pebble Project.

Three months ended March 31, 2017

Furthermore, failure to continue as a going concern would require that Northern Dynasty's assets and liabilities be restated on a liquidation basis, which would likely differ significantly from their going concern assumption carrying values. Refer also to discussion in <u>1.6 Liquidity</u>.

As the Pebble Project is Northern Dynasty's only mineral property interest, the failure to establish that the Pebble Project possesses commercially viable and legally mineable deposits of ore may cause a significant decline in the trading price of Northern Dynasty's common shares and reduce its ability to obtain new financing

The Pebble Project is, through the Pebble Partnership, Northern Dynasty's only mineral project. Northern Dynasty's principal business objective is to carry out further exploration and related activities to establish whether the Pebble Project possesses commercially viable deposits of ore. If Northern Dynasty is not successful in its plan of operations, Northern Dynasty may have to seek a new mineral property to explore or acquire an interest in a new mineral property or project. Northern Dynasty anticipates that such an outcome would adversely impact the price of Northern Dynasty's common shares. Furthermore, Northern Dynasty anticipates that its ability to raise additional financing to fund exploration of a new property or the acquisition of a new property or project would be impaired as a result of the failure to establish commercial viability of the Pebble Project.

If prices for copper, gold, molybdenum and silver decline, Northern Dynasty may not be able to raise the additional financing required to fund expenditures for the Pebble Project

The ability of Northern Dynasty to raise financing to fund the Pebble Project, will be significantly affected by changes in the market price of the metals for which it explores. The prices of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver are volatile, and are affected by numerous factors beyond Northern Dynasty's control. The level of interest rates, the rate of inflation, the world supplies of and demands for copper, gold, molybdenum and silver and the stability of exchange rates can all cause fluctuations in these prices. Such external economic factors are influenced by changes in international investment patterns and monetary systems and political developments. The prices of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver have fluctuated in recent years, and future significant price declines could cause investors to be unprepared to finance exploration of copper, gold, molybdenum and silver, with the result that Northern Dynasty may not have sufficient financing with which to fund its exploration activities.

Mining is inherently dangerous and subject to conditions or events beyond the Company's control, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business

Hazards such as fire, explosion, floods, structural collapses, industrial accidents, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground control problems, power outages, inclement weather, seismic activity, caveins and mechanical equipment failure are inherent risks in the Company's exploration, development and mining operations. These and other hazards may cause injuries or death to employees, contractors or other persons at the Company's mineral properties, severe damage to and destruction of the Company's property, plant and equipment and mineral properties, and contamination of, or damage to, the environment, and may result in the suspension of the Company's exploration and development activities and any future production activities. Safety measures implemented by the Company may not be successful in preventing or mitigating future accidents.

Northern Dynasty competes with larger, better capitalized competitors in the mining industry

The mining industry is competitive in all of its phases, including financing, technical resources, personnel and property acquisition. It requires significant capital, technical resources, personnel and operational experience to effectively compete in the mining industry. Because of the high costs associated with exploration, the expertise required to analyze a project's potential and the capital required to develop a

Three months ended March 31, 2017

mine, larger companies with significant resources may have a competitive advantage over Northern Dynasty. Northern Dynasty faces strong competition from other mining companies, some with greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than Northern Dynasty possesses. As a result of this competition, Northern Dynasty may be unable to maintain or acquire financing, personnel, technical resources or attractive mining properties on terms Northern Dynasty considers acceptable or at all.

Compliance with environmental requirements will take considerable resources and changes to these requirements could significantly increase the costs of developing the Pebble Project and could delay these activities

The Pebble Partnership and Northern Dynasty must comply with stringent environmental legislation in carrying out work on the Pebble Project. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner that will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors and employees. Changes in environmental legislation could increase the cost to the Pebble Partnership of carrying out its exploration and, if warranted, development of the Pebble Project. Further, compliance with new or additional environmental legislation may result in delays to the exploration and, if warranted, development activities.

Changes in government regulations or the application thereof and the presence of unknown environmental hazards on Northern Dynasty's mineral properties may result in significant unanticipated compliance and reclamation costs

Government regulations relating to mineral rights tenure, permission to disturb areas and the right to operate can adversely affect Northern Dynasty. Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership may not be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to carry out exploration at our projects. Obtaining the necessary governmental permits is a complex, time-consuming and costly process. The duration and success of efforts to obtain permits are contingent upon many variables not within our control. Obtaining environmental permits may increase costs and cause delays depending on the nature of the activity to be permitted and the interpretation of applicable requirements implemented by the permitting authority. There can be no assurance that all necessary approvals and permits will be obtained and, if obtained, that the costs involved will not exceed those that we previously estimated. It is possible that the costs and delays associated with the compliance with such standards and regulations could become such that we would not proceed with the development or operation of a mine at the Pebble Project. Refer to further discussion in 1.2.1.2 Legal Matters.

Litigation

The Company is currently and may in future be subject to legal proceedings, including with regard to actions in <u>1.2.1.2 Other Matters</u> in the pursuit of its Pebble Project. Given the uncertain nature of these actions, the Company cannot reasonably predict the outcome thereof. If the Company is unable to resolve these matters favorably it will likely have a material adverse effect of the Company.

Northern Dynasty is subject to many risks that are not insurable and, as a result, Northern Dynasty will not be able to recover losses through insurance should such certain events occur

Hazards such as unusual or unexpected geological formations and other conditions are involved in mineral exploration and development. Northern Dynasty may become subject to liability for pollution, cave-ins or hazards against which it cannot insure. The payment of such liabilities could result in increase in Northern Dynasty's operating expenses which could, in turn, have a material adverse effect on Northern Dynasty's financial position and its results of operations. Although Northern Dynasty and the Pebble

Three months ended March 31, 2017

Partnership maintain liability insurance in an amount which we consider adequate, the nature of these risks is such that the liabilities might exceed policy limits, the liabilities and hazards might not be insurable against, or Northern Dynasty and the Pebble Partnership might elect not to insure itself against such liabilities due to high premium costs or other reasons, in which event Northern Dynasty could incur significant liabilities and costs that could materially increase Northern Dynasty's operating expenses.

If Northern Dynasty loses the services of the key personnel that it engages to undertake its activities, then Northern Dynasty's plan of operations may be delayed or be more expensive to undertake than anticipated

Northern Dynasty's success depends to a significant extent on the performance and continued service of certain independent contractors, including HDSI (refer 1.9 *Transactions with Related Parties*). The Company has access to the full resources of HDSI, an experienced exploration and development firm with in-house geologists, engineers and environmental specialists, to assist in its technical review of the Pebble Project. There can be no assurance that the services of all necessary key personnel will be available when required or if obtained, that the costs involved will not exceed those that we previously estimated. It is possible that the costs and delays associated with the loss of services of key personnel could become such that we would not proceed with the development or operation of a mine at the Pebble Project.

The Market Price of Northern Dynasty's Common Shares is Subject to High Volatility and Could Cause Investor Loss.

The market price of a publicly traded stock, especially a resource issuer like Northern Dynasty, is affected by many variables in addition to those directly related to exploration successes or failures. Such factors include the general condition of markets for resource stocks, the strength of the economy generally, the availability and attractiveness of alternative investments, and the breadth of the public markets for the stock. The effect of these and other factors on the market price of the Company's common shares suggests Northern Dynasty's shares will continue to be volatile. Therefore, investors could suffer significant losses if Northern Dynasty's shares are depressed or illiquid when an investor needs to sell Northern Dynasty shares.

Northern Dynasty Will Require Additional Funding to Meet the Development Objectives of the Pebble Project.

Northern Dynasty will need to raise additional financing (share issuances, debt or asset level partnering) to achieve permitting and development of the Pebble Project. In addition, a positive production decision at the Pebble Project would require significant capital for project engineering and construction. Accordingly, the continuing development of the Pebble Project will depend upon Northern Dynasty's ability to obtain financing through debt financing, equity financing, the joint venturing of the project, or other means. There can be no assurance that Northern Dynasty will be successful in obtaining the required financing, or that it will be able to raise the funds on terms that do not result in high levels of dilution to shareholders.