

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 AND 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. and subsidiaries (the "Company"), which comprise the consolidated statements of financial position as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, cash flows and changes in equity for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2013, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. and subsidiaries as at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and their financial performance and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2013 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

Other Matter

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 25, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

(Signed) Deloitte LLP

Chartered Accountants Vancouver, Canada March 25, 2014

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. (the "Company"), including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and the Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting ("ICFR"), as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and Rule 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The Company's ICFR includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

The Company's management, including its CEO and CFO, believe that any system of ICFR, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Furthermore, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override of control. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

The Company's management, with the participation of the CEO and the CFO, assessed the effectiveness of the Company's ICFR as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth in the Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on its assessment, management has concluded that the Company's ICFR was effective as at December 31, 2013.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as of December 31, 2013, has been audited by Deloitte LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, who also audited the Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013, as stated in their report which appears on the following page.

The Company's management, including its CEO and CFO, has evaluated the Company's ICFR to determine whether any changes occurred during the period covered by the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's ICFR. There have been no changes that occurred during the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's ICFR.

/s/ Ronald W. Thiessen

/s/ Marchand Snyman

Ronald W. Thiessen Chief Executive Officer Marchand Snyman Chief Financial Officer

Vancouver, British Columbia March 25, 2014

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control* — *Integrated Framework* (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 of the Company and our report dated March 25, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

(Signed) Deloitte LLP

Chartered Accountants Vancouver, Canada March 25, 2014

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

		December 31	December 31
	Notes	2013	2012
ASSETS			
Non-current assets			
Investment in the Pebble Limited Partnership	3	\$ -	\$ 99,336
Mineral property, plant and equipment	4	108,050	1,055
Total non-current assets		108,050	100,391
Current assets			
Amounts receivable from a related party	8	_	3
Amounts receivable and prepaid expenses	5	6,663	5,003
Restricted cash	6	1,276	_
Cash and cash equivalents	6	25,795	27,537
Total current assets		33,734	32,543
Total Assets		\$ 141,784	\$ 132,934
EQUITY			
Capital and reserves			
Share capital	7	\$ 389,227	\$ 389,189
Reserves	,	58,649	51,129
Deficit		(313,948)	(311,425)
Total Equity		133,928	128,893
LIABILITIES			
Non-current liabilities			
Deferred income taxes		3,803	3,632
Total non-current liabilties		3,803	3,632
Current liabilities			
Payable to a related party	8	459	148
Trade and other payables	9	3,594	261
Total current liabilities		4,053	409
Total Liabilities		7,856	4,041
		7,030	1,011
Total Equity and Liabilities		\$ 141,784	\$ 132,934
Tomi Equity und Diabilities		Ψ 111,/01	Ψ 102,707

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

These consolidated financial statements are authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on March 25, 2014. They are signed on the Company's behalf by:

/s/ Ronald W. Thiessen

/s/ Peter Mitchell

Ronald W. Thiessen Director

Peter Mitchell Director

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Income) Loss

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, except for share information)

		Y	Year ended December 31					
	Notes		2013		2012			
Expenses								
Exploration and evaluation expenses	11	\$	1,991	\$	4,461			
General and administrative expenses	11		6,245		6,780			
Share-based compensation	7(b)		641		5,225			
Loss from operating activities			8,877		16,466			
Foreign exchange (gain) loss			(340)		83			
Interest income			(1,136)		(887)			
Gain on discontinuance of equity method	3(b)		(5,062)		-			
Loss before tax			2,339		15,662			
Deferred Income tax	12		184		_			
Loss for the year		\$	2,523	\$	15,662			
Other comprehensive (income) loss								
Items that may be reclassified subsequently to loss								
Foreign exchange translation difference	3, 7(c)		(6,874)		2,206			
Deferred income tax on investment	7(c)		128		(83)			
Reversal of deferred income tax on investment	7(c)		(141)		-			
Other comprehensive (income) loss for the year	C)	\$	(6,887)	\$	2,123			
Total comprehensive (income) loss for the year		\$	(4,364)	\$	17,785			
i otai comprehensive (income) loss for the year		Þ	(4,304)	Φ	17,703			
Basic and diluted loss per common share	10	\$	0.03	\$	0.16			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars)

		Y	Year ended December 31				
	Notes		2013		2012		
Cash flows from operating activities							
Loss for the year		\$	(2,523)	\$	(15,662		
Adjustments for items not affecting cash or operating activities:		Ψ	(2,020)	Ψ	(10,002		
Foreign exchange (gain) loss			(332)		93		
Interest received on cash held			(633)		(445)		
Interest receivable on loan	5		(503)		(442		
Gain on discontinuance of equity method	3		(5,062)		_		
Deferred income tax recovery	12		184		_		
Share-based compensation			641		5,225		
•			(5,705)		4,431		
Changes in non-cash working capital items							
Restricted cash	6		(1,269)		_		
Decrease in amounts receivable and prepaid expenses			84		48		
Decrease in amounts receivable from a related party			3		480		
Increase in trade and other payables			1,246		91		
Increase in payable to related party			311		148		
			375		767		
Net cash used in operating activities			(7,853)		(10,464)		
Cash flows from investing activity							
Cash contribution to the Pebble Limited Partnership	3		(1,055)		_		
Net cash received on assuming control of the Pebble Limited Partnership	3		6,507		_		
Interest received on cash held	J		633		445		
Net cash from investing activity			6,085		445		
Cash flows from financing activity							
Common shares issued for cash on exercise of share purchase options			30		97		
Net cash from financing activity			30		97		
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents			(1,738)		(9,922		
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash held			(4)		(9,944)		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year			27,537		37,457		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year	6	\$	25,795	\$	27,537		

Non-cash investing and financing activities:

Assets and liabilities held in the Pebble Limited Partnership upon discontinuance of equity method and consolidation in these consolidated financial statements (note 3)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, except for share information)

	Share o	capit	al			Reserves				
				_	uity settled hare-based	Foreign currency translation	Ir	ıvestment		
	Number of				payments	reserve	re	evaluation		
	shares		Amount		reserve	(note 7(c))		reserve	Deficit	Total equity
Balance at January 1, 2012	94,978,764	\$	388,987	\$	45,664	\$ 2,470	\$	(2)	\$ (295,763)	\$ 141,356
Shares issued for cash on exercise of share purchase options	21,000		97		· –	_		-	-	97
Fair value of options allocated to shares issued on exercise	_		105		(105)	_		_	_	_
Share-based compensation	-		-		5,225	_		_	_	5,225
Loss for the year	-		_		-	_		_	(15,662)	(15,662)
Other comprehensive loss for the year net of tax	-		-		-	(2,123)		-	_	(2,123)
Balance at December 31, 2012	94,999,764	\$	389,189	\$	50,784	\$ 347	\$	(2)	\$ (311,425)	\$ 128,893
Shares issued for cash on exercise of share purchase options	10,100		30		_	-		-	-	30
Fair value of options allocated to shares issued on exercise	_		8		(8)	_		-	_	_
Share-based compensation	_		_		641	_		-	_	641
Loss for the year	-		-		-	_		_	(2,523)	(2,523)
Other comprehensive income for the year net of tax	-		-		-	6,887		_	_	6,887
Balance at December 31, 2013	95,009,864	\$	389,227	\$	51,417	\$ 7,234	\$	(2)	\$ (313,948)	\$ 133,928

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated inancial statements.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

1. NATURE AND CONTINUANCE OF OPERATIONS

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. (the "Company") is incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada, and its principal business activity is the exploration of mineral properties. The Company is listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange ("TSX") under the symbol "NDM" and on the New York Stock Exchange-MKT ("NYSE-MKT") under the symbol "NAK". The Company's corporate office is located at 1040 West Georgia Street, 15th floor, Vancouver, British Columbia.

The consolidated financial statements ("Financial Statements") of the Company as at and for the year ended December 31, 2013, include financial information for the Company and its subsidiaries (note 2(c)) (together referred to as the "Group" and individually as "Group entities"). The Company is the ultimate parent. At December 31, 2013, the Group retained a 100% interest in the Pebble Limited Partnership (the "Pebble Partnership") (note 3), which owns the Pebble Copper-Gold-Molybdenum Project (the "Pebble Project") located in Alaska, United States of America ("USA" or "US").

The Group is in the process of exploring and developing the Pebble Project and has not yet determined whether the Pebble Project contains mineral reserves that are economically recoverable. The Group's continuing operations and the underlying value and recoverability of the amounts shown for the Group's mineral property interests, is entirely dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves; the ability of the Group to obtain financing to complete the exploration and development of the Pebble Project; the Group obtaining the necessary permits to mine; and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition of the Pebble Project.

As at December 31, 2013, the Group has \$25.8 million in cash and cash equivalents for its operating requirements. The Group has reviewed all programs, timelines and budgets and prioritized the allocation of available financial resources to advance the Pebble Project in the most efficient manner. The Group believes it will be able to meet corporate expenditure requirements and activities at the Pebble Project for the foreseeable future. Additional financing, which may include debt, equity or reliance on new joint venture partners, will be required to fund further exploration or development programs at the Pebble Project. There can be no assurances that the Group will be successful in obtaining additional financing. If the Group is unable to raise the necessary capital resources and generate sufficient cash flows to meet obligations as they come due, the Group may have to reduce or curtail its operations.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Statement of Compliance

These Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC"s) that are effective for the Group's reporting year ended December 31, 2013.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

(b) Basis of Preparation

These Financial Statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis using the accrual basis of accounting, except for cash flow information and for financial instruments classified as available-for-sale, which are stated at their fair value (note f).

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently to all periods presented in these Financial Statements.

(c) Basis of Consolidation

The Group has determined that the adoption of IFRS 10, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, does not affect the consolidation status of any of its subsidiaries or investees.

These Financial Statements incorporate the financial statements of the Company, the Company's subsidiaries and entities controlled by the Company and its subsidiaries listed below:

	Ownership				
Name of Subsidiary	Place of Incorporation	Interest	Principal Activity		
U5 Resources Inc. ¹	Nevada, USA	100%	Holding Company		
0796412 BC Ltd.	British Columbia,	100%	Not active		
	Canada				
3537137 Canada Inc.	Canada	100%	Holds 20% of NDP(5)		
Northern Dynasty Partnership ("NDP")	Alaska, USA	100%	Holds 99.9% of PLP and		
			100% of PMC		
Pebble Mines Corp. ("PMC")	Delaware, USA	100%	General Partner,		
			holds 0.1% of PLP		
Pebble Limited Partnership ("PLP")4	Alaska, USA	100%	Holding Company and		
			Exploration of the		
			Pebble Project		
Pebble West Claims Corporation ²	Alaska, USA	100%	Holding Company		
Pebble East Claims Corporation ²	Alaska, USA	100%	Holding Company		
Kaskanak Copper LLC	Delaware, USA	100%	Holds 100% of		
			Kaskanak Inc.		
Kaskanak Inc. ³	Alaska, USA	100%	Holding Company		

- ¹ Holds the claims purchased from Liberty Star (note 4).
- 2. Holds the Pebble Project claims.
- 3. Holds the South Pebble, KAK and SP claims.
- 4. Holds 100% interest in Pebble East Corporation, Pebble West Corporation and Kaskanak Copper LLC.
- 5. The Company holds 80% of NDP.

Control is achieved when the Group is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. Specifically, the Group controls an investee if, and only if, the Company has power over the investee (i.e. existing rights that give it the current ability to direct the relevant activities of the investee); exposure, or rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee; and the ability to use its power over the investee to affect its returns.

Intra-Group balances and transactions, including any unrealized income and expenses arising from intra-Group transactions, are eliminated in preparing the Financial Statements. Unrealized gains

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

arising from transactions with equity accounted investees are eliminated against the investment to the extent of the Group's interest in the investee. Unrealized losses are eliminated in the same way as unrealized gains, but only to the extent that there is no evidence of impairment.

(d) Investment in Joint Ventures

A joint venture is a joint arrangement whereby the parties that have joint control of the arrangement have rights to the net assets of the joint arrangement. Joint control is the contractually agreed sharing of control of an arrangement, which exists only when decisions about the relevant activities require unanimous consent of the parties sharing control.

An investment in a joint venture is accounted for using the equity method. Under the equity method, an investment in a joint venture is initially recognized in the consolidated statement of financial position at cost and adjusted thereafter to recognize the Group's share of changes in net assets of the joint venture attributable to the Group. An investment is accounted for using the equity method from the date on which the investee becomes a joint venture.

(e) Foreign Currencies

The functional currency is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates and has been determined for each entity within the Group. The functional currency of the Pebble Partnership, its subsidiaries and Pebble Mines Corp. is US dollars and for all other entities within the Group, the functional currency is Canadian dollars. The functional currency determinations were conducted through an analysis of the consideration factors identified in IAS 21, *The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates*.

Transactions in currencies other than the functional currency are recorded at the rates of exchange prevailing on dates of transactions. At the end of each reporting period, monetary assets and liabilities that are denominated in foreign currencies are translated at the rates prevailing at that date. Non-monetary assets and liabilities carried at fair value that are denominated in foreign currencies are translated at rates prevailing at the date when the fair value was determined. Non-monetary items that are measured in terms of historical cost in a foreign currency are not retranslated.

The Pebble Partnership's functional currency is the US dollar. Before assuming control of the Pebble Partnership (note 3), the Group's investment in the Pebble Partnership joint venture (note 3) was translated at the end of each reporting period and exchange differences arising on translation of the US denominated investment were recognized directly in the foreign currency translation reserve through other comprehensive income or loss.

The results and financial position of entities within the Group which have a functional currency different from that of the Group are translated into Canadian dollars as follows:

- assets and liabilities for each statement of financial position are translated at the closing exchange rate at that date;
- income and expenses for each income statement are translated at average exchange rates for the period; and
- the resulting exchange differences are included in the foreign currency translation reserve within equity.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

(f) Financial Instruments

Non-derivative financial assets:

The Group has the following non-derivative financial assets: available-for-sale financial assets (currently only of nominal value), and loans and receivables.

Available-for-sale financial assets

Available-for-sale ("AFS") financial assets are non-derivatives that are either designated as AFS or are not classified as (i) loans and receivables, (ii) held-to-maturity investments or (iii) financial assets at fair value through profit and loss. The Group's investments in marketable securities are classified as AFS financial assets. Subsequent to initial recognition, they are measured at fair value and changes therein, other than impairment losses, are recognized in other comprehensive income or loss and accumulated in the investment revaluation reserve within equity. When an investment is derecognized, the cumulative gain or loss in the investment revaluation reserve is transferred to profit or loss. At December 31, 2013, the Group's AFS financial assets have nominal fair value.

The fair value of AFS monetary assets denominated in a foreign currency is determined in that foreign currency and translated at the spot rate at the end of the reporting period. The change in fair value attributable to translation differences that result from the amortized cost of the monetary asset is recognized within other comprehensive income or loss. The change in fair value of AFS equity investments is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss.

Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are initially recognized at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses.

Loans and receivables currently comprise (i) amounts receivable including the loan receivable from Liberty Star (note 5), (ii) amounts receivable from a related party (\$nil in current year), (iii) cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash (discussed further in note 6(b)).

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash in the statement of financial position comprise cash and investments held at major financial institutions that are readily convertible into a known amount of cash and which are only subject to an insignificant risk of change in value, and are measured at amortized cost.

The Group's cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash are invested in business and savings accounts and guaranteed investment certificates which are available on demand by the Group for its programs.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Non-derivative financial liabilities:

The Group's non-derivative financial liabilities comprise amounts payable which are only trade and other payables (note 9) and a payable to a related party.

All financial liabilities fall within the classification of other financial liabilities versus financial liabilities through profit and loss and are recognized initially at fair value net of any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition these financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

<u>Impairment of financial assets:</u>

When an AFS financial asset is considered to be impaired, cumulative gains or losses previously recognized in other comprehensive income or loss are reclassified to profit or loss in the period. Financial assets are assessed for indicators of impairment at the end of each reporting period. Financial assets are impaired when there is objective evidence that, as a result of one or more events that occurred after the initial recognition of the financial assets, the estimated future cash flows of the investments have been impacted. For marketable securities classified as AFS, a significant or prolonged decline in the fair value of the securities below their cost is considered to be objective evidence of impairment.

For all other financial assets objective evidence of impairment could include:

- significant financial difficulty of the issuer or counterparty; or
- default or delinquency in interest or principal payments; or
- it becoming probable that the borrower will enter bankruptcy or financial re-organization.

For certain categories of financial assets, such as amounts receivable, assets that are assessed not to be impaired individually are subsequently assessed for impairment on a collective basis. The carrying amount of financial assets is reduced by the impairment loss directly for all financial assets with the exception of amounts receivable, where the carrying amount is reduced through the use of an allowance account. When an amount receivable is considered uncollectible, it is written off against the allowance account. Subsequent recoveries of amounts previously written off are credited against the allowance account. Changes in the carrying amount of the allowance account are recognized in profit or loss.

With the exception of AFS equity instruments, if, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized, the previously recognized impairment loss is reversed through profit or loss to the extent that the carrying amount of the investment at the date the impairment is reversed does not exceed what the amortized cost would have been had the impairment not been recognized. In respect of AFS equity securities, impairment losses previously recognized through profit or loss are not reversed through profit or loss. Any increase in fair value subsequent to an impairment loss is recognized directly in equity.

When an AFS financial asset is considered to be impaired, cumulative gains or losses previously recognized in other comprehensive income are reclassified to profit or loss in the period.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Derivative financial assets and liabilities:

The Group has no derivative financial assets or liabilities. Although the loan receivable from Liberty Star has an equity conversion option, it is not exercisable as the Group has not met the terms as laid out in the letter agreement (note 5).

(g) Exploration and Evaluation Expenditure

Exploration and evaluation expenditures include the costs of acquiring licenses, costs associated with exploration and evaluation activity, and the acquisition date fair value of exploration and evaluation assets acquired in a business combination or an asset acquisition. Exploration and evaluation expenditures are expensed as incurred except for expenditures associated with the acquisition of exploration and evaluation assets through a business combination or an asset acquisition. Costs incurred before the Group has obtained the legal rights to explore an area are expensed.

Acquisition costs, including general and administrative costs, are only capitalized to the extent that these costs can be related directly to operational activities in the relevant area of interest where it is considered likely to be recoverable by future exploitation or sale or where the activities have not reached a stage which permits a reasonable assessment of the existence of reserves.

Exploration and evaluation ("E&E") assets are assessed for impairment only when facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount of an E&E asset may exceed its recoverable amount and when the Group has sufficient information to reach a conclusion about technical feasibility and commercial viability.

Industry-specific indicators for an impairment review arise typically when one of the following circumstances applies:

- Substantive expenditure on further exploration and evaluation activities is neither budgeted nor planned;
- title to the asset is compromised;
- adverse changes in the taxation and regulatory environment;
- adverse changes in variations in commodity prices and markets; and
- variations in the exchange rate for the currency of operation.

Once the technical feasibility and commercial viability of the extraction of mineral resources in an area of interest are demonstrable, exploration and evaluation assets attributable to that area of interest are first tested for impairment and then reclassified to mining property and development assets within property, plant and equipment.

Recoverability of the carrying amount of any exploration and evaluation assets is dependent on successful development and commercial exploitation, or alternatively, sale of the respective areas of interest.

(h) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment ("PPE") are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

The cost of an item of PPE consists of the purchase price, any costs directly attributable to bringing the asset to the location and condition necessary for its intended use and an initial estimate of the costs of dismantling and removing the item and restoring the site on which it is located.

Depreciation is provided at rates calculated to write off the cost of PPE, less their estimated residual value, using the declining balance method at various rates ranging from 20% - 30% per annum.

An item of PPE is derecognized upon disposal or when no future economic benefits are expected to arise from the continued use of the asset. Any gain or loss arising on disposal of the asset, determined as the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset, is recognized in profit or loss.

Where an item of plant and equipment consists of major components with different useful lives, the components are accounted for as separate items of plant and equipment. Expenditures incurred to replace a component of an item of PPE that is accounted for separately, including major inspection and overhaul expenditures, are capitalized.

Residual values and estimated useful lives are reviewed at least annually.

(i) Impairment of Non-Financial Assets

At the end of each reporting period the carrying amounts of the Group's assets are reviewed to determine whether there is any indication that those assets are impaired. If any such indication exists, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of the impairment loss, if any. Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the Group estimates the recoverable amount of the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs. The recoverable amount is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use. Fair value is determined as the amount that would be obtained from the sale of the asset in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. If the recoverable amount of an asset is estimated to be less than its carrying amount, the carrying amount of the asset is reduced to its recoverable amount and the impairment loss is recognized in profit or loss for the period. For an asset that does not generate largely independent cash inflows, the recoverable amount is determined for the cash generating unit to which the asset belongs.

Where an impairment loss subsequently reverses, the carrying amount of the asset (or cash-generating unit) is increased to the revised estimate of its recoverable amount. This increase in the carrying amount is limited to the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset (or cash-generating unit) in prior years. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognized immediately in profit or loss.

The Group has not recorded any impairment charges in the years presented.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

(j) Share Capital

Common shares are classified as equity. Transaction costs directly attributable to the issue of common shares and share purchase options are recognized as a deduction from equity, net of any tax effects.

(k) Share-based Payment Transactions

Equity-settled share-based payments

The Group operates an equity-settled share-based option plan for its employees and service providers (note 7(b)). The fair value of share purchase options granted is recognized as an employee or consultant expense with a corresponding increase in the equity-settled share-based payments reserve in equity. An individual is classified as an employee when the individual is an employee for legal or tax purposes ("direct employee") or provides services similar to those performed by a direct employee.

The fair value is measured at grant date for each tranche which is expensed on a straight line basis over the vesting period with a corresponding increase in the *equity-settled share-based payments* reserve in equity. The fair value of the share purchase options granted is measured using the Black-Scholes option pricing model taking into account the terms and conditions upon which the share purchase options were granted and forfeiture rates as appropriate. At the end of each reporting period, the amount recognized as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of share purchase options that are expected to vest.

(1) Income Taxes

Income tax on the profit or loss for the years presented comprises current and deferred tax. Income tax is recognized in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognized in other comprehensive income or loss or directly in equity, in which case it is recognized in other comprehensive income or loss or equity.

Current tax expense is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at year end, adjusted for amendments to tax payable with regards to previous years.

Deferred tax is provided using the balance sheet liability method, providing for unused tax loss carry forwards and temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. The following temporary differences are not provided for: goodwill not deductible for tax purposes; the initial recognition of assets or liabilities that affect neither accounting nor taxable profit; and differences relating to investments in subsidiaries, associates, and joint ventures to the extent that they will probably not reverse in the foreseeable future. The amount of deferred tax provided is based on the expected manner of realization or settlement of the carrying amount of assets and liabilities, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the end of the reporting period applicable to the period of expected realization or settlement.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

A deferred tax asset is recognized only to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilized.

Additional income taxes that arise from the distribution of dividends are recognized at the same time as the liability to pay the related dividend.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset when there is a legally enforceable right to set off current tax assets against current tax liabilities and when they relate to income taxes levied by the same taxation authority and the Group intends to settle its current tax assets and liabilities on a net basis.

(m) Restoration, Rehabilitation, and Environmental Obligations

An obligation to incur restoration, rehabilitation and environmental costs arises when environmental disturbance is caused by the exploration or development of a mineral property interest. Such costs arising from the decommissioning of plant and other site preparation work, discounted to their net present value, are provided for and capitalized at the start of each project to the carrying amount of the asset, along with a corresponding liability as soon as the obligation to incur such costs arises. The timing of the actual rehabilitation expenditure is dependent on a number of factors such as the life and nature of the asset, the operating license conditions and, when applicable, the environment in which the mine operates.

Discount rates using a pre-tax rate that reflects the time value of money are used to calculate the net present value. These costs are charged against profit or loss over the economic life of the related asset, through amortization using either the unit-of-production or the straight line method. The corresponding liability is progressively increased as the effect of discounting unwinds, creating an expense recognized in profit or loss.

Decommissioning costs are also adjusted for changes in estimates. Those adjustments are accounted for as a change in the corresponding capitalized cost, except where a reduction in costs is greater than the unamortized capitalized cost of the related assets, in which case the capitalized cost is reduced to nil and the remaining adjustment is recognized in profit or loss.

The operations of the Group have been, and may in the future be, affected from time to time in varying degree by changes in environmental regulations, including those for site restoration costs. Both the likelihood of new regulations and their overall effect upon the Group are not predictable.

The Group has no material restoration, rehabilitation and environmental obligations as the disturbance to date is immaterial.

(n) Loss per Share

The Group presents basic and diluted loss per share data for its common shares, calculated by dividing the loss attributable to common shareholders of the Group by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted loss per share does not adjust the loss attributable to common shareholders or the weighted average number of common shares outstanding when the effect is anti-dilutive.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

(o) Segment Reporting

The Group operates in a single reportable operating segment – the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties. The Group's core asset is the Pebble Project, which is located in Alaska, USA.

(p) Significant Accounting Estimates and Judgments

The preparation of these Financial Statements requires management to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the Financial Statements and reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual outcomes could differ from these estimates. These Financial Statements include estimates which, by their nature, are uncertain. The impacts of such estimates are pervasive throughout the Financial Statements, and may require accounting adjustments based on future occurrences. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognized in the period in which the estimate is revised and future periods if the revision affects both current and future periods. These estimates are based on historical experience, current and future economic conditions and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

Sources of estimation uncertainty

Significant assumptions about the future and other sources of estimation uncertainty that management has made at the end of the reporting period, that could result in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, in the event that actual results differ from assumptions made, relate to, but are not limited to, the following:

- i. The Group uses the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model to calculate the fair value of share purchase options granted for determining share-based compensation included in the loss for the year. Inputs used in this model require subjective assumptions including the expected price volatility from three to five years. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can affect the fair value estimate, and therefore the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Group's share purchase options. The weighted average assumptions applied are disclosed in Note 7(b).
- ii. The loan receivable from Liberty Star Uranium & Metals Corp (note 5), which is secured by certain mineral claims, was past due at the end of the reporting period. The Group has not recognised an allowance for doubtful debts because the Group has a reasonable expectation that the loan will be settled by the transfer of the underlying mineral claims by the debtor, of which the recoverable amount exceeds the carrying value of the loan.
- iii. Significant assumptions about the future and other sources of estimation uncertainty are made in determining the provision for any deferred income tax expense included in the loss for the year and the composition of deferred income tax liabilities included in the Statement of Financial Position in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Critical accounting judgments

These include:

- i. In terms of IFRS 6, Exploration and Evaluation of Mineral Resources, the Group used judgment in determining whether there are facts and circumstances suggesting that the carrying amount of the Group's exploration and evaluation assets ("E&E assets") may exceed their recoverable amount.
- ii. IAS 21, *The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates* ("IAS 21") defines the functional currency as the currency of the primary economic environment in which an entity operates. IAS 21 requires the determination of functional currency to be performed on an entity by entity basis, based on various primary and secondary factors. In identifying the functional currency of the parent and of its subsidiaries, management considered the currency in which financing activities are denominated and the currency that mainly influences the cost of undertaking the business activities in each jurisdiction in which the Group operates.
- iii. The Group applied judgment in determining the classification of its interests in other entities. In particular, the Group determined the level of control or joint control and whether the Group has rights to assets and liabilities or to net assets of the arrangement based on the legal structure and contractual terms of the arrangement and, when relevant, other facts and circumstances. The Group concluded that its previous 50% interest in the Pebble Partnership that the Group held until December 10, 2013, was a joint venture in accordance with IFRS 11.
- iv. The Group used judgment in determining that the acquisition of 50% of the Pebble Partnership should be treated as an asset acquisition and not a business combination under IFRS 3, *Business Combinations*, and hence the transaction was outside the scope of IFRS 3. The Group considered the current stage of the Pebble Project which has no proven or probable reserves and the fact that the project's technical feasibility and economic viability has still to be determined or demonstrated.
- v. The Group has employed judgement that going concern was still an appropriate basis for the preparation of the Financial Statements. Although the Group no longer has access to a stream of financing for the development of the Pebble Project with the withdrawal of Anglo American from the Pebble Partnership and Pebble Project, the Group has reviewed all programs, timelines and budgets and prioritized the allocation of available financial resources to advance the Pebble Project in the most efficient manner and believes it will be able to meet corporate expenditure requirements and activities at the Pebble Project for the next year (refer note 1).
- (q) Amendments, Interpretations, Revised and New Standards Adopted by the Group

Effective January 1, 2013 the Group adopted several new and revised standards, which are described as follows:

<u>Interest in other entities</u>

On January 1, 2013, the Group adopted a package of five new and revised standards relating to interests in other entities namely:

- IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements ("IFRS 10");
- IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements ("IFRS 10");
- IAS 27, Separate Financial Statements (as revised in 2011);

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

- IAS 28, Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures (as revised in 2011); and
- IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities ("IFRS 12").

IFRS 10 replaces parts of IAS 27, *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements* that deal with consolidated financial statements, and SIC 12, *Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities.* IFRS 10 establishes control as the basis for determining which entities are consolidated in an entity's financial statements. An investor controls an investee when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. IFRS 10 sets out principles for the presentation and preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity controls one or more other investees.

IFRS 11 replaces IAS 31, *Interests in Joint Ventures* and SIC-13, *Jointly Controlled Entities – Non-Monetary Contributions by Venturers*. Accordingly, the Group has amended its accounting policy (note 2(d)) for the classification of arrangements which are subject to joint control. The Group now classifies any such arrangement as a joint operation or joint venture depending on the rights and obligations of the parties to the joint arrangement. For the arrangement to be classified as a joint operation, the parties that have joint control (referred to as "joint operators") have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the arrangement. In such circumstances the Group as a joint operator recognizes its portion of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in accordance with the contractual arrangement. For the arrangement to be classified a joint venture, the parties that have joint control (referred to as "joint venturers") have rights to the net assets of the arrangement, which is determined by considering the structure and form of the arrangement, the terms agreed by the parties in the contractual arrangement and other facts and circumstances. When the Group is a joint venturer, it recognizes the joint venture using the equity method of accounting in accordance with IAS 28, *Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures* (as revised in 2011).

The Group reviewed and assessed the structure and legal form, the terms of the contractual arrangement, and other facts and circumstances in relation to its 50% interest in the Pebble Partnership and determined that, under IFRS 11, the interest was a joint venture and hence continued to employ the equity method of accounting until the Group assumed control of the Pebble Partnership in December 2013 (note 3). The investment was carried in the statement of financial position at cost and adjusted by post-acquisition changes in the Group's share of the net assets of the joint venture, less any impairment losses. As the Group's investment is carried in US dollars, the investment was translated at the end of each reporting period (note 3).

IFRS 12 contains enhanced disclosure requirements to help financial statement users evaluate the nature of, and risks associated with, its interests in subsidiaries and other entities and the effects of those interests on its financial statements. Except for additional disclosures, if any, the adoption of IFRS 12 did not have any impact on the Financial Statements.

Presentation of financial statements

The Group adopted the amendments to IAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements*, which require the separate grouping of items of other comprehensive income into: (i) items that may be reclassified subsequently to profit or loss and (ii) items that will not be reclassified to profit or loss in future periods. If items of other comprehensive income are presented before tax, then income tax is

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

allocated to each respective group. The Group's Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Income) Loss has been reorganized to comply with the required groupings.

Other new and revised standards

The following other new and revised standards were effective January 1, 2013 for the Group:

- IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement The standard did not require any additional disclosure or adjustment to fair value measurement techniques used by the Group;
- IFRIC 20, *Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine* not applicable to the Group as the Pebble Project is not in production; and
- Amendment to IFRS 7, *Financial Instruments: Disclosures* The amendment had no impact as the Group has no financial assets and liabilities which were offset on the Statement of Financial Position or were subject to a master netting arrangement.

(r) Accounting Standards, Amendments and Revised Standards Not Yet Effective

Effective for the Group's financial years commencing on January 1, 2014

Amendments to IAS 32, *Financial Instruments: Presentation* ("IAS 32"), clarify existing application issues relating to the offset of financial assets and financial liabilities requirements. Specifically, the amendments clarify the meaning of "currently has a legal enforceable right of set-off" and "simultaneous realization and settlement". The amendments apply to annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014.

Amendments to IAS 36, *Impairment of Assets* ("IAS 36"), clarify the recoverable amount disclosures for non-financial assets, including additional disclosures about the measurement of the recoverable amount of impaired assets when the recoverable amount was based on fair value less costs of disposal. The amendments apply retrospectively for annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014. Earlier application is permitted except an entity shall not apply those amendments in periods (including comparative periods) in which it does not also apply IFRS 13.

IFRIC 21, *Levies* ("IFRIC 21"), provides guidance on accounting for levies in accordance with the requirements of IAS 37, *Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets*. The Interpretation defines a levy as an outflow from an entity imposed by a government in accordance with legislation. IFRIC 21 explicitly excludes from its scope, outflows related to IAS 12, *Income Taxes*, fines and penalties and liabilities arising from emission trading schemes. IFRIC 21 clarifies that a liability be recognized only when the triggering event specified in the legislature occurs and not before. IFRIC 21 is effective retrospectively for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014.

Effective date to be determined

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments, Classification and Measurement ("IFRS 9"), is the first phase of three phases to replace IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement, in its entirety. In July 2013, the IASB tentatively decided to defer the mandatory effective date and leave that date open pending the finalization of other phases of IFRS 9.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

The Group anticipates that the adoption of the amendments to IAS 32 and IFRS 9 will have no material impact on its consolidated financial statements given the extent of its use of financial instruments in the ordinary course of business. Given that the Group is not subject to levies as defined, the Group does not anticipate the adoption of IFRIC 21 to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements. The amendment to IAS 36 will result in additional disclosure if and when the Group impairs non-financial assets.

3. INVESTMENT UNDER EQUITY METHOD AND DISCONTINUANCE OF EQUITY METHOD

On July 26, 2007, the Group converted a wholly-owned general partnership that held its Pebble Property interest into a limited partnership, the Pebble Partnership. Anglo American plc ("Anglo American") through a wholly-owned subsidiary subscribed for 50% of the Pebble Partnership's equity effective July 31, 2007. To maintain its 50% interest in the Pebble Partnership, Anglo American was required to commit staged cash investments into the Pebble Partnership aggregating to US\$1.5 billion to fund comprehensive exploration, engineering, environmental and socioeconomic programs and, if warranted, development of the Pebble Project. The Pebble Partnership agreement provided for equal project control rights (joint control) for both partners. The Group determined that its 50% interest in the Pebble Partnership was a joint venture and applied the equity method in accounting for this interest (note 2(q)).

Effective December 10, 2013 (the "Transition Date"), following the withdrawal of Anglo American from the Pebble Partnership, the Group's ownership in the Pebble Partnership and the Pebble Project increased to 100% as the Pebble Partnership became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Group. The Group has consolidated the Pebble Partnership in these Financial Statements from the Transition Date.

(a) The Pebble Limited Partnership under Joint Venture

Since the inception of the Pebble Partnership, the Group has not recognized any share of the losses in the Pebble Partnership as the Group had no obligation in respect to these losses as the agreement with Anglo American stated that the distribution of losses funded by Anglo American were to be allocated 100% to Anglo American until satisfaction of Anglo American's earn-in expenditures.

The Group's investment in the Pebble Partnership was carried in US dollars. Exchange differences arising from the translation of the Group's investment in the Pebble Partnership were recognized directly in the foreign currency translation reserve through other comprehensive income or loss (note 7(c)). The following summarizes the movement in the carrying value of the investment in the Pebble Partnership under joint venture:

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Investment in the Pebble Partnership	December 31	December 31
	2013	2012
Carrying value at the beginning of the year	\$ 99,336	\$ 101,542
Cash contribution to Pebble Partnership	1,055	_
Gain on increase in net assets of Pebble Partnership attributable		
to the Group	5,062	-
Exchange difference on translation of investment in Pebble		
Partnership (note 7(c))	6,736	(2,206)
Discontinuance of equity method (note 3(b))	(112,189)	_
Carrying value at the end of the year	\$ -	\$ 99,336

Summary financial information for the equity accounted investee on a 100% basis is as follows:

Assets and Liabilities	December 10	December 31
	2013	2012
Non-current assets	\$ 106,758	\$ 101,344
Current assets	7,509	11,890
Total assets	\$ 114,267	\$ 113,234
Current liabilities	2,078	9,902
Total liabilities	\$ 2,078	\$ 9,902

Losses	Period	
	January 1 to	Year Ended
	December 10	December 31
	2013	2012
Net loss for the period	\$ 68,803	\$ 102,863
Net cumulative losses	\$ 590,913	\$ 522,110

Since inception and up to the Transition Date, the Group has not recognized net loss and net cumulative losses of the Pebble Partnership in the Financial Statements of the Group.

(b) Discontinuance of Equity Method

On the Transition Date, pursuant to the terms of the Pebble Partnership agreement, Anglo American's ownership share in the Pebble Partnership reverted to the Group for a nominal consideration. Commencing from the Transition Date, the Group has consolidated all entities that comprised the Pebble Partnership (note 2(c)). The Group has determined that as the Pebble Partnership did not meet the definition of a business under IFRS 3 *Business Combinations*, the change in control of the Pebble Partnership has been accounted for as an asset acquisition in these Financial Statements. On the Transition Date, being the effective date of withdrawal of Anglo American, the Group has allocated the carrying amount of its investment in the Pebble Partnership to assets and liabilities of the Pebble Partnership.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

	Carrying value
Carrying amount of investment in Pebble Partnership on the Transition Date (note 3(a))	\$ 112,189
Net assets of Pebble Partnership on the Transition Date Mineral property, plant and equipment	\$ 106,758
Current assets Current Liabilities	7,509 (2,078)
Total	(2,070)

Subsequent to the Transition Date, the Group recognized \$401 (US\$377) in expenditures incurred by the Pebble Partnership which has been included in the consolidated statement of comprehensive (income) loss in these Financial Statements.

4. MINERAL PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The Group's exploration and evaluation assets are comprised of the following:

	Mineral Property		Plant and		Total
	interest		equipment		
Cost					
Beginning balance	\$	1,055	\$	_	\$ 1,055
Additions during the period (note 3(b))		105,642		1,222	106,864
Ending balance	\$	106,697	\$	1,222	\$ 107,919
Foreign currency translation difference		130		1	131
Net carrying value - Ending balance	\$	106,827	\$	1,223	\$ 108,050
Net carrying value – Beginning balance	\$	1,055	\$	-	\$ 1,055

The Pebble property ("Pebble") is located in southwest Alaska, 19 miles (30 kilometers) from the villages of Iliamna and Newhalen, and approximately 200 miles (320 kilometers) southwest of the city of Anchorage. Mineral rights in Pebble were acquired by the Group in 2001.

The Group's mineral property interest include mineral claims located to the west of the Pebble Project mineral claims acquired by the Group in 2010 in consideration for a US\$1,000,000 (\$1,055) cash payment to Liberty Star Uranium & Metals Corp. and its subsidiary, Big Chunk Corp. (together, "Liberty Star"). Additionally, the Group advanced an aggregate amount of approximately \$4 million to Liberty Star, which is secured by additional adjacent mineral claims owned by Liberty Star (note 5).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

5. AMOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND PREPAID EXPENSES

	Decem	ber 31 2013	Decem	nber 31 2012
Sales tax receivable	\$	94	\$	177
Amounts receivable		217		-
Loan receivable		5,479		4,639
Prepaid expenses		873		187
Total	\$	6,663	\$	5,003

Loan Receivable

The loan receivable comprises the amount advanced to Liberty Star in cash, expenditures incurred by the Group in relation to Liberty Star's mineral claims in Alaska and interest accrued thereon (together, the "Loan") pursuant to a letter agreement dated June 2010 and subsequent amendments thereof (together, the "Letter Agreement"). The Loan is repayable in cash upon demand by the Group. The Loan accrues interest at 10% per annum, compounded monthly, and is secured by assets and mining claims owned by Liberty Star in Alaska, USA.

The principal amount and accrued interest relating to the Loan are as follows:

	Decem	iber 31	Decen	nber 31
		2013		2012
Balance of the principal amount:				
Cash advance (US\$3,000,000)	\$	3,191	\$	2,985
Expenses incurred on behalf of Liberty Star (US\$730,174)		776		726
Total principal amount receivable (US\$3,730,174)		3,967		3,711
Accumulated accrued interest (2013 - US\$1,421,306; 2012 -		1 512		020
US\$933,012) Balance at end of year (2013 - US\$5,151,480; 2012 -		1,512		928
US\$4,663,186)	\$	5,479	\$	4,639

The Loan was advanced in conjunction with and in anticipation of the acquisition of a mineral property interest, pursuant to the Letter Agreement, which is summarized as follows:

- (i) the Group acquired certain mineral claims from Liberty Star in the vicinity of the Pebble Project mineral claims (note (4)); and
- (ii) the Group and Liberty Star agreed to enter into a joint venture arrangement whereby the Group, subject to an earn-in expenditure requirement, may acquire a 60% interest in certain of Liberty Star's mineral claims adjacent to the mineral claims acquired in (i) above. Liberty Star's assets held as collateral for the Loan include, but are not limited to, these mineral claims. The Letter Agreement provides that, in the event of execution of the joint venture agreement, the Group at its sole election can deem all or any part of the Loan to constitute part of the Group's contribution to the earn-in expenditure requirement or can convert the Loan into Liberty Star's common shares upon completion of a certain amount of minimum earn-in expenditure.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

In October 2012, as the joint venture agreement contemplated in the Letter Agreement was not executed, the Group delivered a notice of repayment of the Loan to Liberty Star. In November 2012, the Group and Liberty Star negotiated a loan settlement agreement and an amendment thereto (together; the "Loan Settlement Agreement") whereby the Group agreed to extinguish the Loan in consideration for receiving title to certain of Liberty Star's mineral claims which were held as collateral for the Loan. At December 31, 2013, Liberty Star had not completed valid transfer of these claims to the Group and in accordance with the terms of the Loan Settlement Agreement, the Loan Settlement Agreement had not closed and the Group retained all its rights under the Letter Agreement. Accordingly, the Group has continued to recognize the Loan as a financial asset in these Financial Statements.

The Group believes that, at December 31, 2013, although the Loan was past due 405 days, the Group does not consider it impaired as the Group is awaiting court judgment on the valid transfer of the Settlement Claims in settlement of the loan. Notwithstanding, the Group has reasonable expectation that it will recover the carrying amount of the Loan by enforcing the legal rights conferred by the Letter Agreement and/or the Loan Settlement Agreement including, but not limited to, the right to receive all or any portion of the mineral claims held as collateral for the Loan.

6. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AND RESTRICTED CASH

(a) Cash and Cash Equivalents

	December 31 December			nber 31
		2013		2012
Business and savings accounts	\$	7,334	\$	5,921
Guaranteed investment certificates		18,461		21,616
Total	\$	25,795	\$	27,537

(b) Restricted Cash

At December 31, 2013, restricted cash in the amount of \$1,276 (December 31, 2012 – \$nil) was held in the Pebble Partnership for certain equipment demobilization expenses relating to its activities undertaken when it was subject to joint control (note 3(a)). This cash is not available for general use by the Group. As per the terms of Anglo American's withdrawal from the Pebble Partnership, the Group has a current obligation (note 9) to refund any unutilized balance after a stipulated time period.

7. CAPITAL AND RESERVES

(a) Authorized Share Capital

At December 31, 2013, the authorized share capital comprised an unlimited (2012 – unlimited) number of common shares with no par value. All issued shares are fully paid.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

(b) Share Purchase Option Compensation Plan

The Group has a share purchase option plan approved by the Group's shareholders that allows the Board of Directors to grant share purchase options, subject to regulatory terms and approval, to its officers, directors, employees, and service providers. The share purchase option plan (the "2011 Rolling Option Plan") is based on the maximum number of eligible shares equaling a rolling percentage of 10% of the Group's outstanding common shares, calculated from time to time. Pursuant to the 2011 Rolling Option Plan, if outstanding share purchase options are exercised and the number of issued and outstanding common shares of the Group increases, then the share purchase options available to grant under the plan increase proportionately. The exercise price of each share purchase option is set by the Board of Directors at the time of grant but cannot be less than the market price, being the 5-day volume weighted average trading price calculated the day before the grant. Share purchase options can have a maximum term of ten years (although share purchase options have generally been granted with terms of three or five years) and typically terminate 90 days following the termination of the optionee's employment or engagement. In the case of death or retirement, any outstanding vested share purchase options will expire the earlier of the expiry date or one year from date of death or retirement. The vesting period for share purchase options is at the discretion of the Board of Directors at the time the share purchase options are granted.

The following reconciles the Group's share purchase options outstanding at the beginning and end of the year:

	2013		2012	
		Weighted		Weighted
		average		average
	Number of	exercise	Number of	exercise
	share purchase	price	share purchase	price
Continuity of share options	options	(\$/option)	options	(\$/option)
Balance at beginning of year	7,611,530	7.00	8,306,782	8.71
Granted	-	-	2,199,500	3.00
Exercised	(10,100)	3.00	(21,000)	4.62
Expired	(1,800,830)	7.79	(1,930,052)	6.01
Forfeited	(64,000)	4.26	(82,700)	7.77
Cancelled	(2,000,900)	11.76	(861,000)	15.44
Balance at end of year	3,735,700	4.13	7,611,530	7.00

The following table summarizes share purchase options exercised in 2013:

		Weighted average	Weighted average
	Share purchase	exercise price	market share price
Date	options exercised	(\$/option)	on exercise (\$)
April 1, 2013	10,100	3.00	3.15

The following table summarizes share purchase options exercised in 2012:

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

Date	Share purchase options exercised	Weighted average exercise price (\$/option)	Weighted average market share price on exercise (\$)
January 27, 2012	17,000	5.00	7.85
October 5, 2012	4,000	3.00	4.45
	21,000	4.62	7.23

For share purchase options granted in 2012, the weighted average fair value was estimated at \$0.87 per option and was based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions:

Assumptions	
Risk-free interest rate	1.11%
Expected life	3.43 years
Expected volatility	60%
Grant date share price	\$2.38
Expected dividend yield	Nil

The following table summarizes information about the Group's share purchase options outstanding at the end of the year:

	Share j	Share purchase options exercisable				
			Weighted			Weighted
	Number of	Weighted	average	Number of	Weighted	average
	share	average	remaining	share	average	remaining
	purchase	exercise	contractual	purchase	exercise	contractual
Exercise	options	price	life	options	price	life
prices (\$)	outstanding	(\$/option)	(years)	exercisable	(\$/option)	(years)
3.00	2,017,700	3.00	1.91	2,017,700	3.00	1.91
5.00 - 5.35	1,643,000	5.01	0.09	1,643,000	5.01	0.09
15.44	75,000	15.44	0.92	75,000	15.44	0.92
	3,735,700	4.13	1.09	3,735,700	4.13	1.09

(c) Foreign Currency Translation Reserve

	Year ended December 31		
		2013	2012
Balance at beginning of year	\$	347	\$ 2,470
Foreign exchange translation differences			
Exchange gain (loss) on translation of the investment in the Pebble			
Partnership under joint venture (note 3(a))		6,736	(2,206)
Exchange gain on translation of the Pebble Partnership as a foreign			
subsidiary (note 3(b))		138	_
Total foreign exchange translation difference for the year		6,874	(2,206)
Deferred income tax on investment		(128)	83
Reversal of deferred income tax on investment		141	_
Balance at the end of year	\$	7,234	\$ 347

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

The foreign currency translation reserve represents accumulated exchange differences arising on the translation of the Pebble Partnership, which has a US dollar functional currency.

The Company has not reallocated the accumulated foreign exchange translation reserve attributable to the translation of the Pebble Partnership under joint arrangement upon discontinuance of the equity method (note 3(b)), as the transition from joint control to the Group's control was accounted for using the historical cost of the investment.

8. RELATED PARTY BALANCES AND TRANSACTIONS

Balances and transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries, which are related parties of the Company, have been eliminated on consolidation (note 2(b)). Details between the Group and other related parties are disclosed below:

(a) Transactions and Balances with Key Management Personnel

The aggregate value of transactions with key management personnel, being directors and senior management including the Senior Vice President, Corporate Development; Vice President ("VP") Corporate Communications, VP, Engineering and VP, Public Affairs, were as follows:

	Year ended December 31			oer 31
Compensation		2013		2012
Short-term employee benefits (i)	\$	2,517	\$	2,222
Share-based compensation		230		2,781
Total	\$	2,747	\$	5,003

⁽i) Short-term employee benefits include salaries and directors fees.

(b) Transactions and Balances with other Related Parties

The aggregate value of transactions and outstanding balances with other related parties were as follows:

	Year ended December 31	
Transactions	2013	2012
Entity with significant influence (a)		
Services rendered to the Group	\$ 4,181	\$ 3,531
Reimbursement of third party expenses incurred on behalf of the Group	829	1,129
Total paid by the Group	\$ 5,010	\$ 4,660
Jointly controlled entity (b)		
Reimbursement of third party expenses incurred by the Group	\$ (90)	\$ (25)
Total reimbursed (to) the Group	\$ (90)	\$ (25)

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

	December 31	December 31
Balances receivable from related parties	2013	2012
Jointly controlled entity (b)	\$ -	\$ 3
Total	\$ -	\$ 3

	December 31	December 31
Balances payable to related parties	2013	2012
Entity with significant influence over the Group (a)	\$ 459	\$ 148
Total	\$ 459	\$ 148

- (a) Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. ("HDSI"), a private company, provides geological, corporate development, administrative and management services to the Group and its subsidiaries at annually set rates pursuant to a management services agreement. HDSI also incurs third party costs on behalf of the Group which are reimbursed by the Group at cost. The Group may make pre-payments for services under terms of the services agreement. Several directors and other key management personnel of HDSI, who are close business associates, are also key management personnel of the Group.
- (b) The Group incurred costs on behalf of the Pebble Partnership while under joint control (note 3(a)), which were reimbursed at cost.

9. TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES

	December 31 Decemb		ber 31
Falling due within the year	2013		2012
Trade	\$ 2,318	\$	261
Other (note 6 (b))	1,276		_
Total	\$ 3,594	\$	261

10. BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE

The calculation of basic and diluted loss per share was based on the following:

	December 31	December 31
	2013	2012
Loss attributable to common shareholders	\$ 2,523	\$ 15,662
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (000s)	95,007	94,995

Diluted loss per share did not include the effect of the of share purchase options outstanding as they are anti-dilutive.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

11. EMPLOYMENT COSTS

The amount of salaries and benefits included in expenses are as follows:

	Year ended December 31			
		2013		2012
Exploration and evaluation expenses	\$	992	\$	856
General and administration expenses		3,389		2,874
Share-based compensation		641		5,225
Total	\$	5,022	\$	8,955

12. INCOME TAX EXPENSE

	Year ended December 31			
		2012		
Current tax expense (recovery)				
Current expense (recovery)	\$	_	\$	
Current income tax expense (recovery)	\$	-	\$	_
Deferred income tax expense				
Current expense	\$	184	\$	
Deferred income tax expense	\$	184	\$	_

	Year ended December 3		
Reconciliation of effective tax rate	2013	2012	
(Loss) for the period	\$ (2,523)	\$ (15,662)	
Total income tax expense (recovery)	184	_	
(Loss) excluding income tax	(2,339)	(15,662)	
Income tax using the Company's domestic tax rate	(602)	(3,916)	
Non-deductible expenses and other	336	1,322	
Increase in statutory tax rates	(1,465)	_	
Foreign exchange	13	83	
Deferred income tax assets not recognized	1,902	2,511	
	\$ 184	\$ -	

The Company's domestic tax rate for the year was 25.75% (2012 – 25.00%).

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

	December 31	December 31
Deferred income tax assets (liabilities)	2013	2012
Resource pool	\$ -	\$ 21,144
Tax losses	115	58
Net deferred income tax assets	115	21,202
Resource property/investment in Pebble Partnership	(3,901)	(24,834)
Equipment	(17)	
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ (3,803)	\$ (3,632)

The Group had the following temporary differences at December 31, 2013 in respect of which no deferred tax asset has been recognized:

Expiry	Tax losses	Resource pools	Other	
Within one year	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
One to five years	_	_	1,311	
After five years	41,075	-	_	
No expiry date	41	106,666	93	
Total	\$ 41,116	\$ 106,666	\$ 1,404	

The Group has taxable temporary differences in relation to investments in foreign subsidiaries or branches for which deferred tax liabilities have not been recognized of approximately \$9.5 million.

13. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

The Group is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks. The Board approves and monitors the risk management processes, inclusive of documented investment policies, counterparty limits, and controlling and reporting structures. The type of risk exposure and the way in which such exposure is managed is provided as follows:

(a) Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Group if a counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Group's credit risk is primarily attributable to its liquid financial assets, including cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, amounts receivable and amounts receivable from related parties. The Group limits the exposure to credit risk by only investing its cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash with high-credit quality financial institutions in business and saving accounts, guaranteed investment certificates, and in government treasury bills which are available on demand by the Group for its programs. Amounts receivable (note 5) are primarily comprised of a secured loan and other receivable balances with government agencies.

(b) Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Group will not be able to meet its financial obligations when they become due. The Group ensures, as far as reasonably possible, it will have sufficient capital in order to meet short to medium term business requirements, after taking into account cash flows from operations and the Group's holdings of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash. The Group's

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash are currently invested in business accounts and guaranteed investment certificates which are available on demand.

The Group's financial liabilities are comprised of trade and other payables (note 9) and payable to a related party (note 8), which are due for payment within 12 months from the reporting date. The carrying amounts of the Group's financial liabilities represent the Group's contractual obligations.

(c) Foreign exchange risk

The Group is exposed to foreign exchange risk in respect to its US dollar-denominated cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, loan receivable, and trade and other payables. Additionally certain of the Group's corporate expenses are incurred in US dollars. As a consequence, the Group's results from its operations are subject to currency transaction risk and currency translation risk. The operating results and financial position of the Group are reported in Canadian dollars in the Group's consolidated financial statements. The fluctuation of the US dollar in relation to the Canadian dollar will consequently have an impact upon the losses incurred by the Group and may also affect the value of the Group's assets and the amount of shareholders' equity.

The Group has not entered into any agreements or purchased any instruments to hedge possible currency risks.

The exposure of the Group's financial assets to foreign exchange risk is as follows:

Currency	December 31, 2013				Decemb	er 31, 2	012	
		US dollar Amo		Amount in		US dollar		nount in
		amount		Canadian		amount	С	anadian
US dollars - Financial assets		(000s)		dollars		(000s)		dollars
Amounts receivable	\$	5,360	\$	5,701	\$	4,663	\$	4,639
Cash and cash equivalents and								
restricted cash		7,083		7,534		45		45
Total exposed to currency risk	\$	12,443	\$	13,235	\$	4,708	\$	4,684

The exposure of the Group's financial liabilities to foreign exchange risk is as follows:

Currency	December 31, 2013			December 31, 201				12	
	Ţ	US dollar Amount in		Amount in		US dollar		Amo	unt in
		amount	(Canadian	a	mount		Car	nadian
US dollars - Financial liabilities		(000s)		dollars		(000s)		Ċ	lollars
Trade and other payables	\$	3,197	\$	3,400	\$	40		\$	40
Total exposed to currency risk	\$	3,197	\$	3,400	\$	40		\$	40

Based on the above net exposures and assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 10% depreciation of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar would result in a decrease in the loss of approximately \$983 in the year (2012 - \$465). This sensitivity analysis includes only outstanding

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

foreign currency denominated monetary items, and excludes the effect of any translation adjustments for the investment in the Pebble Partnership.

(d) Interest rate risk

The Group is subject to interest rate cash flow risk with respect to its investments in cash and cash equivalents. The Group's policy is to invest cash at fixed rates of interest and cash reserves are to be maintained in cash and cash equivalents in order to maintain liquidity, while achieving a satisfactory return for shareholders. Fluctuations in interest rates when the cash and cash equivalents mature impact interest income earned.

Assuming that all other variables remain constant, a 100 basis points change representing a 1% increase or decrease in interest rates would have resulted in a decrease or increase in the loss as follows:

	Decem	ber 31	Dece	mber 31
		2013		2012
Effect on loss	\$	267	\$	325

(e) Capital Management

The Group's policy is to maintain a strong capital base so as to maintain investor and creditor confidence and to sustain future development of the business. The capital structure of the Group consists of equity, comprising share capital, net of accumulated deficit.

There were no changes in the Group's approach to capital management during the year.

The Group is not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements.

(f) Fair value

The fair value of the Group's financial assets and liabilities approximates the carrying amount.

14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Group has the following commitments:

	2014 (000s)	2015 (000s)	2016 (000s)
Anchorage office lease (i)	US\$ 740	US\$ 763	US\$ 651
Anchorage communication lease (ii)	144	84	_
Pebble Project site lease (iii)	780	260	_
Total	US\$ 1,664	US\$ 1,107	US\$ 651
Total using year end rate of \$1.0636/US\$	\$ 1,770	\$ 1,177	\$ 692

Original term of 5 years expires on October 31, 2016.

⁽ii) Lease term expires on July 31, 2015.

⁽iii) Lease for accommodation at site, expires on April 30, 2015.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

(Expressed in thousands of Canadian Dollars, unless otherwise stated, except per share or option)

After the reporting period, the Group entered into a sub-lease agreement in respect of a portion of the Anchorage office space subject to the operating lease for an average annual rent of approximately US\$218,000 (\$232). The term of the sub-lease expires on October 31, 2016.

15. EVENTS AFTER THE REPORTING PERIOD

The Group granted 4,494,600 options at an exercise price of \$1.77 per option with expiry dates of February 26, 2017 and 2019. 1,795,800 options expired unexercised with a weighted average exercise price of \$5.17 per option with various expiry dates.



MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. Management's Discussion And Analysis Year ended December 31, 2013

Table of Contents

1.1	DATE		3
1.2		IEW	
	1.2.1	Pebble Project	
		1.2.1.1 TECHNICAL PROGRAMS	
		1.2.1.2 Legal Matters	
		1.2.1.3 Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment	
	1.2.2	OTHER PROPERTIES	
		1.2.2.1 SOUTH PEBBLE, SP AND KAK CLAIMS	
		1.2.2.2 BIG CHUNK NORTH AND SOUTH	
	1.2.3	MARKET TRENDS	13
1.3	SELECT	red Annual Information	14
1.4	SUMMA	ARY AND DISCUSSION OF QUARTERLY RESULTS	15
1.5	RESUL	rs of Operations	17
1.6	Liquid	ITY	19
1.7	CAPITA	AL RESOURCES	20
1.8	OFF-B	ALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS	20
1.9	TRANS	ACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES	20
1.10	Fourt	H QUARTER	20
1.11	Propo	SED TRANSACTIONS	21
1.12	CRITIC	AL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES	21
1.13	CHANG	ES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES INCLUDING INITIAL ADOPTION	25
1.14	FINANG	CIAL INSTRUMENTS AND OTHER INSTRUMENTS	25
1.15	OTHER	MD&A REQUIREMENTS	28
	1.15.1	DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA	29
	1.15.2	DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	29
		MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING	
	1.15.4	CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING	30
	1.15.5	LIMITATIONS OF CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	30
	1.15.6	RISK FACTORS	30

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.1 Date

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements ("Financial Statements") of Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. ("Northern Dynasty" or the "Company") for the year ended December 31, 2013 as publicly filed under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

The Company reports in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the IFRS Interpretations Committee (together, "IFRS"). The following disclosure and associated Financial Statements are presented in accordance with IFRS. This MD&A is prepared as of March 25, 2014. All dollar amounts herein are expressed in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise specified.

This discussion includes certain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements" or "forward looking information" within the meaning of Canadian and United States securities law.

All statements in this disclosure, other than statements of historical facts, that address permitting, exploration drilling, exploitation activities and events or developments that the Company expects are forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements include market prices, exploitation and exploration successes, continuity of mineralization, potential environmental issues and liabilities associated with exploration, development and mining activities, uncertainties related to the ability to obtain necessary permits, licenses and title and delays due to third party opposition, changes in government policies regarding mining and natural resource exploration and exploitation, continued availability of capital and financing, and general economic, market or business conditions. Investors are cautioned that any such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. For more information on the Company, investors should review the Company's continuous disclosure filings that are available under the Company's profile at www.sedar.com.

For more information on the Company, investors should review the Company's Form 40-F filing with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") at www.sec.gov and its annual information form and home jurisdiction filings that are available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

The Company reviews its forward looking statements on an ongoing basis and updates this information when circumstances require it.

Year ended December 31, 2013

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Measured and Indicated Resources

The following section uses the terms "measured resources" and "indicated resources". The Company advises investors that although those terms are recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize them. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of mineral deposits in these categories will ever be converted into reserves.

Cautionary Note to Investors Concerning Estimates of Inferred Resources

The following section uses the term "inferred resources". The Company advises investors that although this term is recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize it. "Inferred resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of a mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of economic studies, except in rare cases. **Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an inferred resource exists, or is economically or legally mineable.**

1.2 Overview

Northern Dynasty is a mineral exploration company which, via its subsidiaries, holds interests in mining claims on State of Alaska land in southwest Alaska, USA ("US" or "America"), which are part of or in the vicinity of the Pebble Copper-Gold-Molybdenum Project (the "Pebble Project"). The Pebble Project is an advanced, pre-development stage initiative to design the development of one of the world's most important mineral resources when measured by aggregate contained metals.

Northern Dynasty acquired the right to earn an interest in the Pebble property in late 2001. From 2001 to 2007, the Company established substantial mineral resources at the Pebble deposit. Comprehensive environmental, social and engineering studies of the deposit and surrounding area to support mine planning and permitting activities were initiated in 2004.

In mid-2007, a wholly-owned affiliate of Northern Dynasty and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Anglo American plc ("Anglo American") established the Pebble Limited Partnership (the "Pebble Partnership") to advance the Pebble Project toward feasibility. To maintain its 50% interest in the Pebble Partnership, Anglo American was required to commit staged cash investments into the Pebble Partnership aggregating to US\$1.5 billion for comprehensive exploration, engineering, environmental and socioeconomic programs and, if warranted, permitting of a planned project, followed by construction of the final approved Pebble Project. Anglo American's cash contribution since the formation of the Pebble Partnership amounted to \$595 million (US\$573 million).

On September 15, 2013, Anglo American gave the Company its notice of withdrawal from the Pebble Partnership. In December 2013, Northern Dynasty exercised its right to acquire Anglo American's interest in the Pebble Partnership and now holds a 100% interest in the Pebble Partnership and its general partner, Pebble Mines Corp., which administers the Pebble Project.

Subsequent to the end of the year, Thomas C. (Tom) Collier, an experienced US regulatory lawyer and former Chief of Staff in the US Department of Interior, was appointed Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of the Pebble Partnership. Mr. Collier has extensive natural resource sector experience, specializing in the provision of strategic leadership to companies navigating federal environmental permitting processes, specifically the Environmental Impact Statement process under the USA

Year ended December 31, 2013

National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA") and 404 wetlands permitting under the Clean Water Act.

Former Pebble Partnership CEO, John Shively, was named Chairman of Pebble Mines Corp. and will continue to play an active role on the Pebble strategic leadership team.

At December 31, 2013, Northern Dynasty had cash and cash equivalents on hand of \$25.8 million for its operating requirements. In the fall of 2013, the Company initiated a review of all Pebble Partnership programs, timelines and budgets and prioritized the allocation of available financial resources to advance the Pebble Project in the most efficient manner. Management believes that the Company has sufficient capital resources to cover corporate expenditure requirements and activities at the Pebble Project for a period in excess of the next twelve months.

Plans for 2014

The Company's priority goals in 2014 are to address the US Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA")'s recently announced 404(c) process under the Clean Water Act, to move forward with environmental planning and project design work and to seek one or more potential joint venture or other partners to join the Pebble Project. Northern Dynasty has consolidated all of the technical data, engineering work and permitting documentation related to the Pebble Project into a data room to facilitate due diligence reviews by interested parties. The Company will also continue its review of the project and advance engineering and environmental studies, as well as regulatory documentation, to prepare for federal and state permitting under NEPA. A final decision on formal permit application timing depends on factors such as completion of technical assessments and interaction with regulatory agencies, and would be made by the Northern Dynasty and Pebble Mines Corp. Boards.

1.2.1 Pebble Project

The Pebble property ("Pebble") is located in southwest Alaska, 19 miles (30 kilometers) from the villages of Iliamna and Newhalen, and approximately 200 miles (320 kilometers) southwest of the city of Anchorage. Situated approximately 1,000 feet above sea-level and 65 miles from tidewater on Cook Inlet, the site conditions are favorable for sound mine site and infrastructure development.

Mineralization indicating the presence of the Pebble deposit was discovered in 1987 by a prior operator. By 1997 an initial outline of a deposit of copper, gold and molybdenum had been identified.

From 2001-2007, Northern Dynasty explored the Pebble deposit and surrounding property using its own financial resources. This work led to an overall expansion of the deposit, including discovery of a substantial volume of higher grade mineralization to the east, as well as the discovery of another porphyry copper-gold-molybdenum deposit, a porphyry copper zone, a gold-copper skarn occurrence, and gold showings along the extensive northeast-trending mineralized system underlying the property.

Year ended December 31, 2013

The estimate of the Pebble deposit mineral resources has been updated several times as exploration has advanced. The most recent estimate was announced in February 2010, which at a 0.30% copper equivalent (CuEQ)¹ cut-off comprises:

- 5.94 billion tonnes of Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources grading 0.42% copper, 0.35 g/t gold and 250 ppm molybdenum (0.78% CuEQ), containing 55 billion pounds of copper, 67 million ounces of gold, and 3.3 billion pounds of molybdenum; and
- 4.84 billion tonnes of Inferred Mineral Resources grading 0.24% copper, 0.26 g/t gold and 215 ppm molybdenum (0.53% CuEQ), containing 25.6 billion pounds of copper, 40.4 million ounces of gold, and 2.3 billion pounds of molybdenum.

In February 2011, Northern Dynasty announced the results of an independent Preliminary Assessment ("PA") of the Pebble Project by Wardrop, a Tetra Tech Company. The PA analyzed the economics of three successive mine development cases comprising 25, 45 and 78 years of open pit mining, each at a posited processing rate of 200,000 tons per day. The results of the PA indicated the potential for positive returns on invested capital in a mine. Although additional engineering, environmental and socioeconomic studies and data collection programs designed to advance a prefeasibility study for the Pebble Project continued from 2011 through early 2013, this work was not sufficiently comprehensive or material to obviate the 2011 PA analyses and conclusions. However, since the withdrawal of Anglo American from the Pebble Partnership in late 2013 and in light of more recent stakeholder and regulatory feedback, Northern Dynasty initiated a comprehensive review of previous analyses of the Pebble Project, including the 2011 PA and various project components. Current studies of the Pebble Project investigate new infrastructure plans as well as lower throughput rates in a revised project development concept. As well, the cost and revenue inputs require updating given the nearly 4 years which have passed since the 2011 PA was done. For these reasons, any project which is ultimately put forward for permitting will almost certainly be different from the economic assessment model examined in the 2011 PA. Therefore conclusions in the 2011 PA study may have limited going-forward relevance at this time. There can be no assurances given by Northern Dynasty that the economics of the new alternative mine models being assessed will demonstrate economics similar to those projected in the 2011 PA.

In January 2012, the Pebble Partnership publicly released the Environmental Baseline Document ("EBD") for the Pebble Project. It contains more than 27,000 pages of scientific data and analyses, characterizing a broad range of environmental and social conditions in southwest Alaska – including climate, water quality, wetlands, fish and aquatic habitat, wildlife, land and water use, socioeconomics and subsistence primarily based on research undertaken between 2004 and 2008, although baseline data collecting and monitoring has continued. Public and expert review of the EBD was facilitated under the Keystone initiative (see further details in section 1.2.1.3 below). Compilation of 2009 to 2013 environmental baseline data reports as well as reports that analyze

¹ Mineral resources at February 2010 estimated within a volume or shell defined by long-term metal price estimates of US\$2.50/lb copper, US\$900/oz gold and US\$25/lb molybdenum. M+I include 527 million tonnes in the measured category grading 0.33% copper, 0.35 g/t gold and 178 ppm molybdenum and 5,414 million tonnes in the indicated category grading 0.43% copper, 0.35 g/t gold and 257 ppm molybdenum. Copper equivalent calculations used metal prices of US\$1.85/lb for copper, US\$902/oz for gold and US\$12.50/lb for molybdenum, and metallurgical recoveries of 85% for copper, 69.6% for gold, and 77.8% for molybdenum in the Pebble West area and 89.3% for copper, 76.8% for gold, 83.7% for molybdenum in the Pebble East area. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The qualified person for the estimate is David Gaunt, P.Geo.

Year ended December 31, 2013

the results of the baseline studies will be completed so that it can be shared with state/federal agencies and the public as part of the future permitting process. The EBD study is available on the Company's website www.pebbleresearch.com.

1.2.1.1 Technical Programs

Pebble Project activities in 2013 included ongoing environmental monitoring and baseline data collection, engineering studies, stakeholder engagement, business development and public affairs programming as well as drilling and geo-hydrological testing at site.

Exploration and Drilling

The 2013 drilling program consisted of 29 holes, totaling 6,195 feet. All of the holes were drilled to collect geotechnical data.

Engineering

Engineering activities were designed to advance mine planning studies for the Pebble Project. The most recent phase of work commenced in 2011, and has included additional analysis of an open pit and process plant designs, and potential associated infrastructure options, along with compilation of potential designs for the transportation infrastructure and power plant. Development options and component alternatives are also being considered as part of the Company's review of the project.

Environmental and Socioeconomic

Environmental Baseline Document ("EBD")

The EBD discussed above provides information and analysis on baseline physical, chemical, biological and social conditions based upon data collection by the Pebble Partnership environmental study team from 2004 to 2008. Its purpose is to provide the public, regulatory agencies and the Pebble Partnership with a detailed compendium of pre-development environmental and socioeconomic conditions in the project area.

Research for the Pebble EBD was conducted by more than 40 respected independent research firms, utilizing over 100 scientific experts and engineering groups, laboratories and support services. Researchers were selected for their specific areas of expertise and Alaskan experience, with cooperating government agencies participating in several studies. Information for the EBD was gathered through field studies, laboratory tests, review of government records and other third-party sources, and interviews with Alaska residents. This work is ongoing.

The compilation of environmental studies undertaken in support of mine development is more commonly presented to regulatory agencies as part of a broader permitting package, which includes a Project Description. The EBD was released in advance in order to provide stakeholders with additional time to review the substantial document in advance of project permitting.

The Pebble Partnership facilitated a four-day workshop with federal and state regulatory agencies in January 2012 to present the EBD findings. The workshop was broadcast publicly via the

Year ended December 31, 2013

Internet. A series of public presentations has also been coordinated in more than 20 communities throughout southwest Alaska and elsewhere around the State to present the EBD findings. These presentations involved several of the authors of the document.

Work toward completion of a Project Description for the Pebble Project advanced in 2013. The Pebble Partnership expects to be in a position to initiate federal and state permitting under the NEPA in 2014, although a final determination on permit timing has not yet been made.

Comprehensive environmental and socioeconomic baseline study programs have continued at the Pebble Project site in 2013. This year's field programs have included surface and groundwater hydrology, water quality and fish resources studies, with a focus on monitoring.

Cultural Resource Studies

Cultural resource studies have been carried out by the Pebble Partnership on all areas that might be affected by the Pebble Project, with the exception of possible locations of transportation infrastructure options. Examination of the potential transportation infrastructure sites will be undertaken once a decision is made regarding the exact location of these project features.

Community Engagement

An active program of stakeholder outreach was undertaken at Pebble in 2013 that includes community meetings, stakeholder visits, presentations and event appearances, as well as stakeholder tours to the Pebble Project site and to operating mines in the United States and Canada. The focus of these outreach activities is to update stakeholders on the Pebble Project, to receive feedback on stakeholder priorities and concerns and to advise participants about modern mining practices. Additional meetings were held recently to update stakeholders on the changes to the Pebble Project with Anglo American's withdrawal.

The Pebble Partnership has a number of other initiatives underway to enhance stakeholder relationships, including:

- 1. The *Pebble Fund for Sustainable Bristol Bay Fisheries & Communities* Established in 2008 with a five-year, US\$5 million commitment, with the goal of enhancing the health and sustainability of regional fisheries and the communities they support. Grants are determined based on criteria and selections made by an advisory board comprised of citizens from communities throughout the Bristol Bay region. Grants totaling US\$522,337 were awarded to sixteen charitable organizations, schools, and tribes serving southwest Alaska for 2014.
 - The Bristol Bay Marketplace Business Idea Competition for residents of Bristol Bay communities was introduced in 2011. The competition, sponsored by the Pebble Partnership and the Pebble Fund, provides the opportunity for local entrepreneurs to compete for funding to start or expand Bristol Bay-based businesses. The Bristol Bay Marketplace Business Idea Competition continued in 2013.
- 2. An independent stakeholder dialogue process concerning the Pebble Project was initiated in late 2010 by the Keystone Center a non-profit organization specializing in facilitating stakeholder-driven consultation processes concerning contentious, science-based issues. Independent Science Panels ("ISP"), consisting of respected experts in a range of technical, scientific and sociological fields, were assembled to review environmental and socioeconomic

Year ended December 31, 2013

data compiled by the Pebble Partnership for the purpose of project engineering and permitting, while providing expert insight to Pebble Project stakeholders. The process is designed to address: geology and geochemistry; hydrology and water quality; fish, wildlife and habitat; and social, economic and cultural dynamics. Four ISP events were held in October 2012 and a final event, focused on Wildlife, Wetlands and Endangered Species, was held in May 2013.

3. Elders Forum - The Elders Forum is an annual event hosted by the Pebble Partnership to foster meaningful dialogue and provide updated information on the Pebble Project to Native elders from communities throughout the Bristol Bay region. The fifth annual Elders Forum was held in August 2013, and involved over 200 attendees representing 22 of the 31 inregion communities.

In addition, an Elders Advisory Committee comprised of 10 members representing eight subregions within Bristol Bay works directly with the Pebble Partnership on an ongoing basis to outline community concerns pertaining to mining and potential impacts on subsistence ways of life. The committee participates in mine tours, Pebble site tours and educational meetings and conferences in order to share information regarding modern mining with their communities.

As the Pebble Project advances toward the completion of a Project Description and preparation for project permitting under NEPA, it is expected that the Pebble Partnership will initiate further stakeholder engagement programs to involve stakeholders in the planning process.

Employment and Workforce Development

The Pebble Partnership has been one of the most important private sector employers in southwest Alaska for several years, and has implemented employee training and workforce development initiatives such as training in the areas of equipment operations, health, safety and environment.

1.2.1.2 Legal Matters

In October 2011, a lawsuit filed in July 2009 by the Trustees for Alaska (an activist environmental law firm) on behalf of Nunamta Aulukestai – an organization established and funded to oppose development of the Pebble Project - was rejected by the Anchorage Superior Court. The lawsuit alleged that the Alaska Department of Natural Resources had violated the state constitution by granting exploration and temporary water use permits to the Pebble Partnership, and exploration activities had caused harm to vegetation, water, fish and wildlife. The Pebble Partnership actively participated in the trial proceedings after being granted intervener status. Superior Court Judge Aarseth denied each of the allegations made by Nunamta Aulukestai, and ruled that no evidence of environmental harm was presented. The plaintiffs have filed an appeal that is now pending before the Alaska Supreme Court.

In November 2011, by a narrow 280 – 246 (53% – 47%) margin, voters in Southwest Alaska's Lake & Peninsula Borough supported a ballot measure sponsored by anti-Pebble activists that proposed to restrict future development that affects more than one square mile of land within the 31,000 square mile borough. The initiative was opposed by a broad spectrum of Alaska interests, including a group of four Alaska Native village corporations representing seven Lake & Peninsula Borough communities whose private land holdings would be affected by the ordinance, the State of Alaska and the Pebble Partnership. It was also opposed by the Resource Development Council for Alaska,

Year ended December 31, 2013

the Alaska State Chamber of Commerce, the Alaska Miners Association, Council of Alaska Producers, the Alaska Oil and Gas Association and the Alaska Industry Support Alliance, among others.

The Pebble Partnership and the State of Alaska filed legal challenges to the ballot initiative in the Alaska Superior Court, and on March 19, 2014 the court issued a permanent injunction barring the law from going into effect. The court ruled in favor of the Pebble Partnership, agreeing that the Alaska constitution and Alaska statutes preempted local governments from interfering with resource development on State lands.

An environmental group, Renewable Resources Coalition ("RRC"), has filed a lawsuit against the Pebble Partnership and others in superior court in California. The lawsuit follows a complaint that Pebble Partnership filed with the Alaska Public Offices Commission in 2009 which alleged that RRC and others had engaged in illegal conduct during the course of a ballot initiative campaign in 2008. The commission investigated and issued a report finding RRC and others had violated Alaska law, and subsequently RRC and others entered into a consent decree and paid a settlement fine. RRC then filed a lawsuit against the Pebble Partnership, asserting that the Pebble Partnership violated RRC's rights by acquiring documents and information which the Pebble Partnership had attached to its complaint to the Alaska Public Offices Commission. The trial court dismissed the lawsuit, finding that it was an improper retaliatory lawsuit and barred by California's Anti-SLAPP (Strategic Lawsuits against Public Participation) statute. An appellate court recently reversed that dismissal and remanded the case back to the trial court. A status hearing is set for April 2014. The Pebble Partnership maintains that its conduct in reporting illegal conduct to the proper law enforcement authority was appropriate and proper in all respects, and it will again ask the trial court to dismiss the lawsuit for lack of merit.

1.2.1.3 Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment

In February 2011, the US Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") announced it would undertake a Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment study focusing on the potential effects of large-scale mine development in all of the Bristol Bay area, subsequently narrowed to the Nushagak and Kvichak area drainages. This process was initiated in response to calls from persons and groups opposing the Pebble Project for the EPA to pre-emptively use its asserted authority under Section 404(c) of the Clean Water Act to prohibit discharges of dredged or fill material in waters of the US within these drainages. Rather than acceding to this request, the EPA embarked on a scientific study to assess potential impacts of hard rock mining in the two drainages.

The EPA's first draft Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment ("BBWA") report was released on May 18, 2012. In the Company's opinion after review with its consultants, the draft report is a fundamentally flawed document. By the EPA's own admission, it has evaluated the effects of a "hypothetical project" that is, one which has neither been defined nor proposed, and for which key environmental mitigation strategies have not yet been developed and, hence would not yet be known. It is believed by the Company that the assessment was rushed – it is based on studies conducted over only one year in an area of 20,000 square miles. In comparison, the Pebble Project has studied the ecological and social environment surrounding Pebble for nearly a decade. The EPA also failed to fully consider the comprehensive and detailed data that the Pebble Partnership provided as part of its 27,000-page Environmental Baseline Document.

The EPA called for public comment on the quality and sufficiency of scientific information presented in the draft BBWA report. In response, the Pebble Partnership and Northern Dynasty made submissions on the draft report. Northern Dynasty made a presentation highlighting these

Year ended December 31, 2013

shortcomings at the public hearings held in Seattle, Washington, on May 31, 2012 and in Anchorage, Alaska, on August 7, 2012. In July 2012, the Company also submitted a 635-page critique of the draft report in response to the EPA's call for public comment, and has called upon the EPA to cease such unwarranted actions on the watershed assessment until such time as a definitive proposal for the development of the Pebble deposit is submitted into the rigorous NEPA process.

Concerns about the reasonableness of the basis of risk assessment in the draft EPA report were stated by many of the independent experts on the peer review panel assembled to review the BBWA in a report entitled "External Peer Review of EPA's Draft Document: An Assessment of Potential Mining Impacts on Salmon Ecosystems of Bristol Bay, Alaska" released in November 2012. In a wideranging critique of the draft report's methodology and findings, many peer review panelists called the EPA's effort to evaluate the effects of a "hypothetical mining scenario" on the water, fish, wildlife and cultural resources of Southwest Alaska "inadequate", "premature", "unreasonable", "suspect" and "misleading". The full peer review report can be found at:

http://www.epa.gov/ncea/pdfs/bristolbay/Final-Peer-Review-Report-Bristol-Bay.pdf.

"The authors have attempted to develop a hypothetical mine and attempted to assess possible environmental effects associated with mine development, operation, and closure," said Dr. William Stubblefield, a senior professor at Oregon State University and an expert in environmental toxicology. "Although interesting, the potential reality of the assessment is somewhat questionable. It is also unclear why EPA undertook this evaluation, given that a more realistic assessment could probably have been conducted once an actual mine was proposed and greater detail about operational parameters available."

On April 26, 2013, the EPA released a revised draft of the BBWA report and announced another public comment and Peer Review period. The Pebble Partnership and Northern Dynasty made submissions on the revised draft. In late May 2013, Northern Dynasty filed a 205-page submission which describes the same major shortcomings as the original report published in May 2012. For additional information on Northern Dynasty's submission to the EPA and the Company's critique of the BBWA report, see http://www.northerndynastyminerals.com/ndm/BristolBay.asp.

In mid-January 2014, the EPA released the final version of its BBWA. The report still reflects many of the same fundamental shortcomings as previous drafts. It does not include any recommendations or regulatory actions that will affect future development of the Pebble Project.

On February 28, 2014, the EPA announced that it is initiating a process under the Clean Water Act to investigate potential impacts on aquatic habitat and fisheries associated with mine development in southwest Alaska. The EPA process, which is a continuation of the BBWA study initiated in 2011, will include consultation with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Pebble Partnership. Based on input the EPA receives during any one of these steps, the agency has stated it could decide to take action under Section 404(c) of the Clean Water Act or decide that further review is not necessary. Northern Dynasty management continues to be of the opinion that any final decisions about Pebble should be made by federal and state regulators working within the NEPA permitting process based on an actual permit application, and not unilaterally and pre-emptively by the EPA.

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.2.2 Other Properties

1.2.2.1 South Pebble, SP and KAK Claims

Agreement between Full Metal Minerals and the Pebble Partnership

On January 31, 2012, the Pebble Partnership entered into a Limited Liability Company Agreement (the "FMM Agreement") of Kaskanak Copper LLC (the "LLC") with Full Metal Minerals (USA) Inc. ("FMMUSA"), which indirectly owned 100% of FMMUSA's South Pebble Claims (the "FMM Properties"). On May 8, 2013, the Pebble Partnership purchased FMMUSA's entire ownership interest in the LLC for a cash consideration of US\$750,000. As a result, the Pebble Partnership is the indirect owner of a 100% interest in the FMM Properties.

The FMM Properties total 542 claims covering approximately 135 square miles are located west of mineral claims held by the Pebble Partnership.

1.2.2.2 Big Chunk North and South

Northern Dynasty's Purchase and Option Agreement with Liberty Star

On June 29, 2010, Northern Dynasty entered into an agreement with Liberty Star Uranium and Metals Corp. and its subsidiary, Big Chunk Corp. (together, "Liberty Star"), pursuant to which Liberty Star sold 23.8 square miles of claims (the "Purchased Claims") to a US subsidiary of Northern Dynasty in consideration for both a US\$1 million cash payment and a secured convertible loan from Northern Dynasty in the amount of US\$3 million which accrues interest at 10% per annum compounded monthly and which was repayable 45 days after being called (the "Loan"). The parties agreed, through various amendments to the original agreement, to increase the principal amount of the Loan by US\$730,174 (the "Additional Loan Amount"), being the amounts expended by Northern Dynasty on annual assessment work, rental and related fees relating to the maintenance of Liberty Star's claims in Alaska. Northern Dynasty carried out initial exploration surveys in 2010.

Northern Dynasty called for the repayment of the Loan in October 2012 and, after failure by Liberty Star to make any repayment, Northern Dynasty agreed to accept transfer of 199 claims (the "Settlement Claims") covering 47.9 square miles located north of the ground held 100% by the Pebble Partnership in settlement of the Loan. Prior to transfer of the Settlement Claims to Northern Dynasty, a third party purported to register a lien on the Settlement Claims in respect of a debt allegedly owed by Liberty Star, which Liberty Star disputes. Liberty Star has filed a claim against the third party, who has in turn filed a counterclaim against Liberty Star and has joined Northern Dynasty and its subsidiary, U5 Resources Inc., as parties to the lawsuit. Each of U5 Resources Inc. and Northern Dynasty has tendered its defence of the counterclaim to Liberty Star, which is obligated to indemnify Northern Dynasty and U5 Resources Inc. from any losses arising from the failure of Liberty Star to transfer clear title to the Settlement Claims.

The total area of the properties is 71.7 square miles, and includes 95 Purchased Claims and 199 Settlement Claims. All of these claims are located in the vicinity of the Pebble Project.

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.2.3 Market Trends

Copper prices showed a significant increase between late 2003 and mid-2008, and, after a steep decline in late 2008 and early 2009, steadily increased until late 2011. Although prices have been variable since that time, overall they have weakened. The recent closing price is US\$2.98/lb.

Although gold prices have dropped from time to time, the average annual price steadily increased from 2008 to 2012. Gold prices trended lower in 2013; however, there has been some improvement in prices in early 2014. The recent closing price is US\$1,314/oz.

Molybdenum prices have been more volatile than gold or copper, beginning an upward trend in 2003 that reached a peak of US\$34/lb in October 2005, decreasing through 2006, then rising in 2007 until the latter part of 2008, when they dropped significantly. This decrease continued until May 2009. Prices improved but were variable in 2010 and 2011. Prices then increased to US\$15.00/lb in early April 2012, before decreasing through July 2013, then varying for the remainder of the year, averaging US\$10.40/lb. The recent closing price is US\$10.21/lb.

Average annual prices as well as the average prices so far in 2013 for copper, gold and molybdenum are shown in the table below:

Year	Average metal price (US\$)			
real	Copper	Gold	Molybdenum	
2008	3.16/lb	871/oz	29.70/lb	
2009	2.34/lb	974/oz	11.29/lb	
2010	3.42/lb	1,228/oz	15.87/lb	
2011	4.00/lb	1,572/oz	15.41/lb	
2012	3.61/lb	1,669/oz	12.81/lb	
2013	3.32/lb	1,410/oz	10.40/lb	
2014 (to the date of this MD&A)	3.21/lb	1,293/oz	10.26/lb	

Source: LME Official Cash Price as provided at <u>www.metalprices.com</u>

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.3 **Selected Annual Information**

The following selected annual information is from the audited consolidated financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with IFRS. The 2013 figures include the Pebble Partnership on a consolidated basis with effect from December 10, 2013. All figures are expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated except per share amounts.

	D 1 24	D	D l 24
Control of the contro	December 31	December 31	December 31
Statements of Financial Position	2013	2012	2011
Investment in the Pebble Partnership ("PLP")	\$ -	\$ 99,336	\$ 101,542
Mineral property, plant and equipment	108,050	1,055	1,055
Current assets	33,734	32,543	42,644
Total assets	141,784	132,934	145,241
Shareholders' equity	133,928	128,893	141,356
Other liabilities	3,803	3,632	3,715
Current liabilities	4,053	409	170
Total shareholders' equity and liabilities	141,784	132,934	145,241
Working capital	\$ 29,681	\$ 32,134	\$ 42,474
Expenses (income)	Year ended December 31 2013	Year ended December 31 2012	Year ended December 31 2011
Exploration and evaluation	\$ 1,991	\$ 4,461	\$ 819
General and administrative	6,245	6,780	6,168
Share-based compensation	641	5,225	14,205
Exchange (gain) loss	(340)	83	(58)
Interest income	(1,136)	(887)	(944)
Gain on discontinuance of equity method	(5,062)	_	_
Loss before tax	2,339	15,662	20,190
Income tax expense (recovery)	184	_	(51)
Loss for the year	\$ 2,523	\$ 15,662	\$ 20,139
Basic and diluted loss per common share Weighted average number of common shares	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.21
outstanding	95,007	94,995	94,852

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.4 Summary and Discussion of Quarterly Results

All monetary amounts are expressed in thousands of dollars except per share amounts and where otherwise indicated. Minor differences are due to rounding.

Statements of Financial	Dec 31	Sep 30	Jun 30	Mar 31	Dec 31	Sep 30	Jun 30	Mar 31
Position	2013	2013	2013	2013	2012	2012	2012	2012
Investment in PLP (1)	\$ -	\$102,870	\$105,017	\$101,443	\$ 99,336	\$ 98,168	\$ 101,652	\$ 99,595
Mineral property, plant &	100.050	1.055	1.055	1.055	1.055	1.055	1.055	1.055
equipment	108,050	,	,	,	,	,	1,055	1,055
Current assets	33,734	28,044	29,693	30,817	32,543	35,623	38,605	40,811
Total assets	141,784	131,969	135,765	133,315	132,934	134,846	141,312	141,461
Equity Deferred income tax	133,928	127,859	131,629	129,456	128,893	130,214	137,191	137,282
("DIT")	3,803	3,760	3,839	3,709	3,632	3,589	3,719	3,643
Current liabilities	4,053	350	297	150	409	1,043	402	536
Total shareholders'	,					Í		
equity and liabilities	141,784	131,969	135,765	133,315	132,934	134,846	141,312	141,461
Working capital	29,681	27,694	29,396	30,667	32,134	34,580	38,203	40,275
Comprehensive (Income) Loss								
Expenses								
Exploration and evaluation	1,076	270	246	399	663	1,255	1,274	1,269
General and administrative	1,810	1,552	1,495	1,388	2,076	2,472	1,136	1,096
Share-based compensation	_	_	217	424	486	1,260	1,702	1,777
Loss from operating activities	2,886	1,822	1,958	2,211	3,225	4,987	4,112	4,142
Exchange (gain) loss	(183)	114	(175)	(96)	(48)	136	(87)	82
Interest income	(413)	(234)	(265)	(224)	(233)	(240)	(251)	(163)
Gain on discontinuance of	(= 0.00)							
equity method (1)	(5,062)		-	-		-	-	-
(Income) loss before tax Income tax expense	(2,772)	1,702	1,518	1,891	2,944	4,883	3,774	4,061
(recovery)	184	_	_	_	2	(2)	_	_
(Income) loss for the						()		
quarter	(2,588)	1,702	1,518	1,891	2,946	4,881	3,774	4,061
Foreign exchange translation difference	(3,340)	2,147	(3,574)	(2,107)	(1,168)	3,484	(2,057)	1,947
Deferred income tax	_	(79)	130	77	41	(128)	76	(72)
Reversal of DIT	(141)	_	-	-	_	-	-	-
Comprehensive (income)	h (() ()	A 0.75°	h (4 00 °	h (100)	h 4040	h 000-	4 4 500	# F 00:
loss	\$ (6,069)	\$ 3,770	\$ (1,926)	\$ (139)	\$ 1,819	\$ 8,237	\$ 1,793	\$ 5,936
Basic and diluted (income) loss per common share	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04
1000 per common share	4 (0.00)	Ψ 0.02	ψ 0.0 <i>L</i>	ψ 0.0Δ	φ 0.03	Ψ 0.05	φ 0.01	Ψ 0.01

 $^{^{(1)}}$ Pebble Limited Partnership. Up to the change of control in Q4 of 2013, it was held under joint venture and accounted for under the equity method.

Year ended December 31, 2013

Discussion of Quarterly Trends

The Pebble Partnership accounts are denominated in US dollars. Exchange differences arising from the translation of the Pebble Partnership are taken directly to the foreign currency translation reserve through other comprehensive income or loss. The Company has recorded translation gains in five quarters as a result of depreciation of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar and losses in three quarters as a result of the appreciation of the Canadian dollar relative to the US dollar. The following table summarizes the movement in the US dollar to the Canadian dollar and the resulting exchange differences recognized in each quarter:

	1CAD for 1USD					
Period	USD movement to CAD	Start	End	Recognized (gain) loss		
Q1 2012	Depreciation	\$1.02	\$1.00	\$ 1.9 million		
Q2 2012	Appreciation	\$1.00	\$1.02	\$ (2.1) million		
Q3 2012	Depreciation	\$1.02	\$0.98	\$ 3.5 million		
Q4 2012	Appreciation	\$0.98	\$0.99	\$ (1.1) million		
Q1 2013	Appreciation	\$0.99	\$1.02	\$ (2.1) million		
Q2 2013	Appreciation	\$1.02	\$1.05	\$ (3.6) million		
Q3 2013	Depreciation	\$1.05	\$1.03	\$ 2.1 million		
Q4 2013	Appreciation	\$1.03	\$1.06	\$ (3.4) million		
FY 2012	Depreciation	\$1.02	\$0.99	\$ 2.2 million		
FY 2013	Appreciation	\$0.99	\$1.06	\$ (6.8) million		

Share-based compensation expense has fluctuated due to the timing of share purchase option grants and the vesting periods associated with these grants. The fair value of share purchase options ("options") is determined at the grant date and the compensation expense for each tranche is recognized over the period during which the options vest. The Company granted options in Q2 of 2012 however, share-based compensation ("SBC") did not increase due to the lower estimated fair value for these options of \$0.87 per option as compared to \$6.56 per option for the previous year's grant and notwithstanding the vesting of one-half of these options at grant date. In Q1 and Q4 of 2012 SBC related to the amortization of SBC on options that were still vesting. In Q3 of 2012, the Company recognized approximately \$458,000 in additional SBC in respect to options that the Company's directors voluntarily agreed to cancel that were still vesting. In 2013, as no options were granted, the remaining unamortized SBC was recognized. All options were fully vested by end of Q3 of 2013.

Exploration and evaluation expenses averaged around \$1.3 million for three quarters from Q1 to Q3 of 2012 as the Company conducted a further technical study to advance work completed since the issue of the 2011 Preliminary Assessment ("2011 PA"). From Q4 of 2012 through Q3 of 2013, exploration and evaluation expenses trended lower due to the completion of work related to the technical study. In Q4 of 2013, additional costs were incurred as a result of the withdrawal of Anglo American from the Pebble Partnership and the Company consolidating the Pebble Partnership from December 10, 2013.

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.5 Results of Operations

The following financial data has been prepared in accordance with IFRS effective for the year ended December 31, 2013 and is expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated.

The Company's operations and business are <u>not</u> driven by seasonal trends, but rather are driven towards the achievement of project milestones relating to the Pebble Project such as the achievement of various technical, environmental, socio-economic and legal objectives, including obtaining the necessary permits, the completion of pre-feasibility and final feasibility studies, preparation of engineering designs, as well as receipt of financings to fund these objectives along with mine construction.

1.5.1 Results of Operations for the Year Ended December 31, 2013 vs. 2012

The Company recorded a decrease in loss of \$13.1 million due mainly to the decrease in exploration and evaluation expenses, share-based compensation expense and a gain recognized on discontinuance of the equity method (in respect to the Pebble Partnership).

Exploration and evaluation expenses ("E&E") decreased by \$2.5 million as the Company's work on technical studies wound down.

General and administrative expenses ("G&A") decreased to \$6.2 million from \$6.8 million in 2012 due mainly to a reduction in consulting fees paid and conference and travel costs. In 2012, in response to EPA's initiatives such as the BBWA (refer <u>1.2.1.3 Bristol Bay Watershed Assessment</u>), the Company initiated a program to retain US political and scientific representatives and consultants to assist, consult and represent the Company, which costs in 2013 have been lower. This was offset by increased shareholder communication in 2013 as the Company has focused more resources in the area of investor relations and shareholder communication.

The following table provides a breakdown of G&A incurred in the year as compared to 2012, expressed in thousands of dollars:

G&A	2013	2012
Conference and travel	\$ 340	\$ 566
Consulting	836	1,761
Insurance	342	343
Legal, accounting and audit	275	255
Office costs	670	702
Management and administration	2,572	2,095
Shareholder communication	983	830
Trust and filing	227	228
Total	\$ 6,245	\$ 6,780

Share-based compensation decreased to \$0.6 million from \$5.2 million in 2012 due mainly to the Company not granting share purchase options. In 2012 the Company granted 2.2 million options were granted and recognized an additional \$0.5 million expense for options that were cancelled voluntarily. Although over 2.0 million options were cancelled voluntarily in 2013, they were fully vested, and there was no impact on SBC as the Company had previously recognized SBC thereon.

Year ended December 31, 2013

After the recognition of an exchange gain on translation of the Pebble Partnership which has a US dollar functional currency of \$6.9 million (2012 – loss of \$2.2 million) (refer *Discussion of Quarterly Trends in 1.4*), the comprehensive income for the year was \$4.4 million as compared to a comprehensive loss of \$17.8 million in 2012.

1.5.2 Cash Flows for the Year Ended December 31, 2013 vs. 2012

Net cash used in operations decreased by \$2.7 million to \$7.8 million in 2013 due mainly to the decrease in Company corporate activities.

The Company contributed a further \$1.0 million to the Pebble Partnership before the change in control of the Pebble Partnership on December 10, 2013. On assumption of control, the Company's cash resources increased by \$6.5 million.

The Company received \$0.6 million in interest on cash balances as compared to \$0.4 million in 2012 as the Company's funds were invested at higher rates. For the year, the Company had a net decrease in cash of \$1.7 million (2012 – \$9.9 million).

1.5.3 Financial position as at December 31, 2013 vs. December 31, 2012

The Company's total assets increased by \$8.9 million to \$141.8 million. The increase was mainly the result of consolidating the assets and liabilities of the Pebble Partnership as a result of assuming control thereof (discussed further in Note 3 in the Notes to the Financial Statements). In respect to non-current assets, the Company recognized the Pebble mineral property and plant and equipment as it discontinued the equity method of accounting for the Pebble Partnership which including a foreign exchange gain on translation amounted to an increase of \$7.7 million. Current assets increased by \$1.2 million. The Company consolidated amounts receivable and prepaid expenses, certain restricted cash (\$1.2 million) and cash and cash equivalents from the Pebble Partnership (\$6.5 million – see previous section). The additional cash and cash equivalents reduced the decrease in cash and cash equivalents utilized for the year to \$1.7 million. Other changes included the change in value of the amounts receivable due to accrued interest (\$0.3 million) and foreign exchange gain on translation (\$0.5 million).

1.5.4 The Pebble Partnership under Joint Venture

Until the change of control on December 10, 2013, the Company accounted for its investment in the Pebble Partnership under the equity method.

Expenditures incurred by the Pebble Partnership on the Pebble Project were funded by Anglo American in order to retain its 50% interest in the Pebble Project. Anglo American's total contributions from inception of the Pebble Partnership to December 31, 2013 total \$594.9 million (US\$573.2 million). For the period ended January 1 to December 10, 2013, the Pebble Partnership incurred losses of \$68.8 million (December 31, 2012 – \$102.9 million). E&E costs decreased to \$58.5 million from \$93.3 million in the previous year as the Pebble Partnership focused on various programs to advance the completion of a prefeasibility study for the Pebble Project and the completion of a Project Description to support the permit application under NEPA (refer discussion in 1.2.1.1 Technical Programs). In Q1 of 2012, the Pebble Partnership released the EBD.

The main E&E costs during the period ended January 1 to December 10, 2013, were:

Year ended December 31, 2013

- engineering (2013 \$10.6 million; December 31, 2012 \$19.1 million);
- environmental planning and testing (2013 \$13.9 million; December 31, 2012 \$20.0 million);
- site activities (2013 \$18.8 million; December 31, 2012 \$36.6 million);
- corporate affairs (2013 \$13.7 million; December 31, 2012 \$16.5 million); and
- business development (2013 \$1.5 million; December 31, 2012 \$1.1 million).

For further discussion on exploration activities and related technical programs see section <u>1.2.1.1</u> <u>Technical Programs</u>.

1.6 Liquidity

Historically, the Company's sole source of funding has been the issuance of equity securities for cash, primarily through private placements to sophisticated investors and institutions. The Company completed its last private placement financing in 2008. Since then inflows into the treasury have been through the issue of common shares pursuant to the exercise of share purchase options. The Company's access to financing is always uncertain. There can be no assurance of continued access to significant equity funding.

Northern Dynasty has \$25.8 million in cash and cash equivalents for its operating requirements. In the fall of 2013, the Company initiated a review of all Pebble Partnership programs, timelines and budgets and prioritized the allocation of available financial resources to advance the Pebble Project in the most efficient manner (refer to 1.2). Based on available cash resources Management believe the Company will be able to meet corporate expenditure requirements and activities at the Pebble Project for at least the next twelve months. Additional financing, which may include debt, equity or reliance on new joint venture partners, will be required to fund further exploration or development programs at the Pebble Project. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in obtaining additional financing. If the Company is unable to raise the necessary capital resources and generate sufficient cash flows to meet obligations as they come due, the Company may have to reduce or curtail its operations.

At December 31, 2013, the Company had working capital of approximately \$29.7 million as compared to \$32.1 million at December 31, 2012. The Company has no long term debt, capital lease obligations, operating leases or any other long term obligations other than those disclosed in the Financial Statements (refer note 14, *Commitments and Contingencies*, in the notes to the Financial Statements).

The Company has no "Purchase Obligations", defined as any agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable and legally binding on the Company that specifies all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The Company is responsible for maintenance payments on the Pebble Project claims and other claims in the vicinity thereof (see 1.2.2) and routine office leases.

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.7 Capital Resources

The Company's capital resources consist of its cash reserves. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had no long term debt or commitments for material capital expenditures other than what has been disclosed in the Financial Statements.

The Company has no lines of credit or other sources of financing.

1.8 Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There are none.

1.9 Transactions with Related Parties

The Company and its subsidiaries transact with Hunter Dickinson Services Inc. ("HDSI"), a private company which has certain directors and other key management personnel who are close business associates and also key management personnel of the Company. Pursuant to a management services agreement with HDSI, HDSI provides geological, corporate development, administrative and management services to the Company at annually set rates and incurs third party costs on behalf of the Company which are reimbursed by the Company at cost. The following summarizes the transactions with HDSI expressed in thousands of dollars for the year:

Transactions	2013	2012
Services rendered by HDSI	\$ 4,181	\$ 3,531
Reimbursement of third party		
expenses incurred by HDSI	829	1,129
Total paid by the Company	\$ 5,010	\$ 4,660

The Company continues to use resources provided by HDSI to assist with ongoing administration and management of the Company, including continuous disclosure obligations, shareholder communications, public affairs and investor relations, assisting the Company with the Pebble Partnership as appropriate.

Other related party transactions include compensation paid to key management personnel (directors and senior management including the Senior Vice President, Corporate Development; Vice President ("VP"), Corporate Communication, VP, Engineering and VP, Public Affairs). For the year ended December 31, 2013, this comprised directors' fees and salaries of \$2.5 million (2012 – \$2.2 million) and share-based compensation of \$0.2 million (2012 – \$2.8 million).

1.10 Fourth Quarter 2013 vs 2012

The Company recorded total comprehensive income of \$6.1 million as compared to a \$1.8 million loss in 2012 as the Company recognized a gain on discontinuance of the equity method for accounting for the Pebble Partnership and a larger foreign exchange gain on translation as compared to 2012.

The Company recorded an increase in E&E of \$0.4 million as the Company initiated a review of all Pebble Partnership programs, timelines and budgets in order to prioritize the allocation of

Year ended December 31, 2013

available financial resources to advance the Pebble Project in the most efficient manner with the withdrawal of Anglo American.

G&A decreased to \$1.8 million from \$2.1 million in 2012. The decrease was due mainly to decreased consulting costs and less conference and travel related costs. This was offset by increased management and administration resulting from the withdrawal of Anglo American from the Pebble Partnership.

The following table provides a breakdown of G&A incurred during the quarter as compared to the fourth quarter of 2012, expressed in thousands of dollars:

G&A	2013	2012
Conference and travel	\$ 29	\$ 230
Consulting	154	564
Insurance	86	89
Legal, accounting and audit	214	175
Office costs	237	147
Management and administration	894	596
Shareholder communication	191	270
Trust and filing	5	5
Total	\$ 1,810	\$ 2,076

SBC decreased to \$nil from \$0.5 million in 2013 due mainly to the Company not granting options. The Company had recognized the remaining unamortized SBC in previous quarters.

On the discontinuance of the equity method for accounting for the investment in Pebble Partnership, the Company recorded a gain of \$5.1 million in the quarter. The Company recognized, through other comprehensive income or loss, an exchange gain of \$3.3 million in 2013 versus a \$1.2 million gain in 2012 on translation of the investment in the Pebble Partnership. As the Pebble Partnership has a US dollar functional currency, the Company is impacted by movements in the US / Canadian dollar exchange rate (see 1.4, Discussion of Ouarterly Trends).

1.11 Proposed Transactions

There are no proposed asset or business acquisitions or dispositions, other than those in the ordinary course, before the Board of Directors for consideration.

1.12 Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of the Financial Statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the end of the reporting period presented and reported amounts of expenses during said reporting period. Actual outcomes may differ from these estimates. The following are specific areas where significant estimates or judgments exist:

Estimates

i. The Company uses the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model to calculate the fair value of share purchase options granted for determining share-based compensation included in the

Year ended December 31, 2013

loss for the year. Inputs used in this model require subjective assumptions including the expected price volatility from three to five years. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can affect the fair value estimate, and therefore the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company's share purchase options.

- ii. The loan receivable from Liberty Star Uranium & Metals Corp (refer Note 5 in the Notes to the Financial Statements), which is secured by certain mineral claims, was past due at the end of the reporting period. However the Company has not recognized an allowance for doubtful debts because the Company has a reasonable expectation that the loan will be settled by the transfer of the underlying mineral claims by the debtor.
- iii. Significant assumptions about the future and other sources of estimation uncertainty are made in determining the provision for any deferred income tax expense included in the loss for the year and the composition of deferred income tax liabilities included in the Statement of Financial Position in the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Judgments

- i. In terms of IFRS 6, Exploration and Evaluation of Mineral Resources ("IFRS 6"), the Company used judgment in determining that there are no facts and circumstances suggesting that the carrying amount of the Company's exploration and evaluation assets (which comprise the mineral property and plant and equipment)("E&E assets") may exceed their recoverable amount.
- ii. IAS 21, *The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates* ("IAS 21"), defines the functional currency as the currency of the primary economic environment in which an entity operates. IAS 21 requires the determination of functional currency to be performed on an entity by entity basis, based on various primary and secondary factors. In identifying the functional currency of the parent and of its subsidiaries, Management considered the currency that mainly influences the cost of undertaking the business activities in each jurisdiction in which the Company operates.
- iii. The Company applied judgment in determining the classification of its interests in other entities. In particular, the Company determined the level of control or joint control and whether the Group has rights to assets and liabilities or to net assets of the arrangement based on the legal structure and contractual terms of the arrangement and, when relevant, other facts and circumstances . The Company concluded that its previous 50% interest in the Pebble Partnership that the Company held until December 10, 2013, was a joint venture in accordance with IFRS 11, *Joint Arrangements*.
- iv. The Company used judgment in determining that the acquisition of 50% of the Pebble Partnership should be treated as an asset acquisition and not a business combination under IFRS 3, Business Combinations, and hence the transaction was outside the scope of IFRS 3. The Company considered the current stage of the Pebble Project which has no proven or probable reserves and the fact that the project's technical feasibility and economic viability has still to be determined or demonstrated.
- v. The Company has employed judgment that going concern was still an appropriate basis for the preparation of the Financial Statements. Although the Company no longer has access to a stream of financing for the development of the Pebble Project with the withdrawal of Anglo American from the Pebble Partnership and Pebble Project, the Company has reviewed all programs, timelines and budgets and prioritized the allocation of available financial

Year ended December 31, 2013

resources to advance the Pebble Project in the most efficient manner and believes it will be able to meet corporate expenditure requirements and activities at the Pebble Project for the next year.

1.12.1 Mineral resources and the carrying value of the Company's investment in the Pebble Partnership

Mineral resources are estimated by professional geologists and engineers in accordance with recognized industry, professional and regulatory standards. These estimates require inputs such as future metals prices, future operating costs, and various technical geological, engineering, and construction parameters. Changes in any of these inputs could cause a significant change in the resources estimates which in turn could have a material effect on the carrying value of the Company's mineral property.

1.12.2 Impairment analysis of assets

At the end of each reporting period, the carrying amounts of the Company's assets, which comprise mainly its E&E assets and the Liberty Star loan receivable, are reviewed to determine whether there is any indication that those assets are impaired. The Liberty Star loan receivable is discussed under estimates above (refer (ii)). With respect to the Company's E&E assets, the Company determined that, as per IFRS 6, there were no facts or circumstances suggesting that the carrying value was impaired.

Recoverability of the carrying amount of the mineral property is dependent on successful development and commercial exploitation or alternatively, sale thereof.

Changes in any of the assumptions used to determine impairment testing could materially affect the results of the analysis.

At December 31, 2013, the Company reviewed the carrying value of its assets and determined that there were no indicators of impairment.

1.12.3 Restoration, rehabilitation, and environmental obligations

An obligation to incur restoration, rehabilitation and environmental costs arises when environmental disturbance is caused by the exploration or development of a mineral property interest. Such costs arising from the decommissioning of plant and other site preparation work, discounted to their net present value, are provided for and capitalized at the start of each project to the carrying amount of the asset, along with a corresponding liability as soon as the obligation to incur such costs arises. The timing of the actual rehabilitation expenditure is dependent on a number of factors such as the life and nature of the asset, the operating license conditions and when applicable, the environment in which the mine operates.

Discount rates using pre-tax rates that reflect the time value of money are used to calculate the net present value of restoration, rehabilitation and environmental costs. These costs are charged against profit or loss over the economic life of the related asset, through amortization using either the unit-of-production or the straight line method. The corresponding liability is progressively increased as the effect of discounting unwinds, creating an expense recognized in profit or loss.

Year ended December 31, 2013

Decommissioning costs are also adjusted for changes in estimates. Those adjustments are accounted for as a change in the corresponding capitalized cost, except where a reduction in costs is greater than the unamortized capitalized cost of the related assets, in which case the capitalized cost is reduced to nil and the remaining adjustment is recognized in profit or loss.

The operations of the Company may in the future be affected from time to time in varying degree by changes in environmental regulations or changes in estimates used in determining restoration and rehabilitation obligations. Both the likelihood of new regulations or degree of changes in estimates and their overall effect upon the Company are not predictable.

At December 31, 2013, the Company has no material restoration, rehabilitation and environmental obligations as the disturbance to date is minimal.

1.12.4 Share-based compensation expense

As indicated in 1.12 (i) the Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of options granted through its Board of Directors, to directors, employees and service providers. Changes in any of the inputs in the model such as expected volatility, expected life to exercise and interest rates could cause a significant change in the share-based compensation expense charged in a period. During the year the Company did not grant any share purchase options. The share-based compensation expense recognized in the year relates to the vesting of tranches of share purchase options that were granted in prior years. The weighted average fair value of options granted in 2012 was estimated at \$0.87 per option based on the following weighted average assumptions and inputs:

	2012
Risk-free interest rate	1.11%
Expected life	3.43 years
Expected volatility	60%
Grant date share price	\$2.38
Expected dividend yield	Nil

1.12.5 Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed based on differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities on the statements of financial position and their corresponding tax values, generally using the substantively enacted or enacted income tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Deferred income tax assets also result from unused loss carry forwards, resource-related pools and other deductions. A deferred tax asset is only recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilized.

Further discussion can be found in Note 2 in the Notes to the Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A.

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.13 Changes in Accounting Policies including Initial Adoption

Accounting Standards, Amendments and Revised Standards Adopted

The Company adopted a number of new and revised standards and amendments that became effective on January 1, 2013 which are discussed in Note 2 in the Notes to the Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A.

Accounting Standards, Amendments and Revised Standards Not Yet Effective

The Company has disclosed information and potential impact thereof in Note 2 in the Notes to the Financial Statements which accompany this MD&A.

1.14 Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

The Company has no derivative financial assets or liabilities. The loan receivable from Liberty Star which the Company continues to record in its consolidated financial statements has an equity conversion option which is not exercisable as the terms set out in the letter agreement were not completed (refer Note 5(a) in the Notes to the Financial Statements).

1.14.1 Non-derivative financial assets:

The Company has the following non-derivative financial assets: available-for-sale financial assets and loans and receivables.

Available-for-sale ("AFS") financial assets

The Company has marketable securities which are classified as AFS financial assets and are measured at fair value with changes therein, other than impairment losses recognized in other comprehensive income or loss. At the reporting date these securities had a nominal value.

Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are initially recognized at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses.

Loans and receivables currently comprise (i) amounts receivable including the loan receivable from Liberty Star, (ii) amounts receivable from a related party (\$nil in current year), (iii) cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash (see below).

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash in the statement of financial position comprise cash and investments held at major financial institutions that are readily convertible into a known amount of cash and which are only subject to an insignificant risk of change in value, and are measured at amortized cost.

Year ended December 31, 2013

The Company's cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash are invested in business and savings accounts and guaranteed investment certificates which are available on demand by the Company.

1.14.2 Non-derivative financial liabilities:

The Company has the following non-derivative financial liabilities: trade and other payables and a payable to a related party.

Such financial liabilities are recognized initially at fair value net of any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition these financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

1.14.3 Financial Risk Management

The Company is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks. The Board approves and monitors the risk management processes, inclusive of documented investment policies, counterparty limits, and controlling and reporting structures. The type of risk exposure and the way in which such exposure is managed is provided as follows:

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of potential loss to the Company if a counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its liquid financial assets, including cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and amounts receivable which includes the Company's loan receivable from Liberty Star and any amounts receivable from related parties. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk except for changes in the carrying amounts of financial assets exposed to credit risk, and there was no significant change to the Company's exposure to credit risk during the year ended December 31, 2013. Management has also concluded that there is no objective evidence of impairment to its amounts receivable including the recoverability of the loan receivable from Liberty Star (see discussion below).

Management assesses the recoverability of the loan receivable from Liberty Star as at the end of each reporting period based on financial information available. In October 2012, the Company delivered notice to Liberty Star for repayment of the loan principal and accrued interest. In November 2012, a loan settlement agreement was negotiated pursuant to which Liberty Star was to transfer to the Company certain of its mineral claims held as collateral for the loan receivable in payment of the loan principal and accrued interest. As of December 31, 2013 and to the date of this MD&A, Liberty Star had not completed the valid transfer of the claims to the Company as per the loan settlement agreement and as such the loan settlement agreement is not closed and accordingly the Company continues to retain its rights under the original loan letter agreement. The Company has reasonable expectation that it will recover the carrying amount of the loan receivable by enforcing the legal rights conferred by the original loan letter agreement and/or the loan settlement agreement including, but not limited to, the right to receive all or any portion of the mineral claims held as collateral for the loan receivable.

Year ended December 31, 2013

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations when they become due. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk. The Company's liquidity position has been discussed in Section 1.6 *Liquidity*.

Foreign Exchange Risk

The Company is subject to both currency transaction risk and currency translation risk: its loan receivable from Liberty Star is denominated in US dollars; the Pebble Partnership has a US dollar functional currency; and certain of the Company's corporate expenses are incurred in US dollars. As the Company's functional currency is the Canadian dollar, the fluctuation of the US dollar in relation to the Canadian dollar will consequently have an impact upon the losses incurred by the Company as well as the value of the Company's assets and total shareholders' equity. The Company has not entered into any agreements or purchased any instruments to hedge possible currency risks at this time.

The exposure of the Company's financial assets to foreign exchange risk is as follows:

Currency	Decemb	er 31, 2013	Decembe	r 31, 2012
	US dollar	Amount in	US dollar	Amount in
	amount	Canadian	amount	Canadian
US dollars – Financial assets	(000s)	dollars	(000s)	dollars
Amounts receivable	\$ 5,360	\$ 5,701	\$ 4,663	\$ 4,639
Cash and cash equivalents	7,083	7,534	45	45
Total exposed to currency risk	\$ 12,443	\$ 13,235	\$ 4,708	\$ 4,684

The exposure of the Company's financial liabilities to foreign exchange risk is as follows:

Currency	Decem	Decembe	er 31, 201	2	
	US dollar	Amount in	US dollar	Amou	nt in
	amount	Canadian	amount	Cana	dian
US dollars – Financial liabilities	(000s)	dollars	(000s)	do	llars
Trade and other payables	\$ 3,197	\$ 3,400	\$ 40	\$	40
Total exposed to currency risk	\$ 3,197	\$ 3,400	\$ 40	\$	40

A 10% depreciation of the Canadian dollar relative to the United States dollar at December 31, 2013 would have decreased loss by \$983 (2012 - \$465). This analysis assumes that all other variables, in particular interest rates, remain constant.

There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk, except for the changes in the carrying amounts of financial assets exposed to foreign exchange risk, and there was no significant change to the Company's exposure to foreign exchange risk during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Year ended December 31, 2013

Interest rate risk

The Company is subject to interest rate risk with respect to its investments in cash and cash equivalents. There has been no change in the Company's objectives and policies for managing this risk and no significant change to the Company's exposure to interest rate risk during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Assuming that all variables remain constant, a 100 basis points change in a decrease or increase in interest rates would have resulted in a decrease or increase in interest income of approximately \$267 (2012 - \$325).

Commodity price risk

While the value of the Company's core mineral resource property, held through its interest in the Pebble Partnership, is related to the price of gold, copper and molybdenum and the outlook for these minerals, the Company currently does not have any operating mines and hence does not have any hedging or other commodity based risks in respect of its operational activities.

Gold, copper, and molybdenum prices have fluctuated widely historically and are affected by numerous factors outside of the Company's control, including, but not limited to, industrial and retail demand, central bank lending, forward sales by producers and speculators, levels of worldwide production, short-term changes in supply and demand because of speculative hedging activities, and certain other factors related specifically to gold.

Capital Management

The Company's policy is to maintain a strong capital base so as to maintain investor and creditor confidence and to sustain future development of the business. The capital structure of the Company consists of equity, comprising share capital, net of accumulated deficit.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the year. The Company is not subject to any externally imposed capital requirements.

1.15 Other MD&A Requirements

Additional information relating to the Company, including the Company's Annual Information Form, is available under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Year ended December 31, 2013

1.15.1 Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data

The capital structure of the Company is shown in the following table:

Common shares issued and outstanding	
As of March 25, 2014	95,009,864
Share options – as of March 25, 2014	6,434,500
(Weighted average exercise price per share: \$2.19)	

1.15.2 Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports it files is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the appropriate time periods and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

1.15.3 Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management, including the CEO and the CFO, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting ("ICFR") is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. The Company's ICFR includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's ICFR as of December 31, 2013. In making the assessment, it used the criteria set forth in the Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on their assessment, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, the Company's ICFR was effective based on those criteria.

Year ended December 31, 2013

The Company's ICFR as of December 31, 2013, has been audited by Deloitte LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, who also audited the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013. Deloitte LLP, as stated in their report that immediately precedes the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's ICFR.

1.15.4 Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the design of the Company's ICFR that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's ICFR during the period covered by this MD&A.

1.15.5 Limitations of Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, including its CEO and CFO, believe that any system of disclosure controls and procedures or ICFR, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Furthermore, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, they cannot provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been prevented or detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by unauthorized override of controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in a cost effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

1.15.6 Risk Factors

Please refer to "Risk Factors" under **Item 5** in the Company's **2013 Annual Information Form** filed under the Company's profile on SEDAR at www.sedar.com for a detailed discussion. The Company's Form 40-F which is filed with the SEC on EDGAR at www.sec.gov.com also discusses certain risk factors.